

Vol 9, Issue 2, (2020) E-ISSN: 2226-6348

Industrial Design Graduates' Perspective on E-Learning in Higher Education

Teo Pei Kian

Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Art & Design, Southern University College, Malaysia

Yusri Kamin

Assoc. Prof., School of Education, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Muhammad Sukri Saud

Professor, School of Education, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v9-i2/7965 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v9-i2/7965

Published Online: 23 July 2020

Abstract

This article discusses the criteria for emphasizing on graduates' perspective on using elearning in higher education and focus on Industrial Design programme. Nowadays, just theory teaching methods as used in earlier time cannot provide sufficiently well for students' learning especially for design programme like Industrial Design. The use of the Internet, digitalization and virtualization enables the integration of technology and educational resources to help form a learner-oriented teaching environment. This study is seeking to incorporate the platform of creative teaching which is e-learning and including the use of lively transferable skill. The objectives of this article are to study perception of e-learning system as a creative teaching method for Industrial Design programme; to investigate the outcome of e-learning system as a creative teaching method for Industrial Design programme. A quantitative research design was conducted to examine Industrial Design students' perception on e-learning. For the purpose of this study, convenience sampling was employed whereby the population of this study comprised the respondents from Johor Bahru, Malaysia only. A total of 35 Industrial Design students were participated in this study and they were agreed to voluntarily participate in the study. In summary, this article consolidates the effectiveness of e-learning as a type of learning method and the importance of e-learning in higher education for Industrial Design students.

Keywords: Industrial Design Education, E-learning, Learning Method, Higher Education, Design Programs

Introduction

The arrival of innovative and technology-driven teaching era has changed the way of interaction among educators and students (Lou et al., 2012). Nowadays, just theory teaching methods as used in earlier time cannot provide sufficiently well for students' learning especially for design programme like Industrial Design. The use of the Internet, digitalization

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

and virtualization enables the integration of technology and educational resources to help form a learner-oriented teaching environment. However, incorporating information and communication technology (ICT) applications into teaching does not assure the enhancement of learning effectiveness. The characteristics and readiness of learners, technology used, curriculum, and network environments must also be considered. (Volman & Van Eck, 2001)

Background of Research

The higher education required an intensity of flexibility and adaptability on teaching and learning. Teaching and learning for a new era requires a new model of Industrial Design education because the technology is changing every year (European Union, 2014). It means the Industrial Design education strategy needs a set of teaching methods that influences innovative development, which creates something unique and turns it into knowledge. Therefore, the development of teaching and learning with e-learning on a study environment for creative development is considered a necessary. This research contains criteria for emphasizing on creative teaching method for using e-learning in higher education and focus on design programme. This study is seeking to incorporate the platform of creative teaching which is e-learning and including the use of lively transferable skill.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- To study perception of e-learning system as a creative teaching method for Industrial Design programme.
- 2. To investigate the outcome of e-learning system as a creative teaching method for Industrial Design programme

Research Questions

- 1. What is the Industrial Design students' perception of e-learning system as a creative teaching method in higher education?
- 2. What is the benefit of e-learning for Industrial Design teaching and learning in higher education?
- 3. What are the challenges of e-learning for creative teaching method in higher education?

Definition of E-learning

E-learning consider learning that utilize electronic advances to get to instructive educational curriculum outside of a conventional classroom. In most cases, it refers to a course, program or degree delivered completely online ("What is eLearning", 2017). E-learning in education is encourages by the web and its innovation and includes the utilize of the World Wide Web (www) to back instruction and to provide course content (Masrom, 2007). Straight to the point Frank & Gary (2012) posted a set of definitions outlined to create a more common understanding of e-learning.

Nowadays, e-learning has ended up the essential shape of remove instruction, it is additionally changing education on campus particularly in higher education. Numerous students live on campus for the term of their considers; others live close campus and commute to campus to require classes and to get campus-based bolster administrations. This physical connection has characterized the relationship between the student and the institution. It has moreover made a difference to shape the educational curriculum itself. E-

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

learning has obscured these conventional connections, evacuating geology as a characterizing component within the relationship of student and institution (Sener, 2015)

E-learning is the utilize of innovation to put through lecturers and students who are physically miles apart. There are fundamentally two types of e-learning:

Synchronous

This type involves interaction of participants with an instructor via the web like virtual classroom. Lecturers and students able to interact through instant messaging, chat, audio and video conferencing. This method has few benefits like lecturers able to log or track learning activities; continuous monitoring and correction; possibilities of global connectivity; and able to personalise the training for each learner.

