

# Descriptive Analysis of Psychological Well-being among Students in Higher Learning Institutions in Malaysia: Which Gender Contributes More?

Azira Rahim, Muhammad Syukri Abdullah, Siti Rohana Daud,  
Rozana Othman

Faculty of Business and Management, UiTM Malacca Branch  
Email: azira925@uitm.edu.my, syukriabdullah@uitm.edu.my,  
sitirohanadaud@uitm.edu.my, rozanaothman@uitm.edu.my

Nabila Ahmad

Faculty of Business and Management, UiTM Kedah Branch  
Email: nabila679@uitm.edu.my

Siti Khadijah Rafie

Faculty of Information Management, UiTM Kedah Branch  
Email: khadijahrafie@uitm.edu.my

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v12-i1/16196>

DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v12-i1/16196

**Published Online:** 15 February 2023

## Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has wreaked havoc on our daily lives. Officials from the Department of Public Health are urging people to limit their social gatherings, limiting the amount of time and people they can interact with in person. Due to the closure of educational institutions, students have to changed their learning method from face-to-face to online learning. Stress, anxiety, and depression have been identified as the most common mental health issues among university students. Many people, notably students, have suffered psychological consequences as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. University students experience stress, worry, and despair even when there is no epidemic because of the constant demands of study. Research objectives of this study is to determine factor mostly influenced psychological well-being during online learning and to identify the significant relationship between digital competence, fear of infection COVID-19, social interaction, student attitude and psychological well-being.

**Keywords:** Psychological Well-Being, Technical and Financial Issues, Non-Conducive Learning Environment, University

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has wreaked havoc on our daily lives. Officials from the Department of Public Health are urging people to limit their social gatherings, limiting the amount of time

and people they can interact with in person. Due to the closure of educational institutions, students have to changed their learning method from face-to-face to online learning. Online education is also known as e-learning, distance education, and even virtual education (Kader et al., 2022). It is a technical platform that enables students to learn at their own pace and on their own terms through the use of the Internet (Kader et al., 2022; Solangi et al., 2018). Due to pandemic COVID-19, there is a need to use online learning. Most universities, even in Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), have implemented online learning and teaching to ensure the whole semester runs smoothly. Therefore, lecturers use a variety of online teaching methods such as Google Meet, Webex, Microsoft Teams, and many other platforms to teach students. Online learning has many advantages, including the ability to customize and outsource courses, as well as the ability to learn at a time and place that is most convenient for the students (Kader et al., 2022).

There are, however, a number of drawbacks that students encounter when they choose to take their education online. This covers mental health, but the most essential factor is whether or not students are satisfied with their online studies. If not, this may lead to the feelings of detachment from their educational institutions and they may feel demotivated continue learning through online setting (Marler et. al., 2021). Many university students struggle to manage their time effectively so that they can study and finish their assignments by the due date, and they are concerned that online learning will have an adverse effect on their academic performance, resulting in depression, a lack of motivation, and a loss of interest in learning. Additionally, some students experience stress because of technological issues including interrupted Internet access, a lack of knowledge with the platform and systems being utilized, unfriendly teaching methods, and a lack of support from family members and lecturers (Kader et al., 2022). The successful implementation of e-Learning systems depends on how the program is performed by students and instructors (Thongsri et al., 2019). Though online teaching is one of the promising alternatives to the physical classroom, students show a negative perception of online learning behavior, which might be a significant consequence that is responsible for psychological distress. There are various causes of e-Learning crack-up such as course quality, the usability of content, technological ease, availability of technical assistance, and the likelihood of interaction with peer students (Penna & Stara, 2007; Ssekakubo et al., 2011). If this situation is not handled well, it will cause stress, depression, and worry for many of the students, which could have a negative impact on their mental health.

