

Enhancing Developmental Assessments for Children with Intellectual Disabilities in Malaysia: The Application of the Score Model

Nursohana Othman¹, Mohd Effendi @ Ewan Mohd Matore², Nurbaya Mohd Rosli¹, Siti Hannah Sabtu¹, Muhamad Firdaus Mohd Noh¹, Mohd Tarmizi Azeman¹, Hamzah Ishak¹ ¹Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia, ²Research Centre of Education Leadership and Policy, Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia Corresponding Author Email: effendi@ukm.edu.my

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i3/22760 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i3/22760

Published Online: 30 September 2024

Abstract

This study investigates the application of the SCORE model in assessing the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia. Unlike traditional methods, the SCORE model—encompassing Strengths, Challenges, Opportunities, Responses, and Effectiveness—offers a more positive and action-oriented framework. It provides a holistic assessment approach that not only measures the intrinsic capabilities and developmental progress of these children but also considers both internal and external factors affecting their growth. The objective is to enhance the precision and relevance of assessments, thereby optimizing support systems and intervention strategies for this vulnerable population. The scope of this paper, however, is limited and does not provide a comprehensive review of every aspect of the SCORE model. It specifically focuses on its applicability in identifying developmental challenges and devising strategic educational interventions. The findings underscore the importance for policymakers and educational administrators to integrate comprehensive assessment models like SCORE into standard special education practices. Such integration can lead to more targeted and effective support strategies tailored to the unique needs of children with intellectual disabilities. Future research is vital and could explore the long-term effects of the SCORE model on educational outcomes, assess its adaptability across different regions, or incorporate emerging technologies like artificial intelligence to advance assessment methodologies. This ongoing research could significantly transform special needs education practices, optimizing support and outcomes for children with intellectual disabilities.

Keywords: SCORE Model, Intellectual Disabilities, Educational Assessments, Strategic Planning, Special Education

Introduction

The developmental assessment of children with intellectual disabilities is a critical area of research, as accurate and comprehensive assessments are essential for understanding their educational and developmental needs. In Malaysia, traditional assessment methods often fail to provide a holistic view of these children's abilities and challenges, leading to inadequate support and intervention strategies. To address these limitations, this study adopts the SCORE model—a strategic framework encompassing Strengths, Challenges, Opportunities, Responses, and Effectiveness. The methodology involves a thorough analysis using the SCORE model to assess the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities, focusing on these five elements to provide a comprehensive evaluation of their abilities and needs. This approach not only captures the intrinsic capabilities and developmental progress of these children but also considers both external and internal factors influencing their growth. Such a holistic perspective is essential for developing tailored interventions that significantly improve educational outcomes and ensure that children with intellectual disabilities receive the support they need.

The findings demonstrate that the SCORE model is an effective tool for identifying the strengths, challenges, and opportunities in the educational development of children with intellectual disabilities. By recognizing specific areas for improvement and potential interventions, the model facilitates more targeted and impactful educational strategies. The significance of this study lies in its potential to reshape special education in Malaysia by introducing a more robust and effective assessment framework. While traditional tools like SWOT, TOWS, NOISE, and SOAR provide basic strategic insights, the SCORE model offers a more nuanced and proactive alternative that has been largely overlooked in the Malaysian context. Incorporating this model into standard assessment practices allows educators and policymakers to address barriers more effectively, developing precise and impactful strategies tailored to the unique needs of children with intellectual disabilities.

Further research could involve longitudinal studies to explore the stability and predictive capacity of the SCORE model over time. Such studies could investigate its long-term effects on educational outcomes, career achievements, and overall well-being of children with intellectual disabilities. Additionally, comparing the effectiveness of the SCORE model with other strategic planning paradigms in various educational contexts could provide deeper insights into its adaptability and broader applicability. By leveraging this model, the study aims to enhance the accuracy and relevance of assessments, optimize support systems, and refine intervention strategies. This approach not only benefits children and their families but also has broader implications for educational policy and practice, contributing to a more inclusive and effective educational environment. Ultimately, the adoption of the SCORE model can lead to the development of comprehensive and sustainable support strategies, ensuring that the unique developmental needs of children with intellectual disabilities are met effectively.