- Asynchronous

This type allows the participants to complete the WBT (Web-based training) at their own pace, without live interaction with the instructor. Basically, it is information that is accessible on a self-help basis. The speciality of this type is it offers the learners the information they need whenever they need it. The benefit for learner is flexibility of access from anywhere at any time; uniformity of content and one-time cost of production for instructor.

(Cube, 2015)

E-learning for Industrial Design Graduates

Traditional education especially in the higher education is emphasized on delivering material by way of lecture in classroom, while in a blended learning model lectures can be saved and post online ahead of time so the students can learn on their own time. The classroom time is more feasible to be for structured lessons that emphasize the application of the curriculum to solve problems or work through tasks. Blended learning is a term that using a combination of e-learning with traditional classroom methods and independent study to create a new methodology (Mindflash, 2019). In a blended learning context, instructors resolve learning objectives, assign learning tasks, interact with students, give feedback, and evaluate students' achievement (Alshwiah, 2009).

Many researches stated that e-learning is important for lecturers and students in higher education (Songkram, 2014). The higher instruction change that happened more than a decade which centered on learners by utilizing innovation to bolster the teaching and learning process and need to create around higher arrange considering (National Education Act B.E, 1999). Thus, when Industrial Design student enters the learning in higher education institutions, it is necessary to further development and focus on creativity. According to Innovative Learning Technologies (2009), e-learning is developing well known in design industry and design education, with preparing programmes in office and IT instruments topping the list.

Niki (2012) pointed that the effective e-learning environments ought to consider not as it were data and information transmission, but also social and dialogical intelligent between lecturers and students. Subsequently, the design environment in higher education decides the sorts of social intelligent that can encourage teaching and learning in design education. According to the University of Freiburg (2014), e-learning in higher education allows visualization of complex collection of facts through multimedia presentations or simulation processes, Industrial Design lecturers and students able to support construction of design knowledge and competence through communicative and collaborative projects.

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Methodology

A quantitative research design was conducted to examine Industrial Design students' perception on e-learning. For the purpose of this study, convenience sampling was employed whereby the population of this study comprised the respondents from Johor Bahru, Malaysia only. A total of 35 Industrial Design students were participated in this study and they were agreed to voluntarily participate in the study. They were required to complete a survey questionnaire and return it upon completion.

A set of survey questionnaire which consisted 15 questions and four sections: Section A – Personal Information; Section B – Rationale of E-learning; Section C – The Benefits of E-learning and Section D – The Obstacles of E-learning were distributed to the students. The first section focused on the demographic details of the respondents. Section B of this study was used to answer the first research question while sections C and D were used to address the second and third research questions respectively. The data analysis was done by using SPSS Version 22, as to determine the descriptive statistics. The frequency, percentage and mean of the items were reported in Results Section.

Results

The results were presented in four sections according to the questionnaire.

Section A

Table 1: Gender of the respondents

				Valid	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Valid	Male	11	31.4	31.4	31.4
	Female	24	68.6	68.6	100.0
	Total	35	100.0	100.0	

Table 1 shows the gender of the respondents. A total of 35 respondents which consisted of 11 male respondents (31.4%) and 24 female respondents (68.6%) were involved in this study.

Table 2: *Age of the respondents*

				Valid	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Valid	18-20	20	57.0	57.0	57.0
	21-22	15	43.0	43.0	100.0
	Total	35	100.0	100.0	

The age of respondents is shown in Table 2. The age of respondents was classified into five categories and the respondents' age was ranged from 18-22 years old. There were 20 respondents (57%) in the range of 18-20 years old; followed by 15 respondents from 21-22 years old (43%).

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Table 3: Year of study

				Valid	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Valid	1	30	86.0	86.0	86.0
	2	5	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	35	100.0	100.0	

There were 86% of the respondents were in their first year of study while the remaining 14% of the respondents were in their second year of study.