To cover the academic gap caused by the pandemic's reality and the resultant statewide closures, online learning has been used internationally as an alternate teaching or learning technique during the COVID-19 quarantine. Due to the extensive spread of the virus across nations and even continents as well as institutional closure, more than 80% of students worldwide no longer attend their universities and colleges including Malaysia. Higher education institutions in Malaysia have been forced to use alternate teaching strategies in light of this circumstance in an effort to close the gap during these challenging times. In order to replicate a virtual classroom where the instructor and the student can converse and complete the curriculum's learning objectives remotely, online learning or e-learning systems have been implemented. Teachers have been challenged to become familiar with new teaching techniques by using platforms like Zoom, Webex, Google Meeting, and Skype to present the curriculum to the students. Although unfamiliar with such experiences, students

enrolling in full instruction programmes experienced a system shock and feel anxiety and depressed (Ngampornchai & Adams, 2016).

National Health and Morbidity Survey 2017 (NHMS 2017) revealed that out of 284,516 respondents surveyed, 50 percent of the students claimed that they experienced some forms of psychological distress, such as examination-related stress, problem with tutor, a family and peer-related issues. In 2018, the number of university students in Malaysia increased and committed suicide due to academic stress in university (The Star, 2018); a local university student succumbed to depression and committed suicide by jumping off the hostel due to the high level of academic stress. Stress, anxiety, and depression have been identified as the most common mental health issues among university students. Depression and anxiety were shown to be prevalent in 15.6 percent of undergraduates and 13 percent of graduate students, according to earlier research (Eisenberg et al., 2013; Yusof et al., 2019). Another study by Nordin & Abu Talib (2009) discovered that 503 Malaysian university students (34%), on average, showed signs of poor psychological health (Yusof et al., 2019). Many people, notably students, have suffered psychological consequences as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic (Liu et al., 2020; Wang & Zhao, 2020). University students experience stress, worry, and despair even when there is no epidemic because of the constant demands of study. In spite of the COVID-19 epidemic, there are still many studies that need to be done to examine online learning in certain circumstances (Kara, 2021). Thus, this study is designed to observe the perceptions of students towards factors influencing psychological well-being among students. This study is important as the findings will shed some light for the university to focus on improving student's psychological well-being.

### **Literature Review**

This section discussed related variables that will be proposed by researchers including psychological wellbeing, technical and financial issues, burden of assignments and non-conducive learning environment.

### **Psychological Well-Being**

Psychological well-being is defined as a combination of positive circumstances in all aspects of life, such as contentment, both physically and spiritually, as well as optimal function. In another study, mental well-being is defined as a positive and sustainable mental state that allows individuals, groups, and nations to thrive and flourish (Agrawal & Krishna, 2021; Clarke et al., 2011). Meanwhile, individuals' happiness, subjective well-being, and eudaimonic well-being are frequently used to define it (Agrawal & Krishna, 2021; Ryan & Deci, 2001). On top of that, psychological well-being is more than just feeling good all of the time; it also includes negative emotions such as frustration, failure, and sadness, all of which are natural aspects of life (Shahira et al., 2018; Stamp et al., 2015). Negative emotions can have a negative impact on health and happiness over time. If not handled properly, this can have negative effects on an individual's mental health. Positive emotional and social support, on the other hand, is critical in developing psychological well-being (Siddiqui et al., 2016). A person with a high psychological well-being will live a happy life, be satisfied with their professional and personal lives, and be capable and well-supported (Panahi et al., 2016).

The current study builds on theoretical and empirical research on the impact of COVID-19 on the global community's psychological well-being, particularly in the areas of education

(Al-salman et al., 2021). While university students, among others, were directly affected by the pandemic, the current study looks into how several factors have influenced the psychological well-being of UiTM university students. There are several factors can affect psychological well-being among university students such as stress, anxiety and depression. Previous research found that depression, anxiety, and stress were found to be 42.2%, 73.7%, and 34.8% among 443 students in Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin (UniSZA), respectively (Shahira et. al., 2018). According to this study, academic pressures such as assignments, exams, final year projects, and facilities are the major sources of stress for students. The results of stress include depression and anxiety, and the inability to cope with stress has been identified as a sign of psychological distress.