SCORE Model

The SCORE model represents a progressive and action-oriented strategy that surpasses traditional methods like SWOT analysis in effectiveness and orientation. By proactively identifying potential obstacles and fostering the development of actionable solutions, the SCORE model supports well-informed decision-making and strategic action to address challenges (Neal, 2023).



Figure 1: SCORE Model as Strategy Assessment Beyond SWOT (Neal, 2023)

Figure 1 shows the SCORE Model as strategy assessment beyond SWOT. The SCORE model, traditionally applied in corporate contexts, holds substantial promise for strategic assessments in educational settings, especially in evaluating the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities. This model incorporates five key elements: Strengths, Challenges, Options, Responses, and Effectiveness (SCORE) (Neal 2023), providing a comprehensive framework for educational strategy development.

Strengths (S) refer to the areas where educational institutions excel or demonstrate potential, particularly in developing and implementing specialized teaching methods and resources tailored for children with intellectual disabilities. **Challenges (C)** highlight the need for additional resources or capabilities, such as advanced training for teachers and the integration of suitable technological aids that can enhance learning experiences and outcomes.

Options (O) explore potential opportunities and risks, offering avenues to adopt cutting-edge educational technologies and methodologies that could significantly improve the instructional approaches for children with intellectual disabilities. **Responses (R)** from stakeholders, including educators, parents, and policymakers, are crucial as they provide feedback on the effectiveness of the implemented strategies and suggest areas for further improvement.

Effectiveness (E) evaluates the efficiency and reliability of the educational interventions put in place. This aspect ensures that the strategies are not only appropriate for the educational goals but also executed in a manner that maximizes resource utilization and achieves significant educational outcomes.

Integrating the SCORE model into the assessment practices within educational frameworks for children with intellectual disabilities can significantly enhance the decision-making process. By providing a structured approach to analyze internal strengths and external opportunities, along with anticipating challenges and strategizing effective responses, the SCORE model facilitates a holistic understanding of the educational landscape. This comprehensive analysis enables institutions to effectively tailor interventions that cater to the unique developmental needs of children with intellectual disabilities, thereby optimizing their educational trajectories and ensuring that interventions are both impactful and sustainable. The application of the SCORE model in this context not only aligns with current educational

needs but also sets the foundation for future advancements in special education practices, ensuring that children with intellectual disabilities receive the best possible support and education (Olusanya et al. 2023).

Strengths

In the landscape of developmental assessments for children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia, the integration of empirically validated assessment tools marks a critical advancement. These instruments provide a robust framework that enables precise tracking of developmental trajectories, ensuring that data collected accurately reflects the actual developmental progress, which is crucial for formulating accurate diagnostics and personalized intervention strategies (Gerstein et al. 2021; Hristova et al. 2017).

The role of expert professionals in this field cannot be understated. The team, comprising specialists in child development, educational measurement, and special education, brings a depth of knowledge that ensures assessments are not only comprehensive but also finely attuned to the nuanced needs of each child. This expertise not only enhances the assessment quality but also ensures adherence to the highest ethical standards in research methodologies (Piske et al. 2022).

Support from educational and governmental institutions in Malaysia plays a pivotal role in underpinning these studies. This support, spanning financial, logistical, and policy-making aspects, is essential for the effective implementation and long-term sustainability of the studies. Collaboration with educational institutions catering to children with intellectual disabilities enables practical, real-world assessments and data collection, enhancing the contextual relevance and utility of the research findings.

The scope of services tailored for this demographic underscores a significant leadership in addressing the complex needs of children with intellectual disabilities. These services range from detailed developmental assessments to the creation of individualized educational plans and specific interventions. By incorporating both local expertise and international best practices, the services address comprehensive educational, social, and emotional requirements, ensuring that the interventions are culturally pertinent and globally informed (Elosua et al., 2023).