Section B

Table 4: *Definition of e-learning*

	Options	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	
Distance learning	No	20	57.1	1.4286	
	Yes	15	42.9	1.4200	
Online course/ learning	No	8	22.9	1.7714	
	Yes	27	77.1		
Web-based learning	No	16	45.7	1.5429	
	Yes	19	54.3		
Web-based training	No	27	77.1	1.2286	
	Yes	8	22.9		
All learning environments	No	29	82.9	1.1714	
	Yes	6	17.1	1.1/14	
Learning on your own at your	No	19	54.3	1.4571	
own pace	Yes	16	45.7		
Have no idea	No	33	94.3	1 0571	
	Yes	2	5.7	1.0571	

Table 4 shows the definition of e-learning which understood by the respondents. The respondents could choose more than one meaning which they believed to be true for the term e-learning. It can be noticed that majority of the respondents understood that e-learning can be used for online course/ learning (77.1%) and web-based learning (54.3%). However, there were also 2 respondents did not know what e-learning was referred to.

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Table 5: Time spent on e-learning

				Valid	
		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Mean
Valid	0 hours per week	6	17.1	17.1	
	1-3 hours per week	18	51.4	51.4	
	4-6 hours per week	5	14.3	14.3	
	7-9 hours per week	5	14.3	14.3	2.4000
	more than 15 hours per week	1	2.9	2.9	
	Total	35	100.0	100.0	

Based on the results shown in table 5, more than half (51.4%) of the respondents spent 1-3 hours of time on e-learning per week.

Table 6: Purposes of using e-learning

			Percentag		
	Options	Frequency	е	Mean	
For submitting assignments	No	3	8.6	1 0142	
	Yes	32	91.4	1.9143	
For interacting with other	No	29	82.9	1.1714	
students:	Yes	6	17.1	1.1/14	
To engage and participating in	No	19	54.3	1 4571	
discussion forums	Yes	16	45.7	1.4571	
To check announcements	No	13	37.1	1 6206	
posted by the lecturers	Yes	22	62.9	1.6286	
To access study resources	No	12	34.3	1 6571	
	Yes	23	65.7	1.6571	

The next question of the survey questionnaire is related to students' purposes of using elearning, as shown in Table 6. The respondents used e-learning for variety purposes such as to submit assignments (91.4%), to check announcements posted by the lecturers or tutors (62.9%) and to access study resources (65.7%).

Table 7: Perception on live lectures over internet

		Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Do you believe you can have live lectures over the internet, as in done in the classroom?	Yes No	25 10	71.4 28.6	1.2857

Table 7 shows the students' perception on live lectures over internet. Most of the students (25 out of 35 respondents) believed on the item while 10 respondents still doubt on the applicability of having live lectures.

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Table 8: Immediate feedback on questions

	Options	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Do you know you can ask questions and get immediate feedback when studying in elearning, just as is done in the classroom?	Yes	23	65.7 34.3	1.3429

Results in Table 8 indicates that the students understand that by using e-learning, they can get immediate feedback on the questions asked, just like in the normal classroom. A total of 23 respondents chose option yes while the remaining 12 respondents chose option no.

Table 9: Respondents' perception on e-learning

ponaents ⁻ perception on e-leal		I _	1_	1
	Options	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
I can foresee the usefulness of e-learning	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	9 22 4 0	25.7 62.9 11.4 0	1.8571
Studying through e-learning can increase my learning effectively, as I will have easy access to learning materials.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	5 26 4 0	14.3 74.3 11.4 0	1.9714
Learning electronically can improve my course performance as I will not need to travel to campus, but study at the comfort of my home	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	7 22 5 1	20.0 62.9 14.3 2.9	2.0000
Using e-learning system can enable me to accomplish task more quickly, since I will move at own pace.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	12 19 4 0	34.3 54.3 11.4 0	1.7714
I believe e-learning platforms are user friendly.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	9 21 5 0	25.7 60.0 14.3 0	1.8857
It is easy for me to find necessary information when using an e-learning platform.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	10 21 4 0	28.6 60.0 11.4 0	1.8286

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

I think e-learning is an innovative concept and must be encouraged.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	11 19 5 0	31.4 54.3 14.3 0	1.8286
I think e-learning platform will be fun to use.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	11 22 2 0	31.4 62.9 5.7 0	1.7429
I will use e-learning in the future for studies.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	8 26 1 0	22.9 74.3 2.9 0	1.8000

Item 9 requires the students to show the degree of agreement on the statements which applies to the respondents the most. Majority of the respondents showed their agreement (included both strongly agree and agree) on all the statements mentioned in Table 9 and the mean of all the statements above were equal or less than 2.000 which gave a meaning of the results were skewed to agreement.