On the other hand, prolonged use of digital tools, a lack of face-to-face interaction, and a heavy load of assignments have resulted in anxiety, a change in sleeping habits, distraction, and a stress-building environment, potentially leading to depression (Al-salman et al., 2021). There are also several reasons students getting stress for instance financial difficulties (Chai et. al., 2004), academic pressure (Chai et. al., 2004, Abdul Hamid et. al., 2005, Mahfar et. al., 2005 & Bullare et al., 2009), unconducive study environment (Mahfar et. al., 2005 & Bullare et al., 2009) and poor relationship with classmates (Abdul Hamid et. al., 2005 & Bullare et al., 2009). Because online learning is already linked to feelings of alienation, loneliness, and a negative attitude toward the learning process (Rovai & Wighting, 2005), online learning in the context of the pandemic Covid 19 is certainly cause for concern for students' mental health (Marler et. al., 2021). Hence, the current study to assess the level of psychological symptoms such as depression, anxiety, and stress in order to gain a better understanding of psychological distress among university students in Malaysia.

### **Technical and Financial Issues**

Online or remote education indicates that students are geographically separated from instructors and require a method of delivery (Aguilera-Hermida, 2020; Wang et al., 2013; Wilde & Hsu, 2019). Technology mediates students, lecturers and the design of learning environments (e.g., space where learning occurs) can have a significant impact on learning outcomes (Bower, 2019; Gonzalez et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2013). For decades, researchers have studied online education, and good online teaching is the result of rigorous instructional design and planning (Hodges et al., 2020). Unfortunately, because of the COVID-19 epidemic at the beginning year 2020, many students worldwide were forced to switch from face-to-face instruction to an online learning environment in the middle of the semester. Despite the fact that online learning provides students and lecturers with schedule flexibility and the ability to study at home, not all students enjoy studying online. Some of them must deal with problems such as technological and financial issues. A prior qualitative study discovered that a shortage of internet connections was an issue among students because so many individuals were using the internet at the same time (Aguilera-Hermida, 2020).

Furthermore, some participants expressed stress because they were unfamiliar with some online tools in the similar study. Despite the fact that few students cited unfamiliarity, the following comment illustrates the challenge for a student who has never had an online educational experience. Some of the students had never taken an online course before, so this was extremely stressful for them. They understand that their generation is supposed to be very tech-savvy, but in their personal experience, they are not, and this process was a

struggle that they hope to never have to go through again. In addition, students may also have to share the internet connection with other school-age siblings who are also teaching and learning at home. Due to financial issues, some students must share technical gadgets such as laptops, computers, tablets, and others with their siblings.

### **Burden of Assignments**

Homework or assignments are seen by many educators as a necessary reinforcement tool in the learning process. Whether it is used for advanced training, strengthening a topic that has been taught or as an introduction to a new topic, it is traditionally believed that homework is an important method in the process student learning (Hong et al., 2011). However, too many assignments led to stress among students. It parallel with the findings of the study by Omigbodun et al (2006) who has discovered that stressors such as excessive school works and other physical facilities problem led to psychological distress among Nigerian university students. These factors disturbing the students live emotionally and mentally and affected their study performance. In addition, most of education institutions implementing online learning during pandemic recently determined as contribute factors to worst condition when students cannot cope with the online learning norm.

In past study stated that students complained too many assignments to do, while they lack social support from their friends because of limited physical interaction among them and cause them to suffer emotional disturbance (Irawan, et al., 2020). Some students try interacting with lecturers to consider about assignments that they think so heavy to reduce emotional disturbances among them. It is supported by a study by Abdul Rahman & Rosli (2021) who found that respondents agree that the assignments are increasing become a challenge for students throughout their online learning. This situation is also caused by the difficulty of discussing with friends and lecturers online.

### **Non- Conducive Learning Environment**

To enable students to be equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills, the learning that takes place needs to be effective. One of the crucial factors is the learning environment (Walberg, 1981; Moos, 1979). The learning environment refers to the space allocated for learning (Tessmer & Harris, 1992) whether it is a classroom, a science laboratory, an open area or an office. It is a place where learning takes place either in a social, psychological, and pedagogical context that affects student achievement and attitude (Doppelt & Schunn, 2008). Thus, the learning environment includes all the variables involved in the learning context whether physical, social, or psychological. It can stimulate students to be involved in the learning process and is also able to influence student behavior in addition to the formation of their cognitive skills or perceptions. However, the learning environment during the pandemic is focused on the home which is where online learning takes place. Limited space and social interaction influence the learning effectiveness and affected the students' performance (Yan et al., 2021). Some students have yet to fully experience the learning process because the classroom only appears in digital form. They need time to adapt to the online learning environment and experience a more conducive learning environment (Wang & Zhao, 2020).