Moreover, the establishment of extensive collaborative networks with diverse stakeholders including educational bodies, international research institutions, and non-governmental organizations—amplifies the research capacity. These collaborations facilitate the sharing and integration of innovative assessment tools and methodologies, positioning the research at the vanguard of global developmental assessments (UNICEF 2023).

International collaboration further augments the research, providing financial backing, technical expertise, and access to global networks that enhance both the quality of studies and their international standing. This external support is instrumental in fostering policy enhancements and securing additional resources aimed at improving developmental outcomes for children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia (Alshoura 2023; S. M. Baqutayan et al., 2016).

Collectively, these elements form a multifaceted approach that significantly contributes to the understanding and enhancement of developmental pathways for children with intellectual disabilities within Malaysia. By leveraging rigorous assessment tools, expert personnel, comprehensive support structures, and strong collaborative networks, this approach embodies a sophisticated strategy aimed at optimizing educational and developmental outcomes in this special needs population (Lipkin et al., 2020)

Challenges

In the exploration of developmental progress in children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia, researchers face a set of complex challenges that require thoughtful strategic management and adaptation. Variability in developmental trajectories is one of the most significant challenges, as children with intellectual disabilities exhibit a broad spectrum of developmental stages. This diversity necessitates bespoke assessment approaches to precisely capture the unique developmental nuances of each child, ensuring that evaluations are both accurate and meaningful (Marlow et al., 2019; Nair et al., 2023).

Ethical considerations are paramount in this field of study. Ensuring the welfare and privacy of children with intellectual disabilities during data collection is critical. Researchers must navigate the delicate balance of achieving comprehensive research objectives while rigorously adhering to ethical standards that safeguard the rights and dignity of participants (Dalgaard et al., 2022).

The use of specialized assessment tools, which are often sophisticated and complex, presents another hurdle. These tools require researchers to possess specific expertise, highlighting the need for continuous professional development. Such training demands substantial time and financial investment but is essential for maintaining the integrity and accuracy of the research (Gulati et al., 2019).

The integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) into the assessment process introduces further complexities. While AI promises to refine the accuracy and efficiency of assessments, its effective integration necessitates that researchers are not only technologically adept but also skilled in applying these tools in a sensitive and ethically sound manner (Rashme et al., 2021; Widiyanto & Abuhasan, 2020).

Addressing these challenges effectively is critical for advancing the understanding of developmental progress in children with intellectual disabilities. Strategic responses to these challenges are essential for enhancing the methodological robustness of the research and ensuring the ethical integrity and reliability of the outcomes. This nuanced approach is crucial for the development of informed strategies that genuinely enhance the educational and developmental supports for this vulnerable population.

Options

In addressing the complex challenges of assessing developmental progress in children with intellectual disabilities, the SCORE model provides a comprehensive suite of strategic options. One innovative approach involves collaborating with technology experts to integrate advancements in artificial intelligence (AI). This collaboration could significantly enhance the accuracy and efficiency of developmental assessments by refining assessment tools to be

more adaptive, thus better catering to the diverse needs of children with intellectual disabilities (Yoro et al., 2020). The potential to develop new instruments, models, frameworks, or modules with AI integration suggests a transformative shift in how educational assessments are designed and conducted.

Continual professional development is crucial in this evolving field. Regular training programs for researchers and practitioners ensure proficiency with both established and emerging technologies used in assessments. These training initiatives are vital for upholding the highest standards of accuracy and reliability in developmental evaluations, and for preparing professionals to utilize newly developed AI-enhanced tools effectively (Grassini, 2023).

The implementation of longitudinal studies offers another strategic dimension. Such research provides a deeper understanding of the developmental trajectories over time, offering insights that are not attainable through cross-sectional studies. This approach fosters the design of dynamic and effective intervention strategies that are specifically tailored to the ongoing needs of these children, incorporating real-time data and AI analytics to fine-tune interventions (Buschmeyer et al., 2023).