Section C

Table 10:

Respondents' perception on off-campus support

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	•	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Do you get support when you are off-campus in terms of accessing resources?	Yes No	23 12	65.7 34.3	1.3429

23 respondents stated that they received off-campus support when they faced difficulty in accessing the resources from e-learning while 12 respondents did not receive support when they were off-campus.

Table 11: Respondents' perception technology

		Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Has the technology enabled you to access study resources effectively?	Yes No	26 9	74.3 25.7	1.2571

According to table 11, the students (74.3%) perceived that technology can help them to access study resources effectively.

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Table 12:

Benefits of using e-learning for learning

			Percentag	
	Options	Frequency	e	Mean
Ease of access to information:	No	5	14.3	1.8571
	Yes	30	85.7	1.65/1
Easy to get supervision	No	27	77.1	1.2286
wherever you are	Yes	8	22.9	1.2280
Safe digital environment for	No	16	45.7	1.5429
students to submit work	Yes	19	54.3	1.5429
Combining of both synchronous	No	25	71.4	1 2057
and asynchronous learning	Yes	10	28.6	1.2857
Potential for re-use of content:	No	23	65.7	1 2/20
	Yes	12	34.3	1.3429
Students can learn at their own	No	12	34.3	1.6571
pace	Yes	23	65.7	1.03/1
Facilitates the management of	No	17	48.6	1.5143
student records	Yes	18	51.4	1.3143

Table 12 shows the results obtained from the respondents regarding the benefits that they can receive when they are using e-learning. Among the 7 statements, the respondents believed that by using e-learning, they can access to information easily (85.7%), can have safe digital environment (54.3%), can learn at their own pace (65.7%) and it can facilitate the management of student records (51.4%). However, only a minority of students believed that e-learning can help them get supervision easily (22.9%), can have both synchronous and asynchronous learning (28.6%) and can re-use of content (34.3%).

Table 13: Respondents' Perception on The Benefits of Using E Learning As A Student

		Frequenc	Percenta	
	Options	У	ge	Mean
Flexibility in time and place.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	12 21 2 0	34.3 60.0 5.7 0	1.7143
Ease and quick share of educational material.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	9 20 6 0	25.7 57.1 17.1 0	1.9143
Improved collaboration and interactivity among students.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	8 23 3 1	22.9 65.7 8.6 2.9	1.9143

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

	T			1
Access to higher education for all applicants.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	9 19 7 0	25.7 54.3 20.0 0	1.9429
Possibility of working with e- learning. In your perception	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	7 21 7 0	20.0 60.0 20.0 0	2.0000
Accommodates different types of learning styles	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	6 23 6 0	17.1 65.7 17.1 0	2.0000
Quick feedback.	Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree	7 12 14 2	20.0 34.3 40.0 5.7	2.3143
Wide and diverse interactions. In your perception, please rate the benefits of e-learning to you as a student.	Agree	4 26 4 1	11.4 74.3 11.4 2.9	2.0571
Updated learning material. In your perception, please rate the benefits of e-learning to you as a student.		5 25 5 0	14.3 71.4 14.3 0	2.0000
Assist in learning. In your perception, please rate the benefits of e-learning to you as a student.	Agree	7 23 5 0	20.0 65.7 14.3 0	1.9429

For all the statement above, more students showed their agreement (strongly agree and agree option) than disagreement (disagree and strongly disagree option).