Some students felt that on-campus learning was conducive because the regular on-campus routine help them stay motivated and develop a discipline. Others say that online classes, which offer the flexibility to study from home, are a more efficient way to stay on

track and balance a busy schedule (Keane, et al., 2022). On the other hand, not every student has the same perspective. There are some of those whose homes do not provide an adequate setting for study. This could be because they reside in a small house with limited space and are unable to concentrate on the lesson when taking an online class since they are constantly interrupted by their younger siblings at home. This supported by previous research, it has been determined that some students have expressed their frustration and distress about a non-conductive learning environment at home that has negatively impacted their academic performance during online learning. They have to deal with issues like an inadequate home environment and many distractions, which raises the stress level of the students (Ali et al., 2022).

### Proposed Research Framework




Figure 1.0: Proposed research framework of psychological well-being among students in higher learning institutions.

### Methodology

This study applied quantitative research and self-administered questionnaire was adopted to gather the information. Population of this study was students from higher learning institutions in Malaysia and all of them still experiencing in e-learning, between June 2022 to August 2022. The required sample size for this study is 151 as suggested by G-Power.

However, the number of respondents that answered the questionnaire through Google Form are 386. Online data collection was used to gather information from the respondents because of the COVID-19 pandemic. This study used Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) to analyse the collected data and the analysis involve is frequency analysis and descriptive analysis.

### Demographic Analysis

There were 386 students involved in this study. Generally, 294 were female which equivalent to 76.17% while 92 were male and it's equivalent to 23.83%.

Items	Count of Gender
<b>Female</b>	<b>294</b>
<b>18-22 years old</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Degree</b>	<b>59</b>
Face-to-face class	27
Online learning	32
<b>Diploma</b>	<b>121</b>
Face-to-face class	50
Online learning	71
<b>23-27 years old</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Degree</b>	<b>109</b>
Face-to-face class	84
Online learning	25
<b>Diploma</b>	<b>5</b>
Face-to-face class	1
Online learning	4

There were 180 female students ranging from 18-22 years old involved in this study. From this number, there were 59 number of students in degree level while 121 number of students were diploma level. 27 female degree students were experiencing face-to-face class and the rest 32 female degree students were experiencing online learning in university campus. Other than that, 50 female diploma students were experiencing face-to-face class while the other 71 female diploma students were experiencing online learning in university campuses.

There were 114 female students ranging from 23-27 years old involved in this study. From this number, there were 109 number of students in degree level while 5 number of students were diploma level. 84 female degree students were experiencing face-to-face class and the rest 25 female degree students were experiencing online learning in university campus. Other than that, 1 female diploma student was experiencing face-to-face class while the other 4 female diploma students were experiencing online learning in university campuses.

Items	Count of Gender
<b>Male</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>18-22 years old</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Degree</b>	<b>13</b>
Face-to-face class	8
Online learning	5
<b>Diploma</b>	<b>39</b>
Face-to-face class	13
Online learning	26
<b>23-27 years old</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Degree</b>	<b>38</b>
Face-to-face class	28
Online learning	10
<b>Diploma</b>	<b>1</b>
Face-to-face class	1
<b>Above 27 years old</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Degree</b>	<b>1</b>
Online learning	1

There were 52 male students ranging from 18-22 years old involved in this study. From this number, there were 13 number of students in degree level while 39 number of students were diploma level. 8 male degree students were experiencing face-to-face class and the rest 5 male degree students were experiencing online learning in university campus. Other than that, 13 male diploma students were experiencing face-to-face class while the other 26 male diploma students were experiencing online learning in university campuses.