Moreover, increasing support resources through enhanced governmental and organizational backing is critical for the successful implementation of these innovations. Substantial funding, policy support, and resource allocation are crucial for sustaining thorough research activities and for supporting the development and integration of new AI-driven educational tools and frameworks. This support not only facilitates the initial stages of innovative research but also ensures the durability and impact of the findings on educational practices and policies ((Pedro et al. 2019).

By incorporating these strategic measures outlined by the SCORE model, including the potential for AI-driven innovations in educational assessments, the field can advance significantly in addressing the methodological and practical challenges of researching the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities. These efforts aim to not only enhance assessment techniques but also improve the overall educational outcomes and interventions for this vulnerable population.

Responses

In assessing the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities, the anticipated responses from various stakeholders are integral to the success and impact of the research. Parental engagement is essential, as parents who understand the nuances of precise assessments are better equipped to support and advocate for their children's needs, leading to enhanced developmental outcomes (Abdoola et al. 2023; Safari 2021).

Educational institutions, such as schools and specialized centers, are expected to view the research as crucial for refining their educational strategies. The adoption of advanced assessment methods can lead to more personalized and effective educational plans tailored to the unique needs of students with intellectual disabilities, thereby enhancing educational delivery (Nwokolo et al. 2022; Top 2023).

The broader research community is likely to see this as an opportunity to deepen the investigation into developmental assessments. Such studies could drive policy improvements and foster legislative changes that promote the integration of these assessments into mainstream educational practices. Furthermore, the research outcomes might encourage more detailed exploration into the effectiveness of various assessment tools and interventions within special needs education (Talbott et al. 2023).

Effectiveness

The effectiveness of the SCORE model in assessing children with intellectual disabilities is crucial, influencing both educational outcomes and therapeutic interventions. This effectiveness is measured across several dimensions: efficiency, reliability, elegance, appropriateness, and integration.

Efficiency within the SCORE model emphasizes the judicious use of resources, ensuring that every intervention provides maximum value, particularly in settings where resources are constrained. This aspect is not merely about cost-saving but enhancing the impact of each educational or therapeutic intervention (Ramírez-Duque et al. 2020). Reliability in the SCORE model is vital for its ability to consistently produce accurate and dependable results across different settings and populations. This reliability supports longitudinal research essential for tracking developmental changes and adapting interventions accordingly. The elegance of the SCORE model lies in its simplicity and clarity, making it accessible to a broad audience, including educators, therapists, and parents. This accessibility ensures that the model can be implemented effectively without extensive specialized training (Ramírez-Duque et al. 2020). Appropriateness is another critical dimension, assessing whether the SCORE model meets the educational objectives and addresses the unique needs of children with intellectual disabilities. It involves ensuring that the tools and strategies are culturally sensitive and tailored specifically to the educational context (Hannant et al. 2023). Integration examines how well the SCORE model synchronizes with existing educational and therapeutic frameworks, enhancing the overall support system for children with intellectual disabilities. Effective integration ensures a cohesive approach that complements other interventions and supports holistic development.

An additional consideration is the potential risk associated with a lack of capabilities or resources to fully implement the SCORE model. This risk can lead to suboptimal utilization of the model, potentially limiting its effectiveness in some educational settings. Addressing this risk involves strategic planning to ensure that all involved parties are adequately prepared and supported to utilize the model effectively.

The application of the SCORE model in special needs education, particularly for children with intellectual disabilities, demonstrates its critical role as a strategic assessment tool. By focusing on these dimensions, educators and therapists can ensure their approaches are scientifically robust and practically beneficial, tailored to meet the unique challenges and needs of their students. Figure 2 illustrates the SCORE Framework Model, emphasizing how the SCORE model offers a structured and dynamic approach to evaluating and supporting the educational needs of children with intellectual disabilities. By systematically addressing each component of the SCORE model, stakeholders can more effectively align educational practices

with the specific developmental profiles of these children. This alignment is expected to lead to improved educational outcomes and more effective support strategies.