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Section D

Table 14: Challenges of using e-learning

			Percentag	
	Options	Frequency	е	Mean
Having no access to computers.	No	15	42.9	1.5714
	Yes	20	57.1	
No electricity	No	23	65.7	1.3429
	Yes	12	34.3	
Low level of English competency.	No	22	62.9	1.3714
	Yes	13	37.1	
Need for face to face interaction.	No	19	54.3	1.4571
	Yes	16	45.7	
Low level of awareness.	No	23	65.7	1.3429
	Yes	12	34.3	
Not familiar with the systems.	No	21	60.0	1.4000
	Yes	14	40.0	
Lack of technical support.	No	20	57.1	1.4286
	Yes	15	42.9	

Table 14 shows the challenges encounter by the respondents while they are using e-learning. It can be noticed that the students did not faced many problems when they were experiencing e-learning as more of the students chose option 'no' than option 'yes'. The only challenges which faced by most of the respondents was that they did not have access to computers.

Discussion and Conclusion

This section is showing the summary of the findings that based on the objectives of the research. Based from the results, the objectives of research are fulfilled.

The Industrial Design students believed that e-learning was a type of learning method which was carried out online. However, the students were not keen of using e-learning though they understand that they can use e-learning for variety of purposes. E-learning can be used to support traditional lecture method and it can promote collaborative learning among students. It promotes interaction among the students (Razali & Yahya, 2010) and the students can cooperate with each other regardless of the environments because it can be used anytime at any place. Yet, many respondents still confused the function of e-learning as they perceived that interaction with others through e-learning is impossible and as well as they cannot engage in discussion forums in e-learning.

As to replace the traditional learning method and to enhance the effectiveness of Industrial Design students' learning, live lectures should be a creative way of teaching the students whereby the students can study at a place which they are comfortable at. They do not need to stay in a classroom as to attend a two- or three-hours lecture, but they can study at home and receive the same quality of knowledge that they can gained when they attend the class. It can help the students to improve their learning and they can finish their task more quickly. Abdillah (2013) stated that e-learning help to transform traditional learning method to a faceless learning method. To make e-learning more effective to be used, it should include also the function of having live lectures so that it fulfils the needs of students who required face-to-face interaction.

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

Technology can help the Industrial Design students to learn effectively. The use of e-learning enables the students to get to access the design resources easily and the students can get any updated design issues online. The appropriate learning method should not be only focused on printed materials but also the online resources. The lecturers can use e-learning to share resources with the students and the students can help each other through e-learning platform. It brings a lot of benefits to the students as it eases the process of accessing information and the students are responsible to own learning.

In conclusion, e-learning is an effective way of teaching which tally with the aims of 21st century learning method. It is a student-centred learning method and it encourages the students to work with each other to solve the problem. The use of e-learning should not be focus only on the submission of assignments, but the lecturers should be more alert on the different useful functions that can improve students' learning and performance. The traditional learning is never enough to help the Industrial Design students to acquire enough knowledge and practical skills to solve the problems that they may face in real-life situation, but the use of technology can help to facilitate the process of learning.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the support from two universities: Southern University College, Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Corresponding Author

Teo Pei Kian, Faculty of Art & Design, Southern University College, Malaysia Email: pkteo@sc.edu.my

References

- Abdillah, L. A. (2013). Students Learning Center Strategy Based on E-learning and Blogs. Prosiding SNST ke-4 Tahun 2013.
- Afshari, M., Bakar, K. A., Luan, W. S., Smah, B. A., & Fooi, F. S. (2009). Factors Affecting Teachers's Use of Information. *International Journal of Instruction*, 2 (1), 77-104.
- Alain, F. (2001). Rethinking Design Education for the 21st Century: Theoretical, Methodological, and Ethical Discussion. *MIT Press*, 17 (1), 5-17.
- Ali, G. (2013). The Role of ICT to Make Teaching-Learning Effective in Higher Institutions of Learning in Uganda. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2 (8), 4061-4073.
- Brent, C. M. (2014). Understanding, Teaching and Nurturing Creativity: Who Is Going To Do It? Creative Studies Graduate Student Master's Projects. Paper 203.
- Buzzetto-More, N. A. (2008). Student Perceptions of Various E-learning Components. *Interdisciplinary Journal of E-learning and Learning Objects*, 4, 113-135.
- Christensen, T. (2015). The Differences Between Imagination, Creativity, and Innovation.