There were 39 male students ranging from 23-27 years old involved in this study. From this number, there were 39 number of students in degree level while 1 student was diploma level. 28 male degree students were experiencing face-to-face class and the rest 10 male degree students were experiencing online learning in university campus. Other than that, 1 male diploma student was experiencing face-to-face class online learning in university campuses.

There were 1 male student ranging from 27 years old and above involved in this study he was experiencing online learning in university campuses.

### Descriptive Analysis

	Mean	N
Psychological well-being	3.59	386
Technical and financial issues	3.89	386
Burden of assignment	3.60	386
Non-conductive learning environment	3.56	386

The result of mean for this study  $M=3.59$  indicates that students have a good and acceptable level of understanding of psychological well-being in university campuses. The highest mean among the independent variables is the technical and financial issues which is  $M=3.89$  followed by burden of assignment with the value of  $M=3.60$ . The lowest mean is non-conductive learning environment, with mean value of  $M=3.56$ .

**Discussions and Conclusions**

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic has caused an unprecedented health crisis that disrupted education institutions globally by pushing offline activities to the online mode. Campus closures and social distancing measures introduced to stop the spread of the virus deprived students of the socializing aspect, negatively impacting their mental health. The pandemic experience was particularly challenging for international students who were devoid of adequate social support (family, friends) their domestic peers had. Despite the current pandemic that is hindering education worldwide, online learning based on internet services has become available and universal, facilitating the learning system. Colleges and universities use online resources to continue their educational journey through software applications such as Google Meeting, Cisco Webex, Zoom and Microsoft Teams. An improved communication technologies enable easy learning systems since access to social media is a beneficial source of information and communication. Online technology is seen as an active element of both students' and lecturers' learning systems. During the pandemic era, several nations used television broadcasts and online sources to promote distance education. In this study, technical and financial issues, burden of assignments and non-conducive learning environment contribute to the psychological well-being among students in higher learning institutions in Malaysia.

E-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic made it possible for university students to complete their studies as per the academic calendar in Malaysia and all over the world, nonetheless, factors such as 'poor time management' and 'fatigue' increased their vulnerability to experience poor emotional well-being. Further studies and research should be carried out in order to confirm which drivers influencing psychological well-being of students. Many findings from past studies imply that academic advising and counseling services should be more readily available during digital studies to support students. Additionally, academic advisors and university counseling services should expand supportive counseling services for female students and students with average academic performance to improve their online learning experiences and mental well-being. For students' online learning to be successful, the university must hold training sessions to improve students' initiative, encourage students to actively interact with lecturers and classmates, and improve students' self-study ability. Besides, through training sessions, universities need to help students realize the usefulness of online learning, especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The online learning system should be built with a friendly and easy-to-use interface and diverse learning programs through the E-learning system, should improve system accessibility, should allow students to actively register, and should be flexible about the time to use. Therefore, higher learning institutions must play a crucial part in assisting students in improving their psychological well-being so that they can adapt to and overcome the challenges of university life. The institution must also enhance its student support services, particularly its counselling services.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors would like to acknowledge to Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Cawangan Melaka, Division of Research and Industrial Linkages through Research Management Unit (RMU) – 600-CM(PJI/RMU.5/5/1) for providing fund (Geran Dalaman TEJA 2022) for this research project.

**Correspondence Author**

Siti Rohana binti Daud

Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Business and Management UiTM Cawangan Melaka