Figure 2: SCORE Framework Model application for the strategic assessment of developmental progress in children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia

Conclusion

This study presents substantial findings on the application of the SCORE model in assessing the developmental progress of children with intellectual disabilities in Malaysia. The model has shown to be highly effective, providing a multifaceted framework that caters to the diverse needs of these children. It highlights the necessity of incorporating holistic assessment models like SCORE into regular special education practices to enhance educational strategies and interventions tailored specifically to this group.

However, the scope of this paper is limited; it does not cover every aspect of the SCORE model but focuses on its relevance in identifying developmental challenges and strategizing educational interventions. The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers and educational administrators, emphasizing the integration of comprehensive assessment models into standard educational practices. Such integration can lead to the development of more precise and effective support strategies that directly address the unique needs of children with intellectual disabilities.

Furthermore, by leveraging an in-depth understanding of the strengths, challenges, opportunities, and resources provided by the SCORE model, educators can implement more sustainable and effective educational interventions. This approach not only improves current educational practices but also sets a foundation for future research. Additional studies could explore the long-term effects of the SCORE model on educational outcomes, its adaptability across various regions, and the integration of emerging technologies like artificial intelligence to further refine assessment methodologies. This ongoing research could transform special

needs education practices, optimizing support and outcomes for children with intellectual disabilities.

References

- Abdoola, S., Swanepoel, D. W. & Van Der Linde, J. (2023). A Scoping Review on the Use of the Parents Evaluation of Developmental Status and PEDS: Developmental Milestones Screening Tools. Journal of Early Intervention 45(3): 203–226. doi:10.1177/10538151221091202
- Alshoura, H. 2023. Critical Review of Special Needs Education Provision in Malaysia: Discussing Significant Issues and Challenges Faced. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education 70(5): 869–884. doi:10.1080/1034912X.2021.1913718
- Buschmeyer, K., Hatfield, S. & Zenner, J. (2023). Psychological assessment of AI-based decision support systems: tool development and expected benefits. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence 6. doi:10.3389/frai.2023.1249322
- Dalgaard, N. T., Bondebjerg, A., Viinholt, B. C. A. & Filges, T. (2022). The effects of inclusion on academic achievement, socioemotional development and wellbeing of children with special educational needs. Campbell Systematic Reviews 18(4). doi:10.1002/cl2.1291
- Elosua, P., Aguado, D., Fonseca-Pedrero, E., Abad, F. J. & Santamaría, P. (2023). New Trends in Digital Technology-Based Psychological and Educational Assessment. Psicothema 35(1): 50–57. doi:10.7334/psicothema2022.241
- Gerstein, E. D., Cherry, K. E. & Diemer, M. C. (2021). Identifying developmental profiles for children in Early Head Start: Associations with early risk and protective factors. Early Childhood Research Quarterly 57: 191–201. doi:10.1016/j.ecresq.2021.06.007
- Grassini, S. 2023. Development and validation of the AI attitude scale (AIAS-4): a brief measure of general attitude toward artificial intelligence. Frontiers in Psychology 14. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1191628
- Hannant, P., Gartland, R., Eales, H. & Mooncey, S. (2023). A tool to profile neural, sensory and motor development in children at school entry, identifying possible barriers to learning and emotional well-being in early childhood. Support for Learning 38(4): 167–177. doi:10.1111/1467-9604.12455
- Hristova, M., Manolova, H., Staykova, S. & Markova, G. (2017). Assessment of Intellectual Functioning among Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders: Challenges and Implications Beyond the Clinical Practice. Journal of Intellectual Disability-Diagnosis and Treatment, hlm. Vol. 5.
- Marlow, M., Servili, C. & Tomlinson, M. (2019). A review of screening tools for the identification of autism spectrum disorders and developmental delay in infants and young children: recommendations for use in low- and middle-income countries. Autism Research 12(2): 176–199. doi:10.1002/aur.2033
- Nair, M. K. C., Radhakrishnan, R. & Olusanya, B. O. (2023). Promoting school readiness in children with developmental disabilities in LMICs. Frontiers in Public Health 11(3). doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.993642
- Neal, M. (2024, August 9). SCORE, an Alternative to SWOT. https://medium.com/@marcneal/score-an-alternative-to-swot-64bcf5fc740a
- Neal, M. (2023, August 15). SWOT, NOISE, SOAR, and SCORE, Tools for Strategy. https://medium.com/@marcneal/swot-noise-soar-and-score-tools-forstrategy3b11a30031fd
- Nwokolo, E. U., Langdon, P. E. & Murphy, G. H. (2022). Screening for Intellectual Disabilities