 Retrieved 3 December 2019, from

 https://creativesomething.net/post/119280813066/the differences-between-imagination-creativity
- Cube, G. (2015). Different Types of E-learning and What Suits Me Best. Retrieved
- from http://www.gc-solutions.net/resources/articles/different-types=of-e-learning-and-what-suits-me-best.html access on 3 December 2019.
- Dron, J., Anderson, T., & Siemens, G. (2011). Putting Things in Context: Designing Social Media for Education. *Proceedings of the European Conference on e-learning;2011,* 178.
- Instruction, D. (2014). Reference Table: e-Learning Types and Approaches. T&L Stocklate, AY2014.
- Education Scotland. (2013). *Creativity Across Learning 3-18*. Retrieved from https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/18518/7/Creativity3to18_tcm4-814361_Redacted.pdf access on 12 August 2017.
- Hao, J., & Tang, M. X. (2010). Web-Based Learning Platforms Integrating Social Networking for Design Education at High Schools in China. Computational Intelligence and Software Engineering (CiSE). China: IEEE Xplore.
- Işıl, O. I., & Zeynep K. (2019). Industrial Design Education in the Age of Digital Products. *The Design Journal*, 22 (1), 1973-1982, DOI: 10.1080/14606925.2019.1594922
- International Education Advisory Board. (2008). *Learning in the 21st Century: Teaching Today's Students on Their Terms*. Retrieved from
- https://www.certiport.com/Portal/Common/DocumentLibrary/IEAB_Whitepaper040808.pd f. access on 13 July 2015.
- Janette, R. H., Liyan S., & Richard, E. W. (2009). Social Learning Theory and Web-Based Learning Environment. A Review of Research and Discussion of Implications, American Journal of Distance Education.
- Kolko, J. (2005). New Techniques in Industrial Design Education. 6th International Conference of the European Academy of Design Proceedings. Germany: The European Academy of Design. 2005.
- Lim, B. (2015). A Discussion on Creativity and Design Education in Singapore and Malaysia. Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science, 3(2), 56-61.
- Linda, N. (2014). What is Creativity? Creativity at Work. Retrieved from

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020, E-ISSN: 2226-6348 © 2020

- https://www.creativityatwork.com/2014/06/24/creativity-education-matters/ access on 15 August 2018.
- Lou, S. J., Chen, N. C., Tsai, H. Y., Tseng, K. H., & Shih, R. C. (2012). Using blended creative teaching: Improving a teacher education course on designing materials for young children. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(5), 776-792.
- Mary, M. (2014). New Modes of Learning and Teaching in Higher Education. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Mihaela, E. R., & C. Cole. (2008). *Enabling Creative Thinking in Digital Design Education*. Retrieved from http://docplayer.net/16991301-Enabling-creative-thinking-in-digital-design-education.html access on 5 August 2018.
- Niki, L. (2012). Supporting Social Awareness in Collaborative E-learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2011.01184.x.
- OECD. (2016). *Innovating Education and Educating for Innovation*. Retrieved from http://www.oecd.org/education/ceri/GEIS2016-Background-document.pdf access on 15 July 2019.
- OECD. (2018). The Future of Education and Skills. Retrieved from
- https://www.oecd.org/education/2030/E2030%20Position%20Paper%20(05.04.2018).pdf access on 20 July 2019.
- Razali, N. S. M., & Yahya, N. (2010). Blended Learning: Overcome the Weaknesses of Elearning and Traditional Approach. Edupress 2010.
- Ruby, S. (2015). How to Apply Social Learning Theory for Effective E-Learning. Retrieved from https://www.pulselearning.com/blog/how-to-apply-social-learning-theory-for-effective-elearning/ access on 10 August 2018.
- Sener, J. (2015). E-learning Definitions. Retrieved from
- https://onlinelearningconsortium.org/updated-e-learning-definitions-2/ access on 5 December 2017.
- Sisko, J. (2014). Social Media's Role in Product Design and Development. Retrieved from https://carabinercomms.com/social-media/social-medias-role-in-product-design-and-development/ access on 5 December 2019.
- Songkram, N. (2015). E-learning system in virtual learning environment to develop creative thinking for learners in higher education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 674-679.
- Vassiliou, A. (2014). New Modes of Learning and Teaching in Higher Education. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Volman & Van. (2001). Gender equity and information technology in education: The second decade. *Review of Educational Research*, 71(4), 613-634.