Email: sitirohanadaud@uitm.edu.my

**References**

- Abdul Rahman, N. F., & Rosli, N. J. (2021). Cabaran dan impak pembelajaran dalam talian kepada mahasiswa Pengajian Islam UKM semasa pandemik COVID 19. *Proceeding The 3rd ICDIS 2021. Islam and Southeast Asian Communities Welfare in the COVID-19 ERA.*
- Agrawal, S., & Krishna, S. M. (2021). Communication apprehension and psychological well-being of students in online learning. *Behavioral Sciences, 11*(11).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/bs11110145>
- Aguilera-Hermida, A. P. (2020). College students' use and acceptance of emergency online learning due to COVID-19. *International Journal of Educational Research Open, 1*(August), 100011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100011>
- Al-salman, S., Haider, A. S., & Saed, H. (2021). The psychological impact of COVID-19 ' s e-learning digital tools on Jordanian university students ' well-being.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-09-2021-0106>
- Ali, N. A., Feroz, A. S., Akber, N., & Khoja, A. (2022). Role of COVID-19 pandemic in the academic life and well-being of private sector university students: An exploratory qualitative study. *BMJ Open, 12*(5). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055678>
- Awang Kader, M. A. R., Abd Aziz, N. N., Zaki, M. S., Mustapha, M., & Abu Yazid, Z. N. (2022). The Relationship between Online Learning Acceptance and Emotional Well-Being among Undergraduates. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 12*(5), 1791–1808. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i5/13206>
- Bower, M. (2019). Technology-mediated learning theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50*(3), 1035–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12771>
- Bullare, F. B., Rathakrishnan, B., & Ismail, R. (2009). Sumber stres, strategi daya tindak dan stres yang dialami pelajar universiti. 2000.
- Chai, M. S., Che Din M. F., Harun, L. M. (2004). Level of Psychological Stress among College Students in Malaysia. *Paperwork of Counselling Seminar: UUM*
- Clarke, A., Friede, T., Putz, R., Ashdown, J., Martin, S., Blake, A., Adi, Y., Parkinson, J., Flynn, P., Platt, S., & Stewart-Brown, S. (2011). Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): Mixed methods assessment of validity and reliability in teenage school students in England and Scotland. *BMC Public Health, 11*(1), 487.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21693055>
- Day, T., Chang, I. C. C., Chung, C. K. L., Doolittle, W. E., Housel, J., & McDaniel, P. N. (2021). The Immediate Impact of COVID-19 on Postsecondary Teaching and Learning
- Doppelt, Y., & Schunn, C.D. (2008). Identifying students' perceptions of the important classroom features affecting learning aspects of a design-based learning environment? *Learning Environments Research, 11*(3): 195-209. *Professional Geographer, 73*(1), 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00330124.2020.1823864>
- Eisenberg, D., Hunt, J., & Speer, N. (2013). Mental health in american colleges and universities: Variation across student subgroups and across campuses. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 201*(1), 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e31827ab077>

- Gonzalez, T., De la Rubia, M. A., Hincz, K. P., Comas-Lopez, M., Subirats, L., Fort, S., & Sacha, G. M. (2020). Influence of COVID-19 confinement on students' performance in higher education. *PLoS ONE*, 15(10 October), 1–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239490>
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*.  
<https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remoteteaching-and-online-learning>
- Hong, E., Wan, M., & Peng, Y. (2011). Discrepancies between students and teachers' perceptions of homework. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 22(2), 280-308
- Irawan, A. W., Dwisona, D., & Lestari, M. (2020). Psychological impacts of students on online learning during the pandemic COVID-19. *Jurnal Bimbingan dan Kaunseling*. 7 (1)
- Kara, N. (2021). Enablers and barriers of online learning during the covid-19 pandemic: A case study of an online university course. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 18(4). <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.18.4.11>
- Keane, T., Hernandez-Martinez, P., Linden, T., Molnar, A. (2022). University students' experiences and reflections of technology in their transition to online learning during the global pandemic. *Education Sciences*, 12(7). 453
- Liu, J., Zhu, Q., Fan, W., Makamure, J., Zheng, C., & Wang, J. (2020). Online Mental Health Survey in a Medical College in China During the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00459>
- Marler, E. K., Bruce, M. J., Abaoud, A., Henrichsen, C., Suksatan, W., Homvisetvongsa, S., & Matsuo, H. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on university students' academic motivation, social connection, and psychological well-being. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000294>
- Mahfar, M., Zaini, F., Nordin, N. A. (2007). Investigation of Stress Factors among University Students. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 5(1): 62-72
- Nordin, M. N., & Abu Talib, M. (2009). Kesunyian dan kesejahteraan psikologi di kalangan pelajar Universiti di Malaysia. *Jurnal Psikologi Malaysia*, 23, 27–30.  
<https://spaj.ukm.my/ppppm/jpm/article/view/70>
- Moos, R. H. (1979). *Evaluating Educational Environments: Procedures, Measures, Finding and Policy Implication*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS). (2017): *Key Findings from the Adolescent Health and Nutrition Surveys*
- Ngampornchai, A., & Adams, J. (2016). Students' acceptance and readiness for E-learning in Northeastern Thailand. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 13(1), 1-13
- Omigbodun, O., Odukogbe, A., Omigbodun, A., Yusuf, O., Bella, T., & Olayemi, O. (2006). Stressors and psychological symptoms in students of medicine and allied health professions in Nigeria. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 41(5), 415–421
- Panahi, S., Yunus, A. S. M., Roslan, S., Jaafar, R. A. K., Jaafar, W. M. W., & Panahi, M. S. (2016). Predictors of Psychological Well-being among Malaysian Graduates. *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*, 16(2), 122–137.  
<https://doi.org/10.15405/ejsbs.186>
- Penna, P. M., & Stara, V. (2007). The failure of e-learning: why should we use a learner centred design. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, 3(2), 127–135.  
<https://www.learntechlib.org/p/43414/>