and/or Autism Amongst Older Children and Young Adults: a Systematic Review of Tools for Use in Africa. Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders (0123456789). doi:10.1007/s40489-022-00342-6

- Olusanya, B. O., Nair, M. K. C., Lynch, P. & Hadders-Algra, M. (2023). Editorial: Optimizing school readiness for children with developmental disabilities. Frontiers in Pediatrics 11(April): 10–12. doi:10.3389/fped.2023.1196934
- Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A. & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development Education Sector United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Ministerio De Educación 1–46. Retrieved from https://en.unesco.org/themes/education-policy-
- Piske, F. H. R., Collins, K. H. & Arnstein, K. B. (2022). Critical Issues in Servicing Twice Exceptional Students: Socially, Emotionally, and Culturally Framing Learning Exceptionalities. Critical Issues in Servicing Twice Exceptional Students: Socially, Emotionally, and Culturally Framing Learning Exceptionalities. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-10378-0
- Ramírez-Duque, A. A., Bastos, T., Munera, M., Cifuentes, C. A. & Frizera-Neto, A. (2020).
 Robot-Assisted Intervention for children with special needs: A comparative assessment for autism screening. Robotics and Autonomous Systems 127: 103484.
 doi:10.1016/j.robot.2020.103484
- Rashme, T. Y., Islam, L., Prova, A. A. & Jahan, S. (2021). Autism Screening Disorder : Early Prediction. 2021 IEEE 4th International Conference on Computing, Power and Communication Technologies, GUCON 2021. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. doi:10.1109/GUCON50781.2021.9573547
- Safari. (2021). Diagnosing Students' Ability in Distance Learning with the Winsteps. Proceedings of the International Conference on Educational Assessment and Policy (ICEAP 2020) 545(Iceap 2020): 172–184. doi:10.2991/assehr.k.210423.084
- Talbott, E., De Los Reyes, A., Kearns, D. M., Mancilla-Martinez, J. & Wang, M. (2023). Evidence-Based Assessment in Special Education Research: Advancing the Use of Evidence in Assessment Tools and Empirical Processes. Exceptional Children 89(4): 467–487. doi:10.1177/00144029231171092
- Top, E. (2023). Fine motor skills and attention level of individuals with mild intellectual disability getting education in inclusive classrooms and special education schools. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities 69(2): 248–255. doi:10.1080/20473869.2021.1953940
- UNICEF. (2023). Global report on children with developmental disabilities. Retrieved from https://www.unicef.org/media/145016/file/Global-report-on-children-with-developmental-disabilities-2023.pdf
- Widiyanto, S. & Abuhasan, J. W. (2020). Implementation the convolutional neural network method for classification the draw-a-person test. 2020 5th International Conference on Informatics and Computing, ICIC 2020. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. doi:10.1109/ICIC50835.2020.9288651
- Yoro, A. J., Fourie, J. V. & van der Merwe, M. (2020). Learning support strategies for learners with neurodevelopmental disorders: Perspectives of recently qualified teachers. African Journal of Disability 9. doi:10.4102/AJOD.V9I0.561