- Rovai, A. P., & Wighting, M. J. (2005). Feelings of alienation and community among higher education students in a virtual classroom. *Internet and Higher Education, 8*(2), 97–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2005.03.001>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On Happiness and Human Potentials: A Review of Research on Hedonic and Eudaimonic Well-Being. In *Annual Review of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141>
- Shahira, N., Zm, L., Riza, N., Chik, A., Shahira, M. N., Hanisshya, H., Lukman, Z. M., Normala, R., Azlini, C., & Kamal, M. Y. (2018). Psychological Well-Being among University Students in Malaysia. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS), 2*(12), 133–137. [www.rsisinternational.org](http://www.rsisinternational.org)
- Siddiqui, Z. U., & Khan, M. I. (2016). Psychological Well-Being among University Students. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology, 3*(4), 114-118
- Solangi, Z. A., Al Shahrani, F., & Pandhiani, S. M. (2018). Factors affecting successful implementation of elearning: Study of colleges and institutes sector RCJ Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 13*(6), 223–230. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i06.8537>
- Ssekakubo, G., Suleman, H., & Marsden, G. (2011). Issues of adoption: Have e-learning management systems fulfilled their potential in developing countries? *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, October*, 231–238. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2072221.2072248>
- Stamp, E., Crust, L., Swann, C., Perry, J., Clough, P., & Marchant, D. (2015). Relationships between mental toughness and psychological wellbeing in undergraduate students. *Personality and Individual Differences, 75*, 170–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.11.038>
- Tessmer, M., & Harris, D. (1992). Analyzing the instructional setting. Kogan Page Limited
- The Star. (2018), “Student found dead in a college toilet”, available at: <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2018/06/28/student-found-dead-in-college-toilet>
- Thongsri, N., Shen, L., & Bao, Y. (2019). Investigating factors affecting learner’s perception toward online learning: evidence from ClassStart application in Thailand. *Behaviour and Information Technology, 38*(12), 1243–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1581259>
- Walberg, H. J. (1981). A psychological theory of education productivity. in. Farley, F.H. & Gordons, N. (pnyt.). *Psychology and Education, 81*-110
- Wang, C., Shannon, D. M., & Ross, M. E. (2013). Students’ characteristics, self-regulated learning, technology self-efficacy, and course outcomes in online learning. *Distance Education, 34*(3), 302–323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2013.835779>
- Wang, Chongying, & Zhao, H. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Anxiety in Chinese University Students. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*(May), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01168>
- Wilde, N., & Hsu, A. (2019). The influence of general self-efficacy on the interpretation of vicarious experience information within online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0158-x>
- Yan, L., Whitelock-Wainwright, A., Guan, Q., Wen, G., Gasevic, D., Chen, G., (2021). Students’ experience of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: A province-wide survey study. *British Journal of Education Technology. 52* (5)

Yusof, H. M., Rahman, R. H. A., Noordin, N. F. M., Patah, S. A., Yunus, W. M. A. W. M., & Fei, G. C. (2019). Does university students' stress have an impact on their psychological well-being? *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development*, 10(6), 1290–1294. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-5506.2019.01473.6>