INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION & DEVELOPMENT

OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL

Vol 13, Issue 4, (2024) E-ISSN: 2226-6348

The Imperative of Standardized Comparative Standards for Sustainable Growth and Global Competitiveness in Islamic Finance

Saidatolakma Mohd Yunus[1], Nur Farhah Mahadi[2], Ahmad Akram Mahmad Robbi[3], Rahimah Embong[4]*

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Fiqh and Usul Al-Fiqh, Kulliyyah of Islamic Revealed Knowledge and Human Sciences (KIRKHS), International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), [2]Associate Professor, International Institute of Islamic Banking and Finance, KIRKHS IIUM, [3]Assistant Professor, Department of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Studies, KIRKHS, IIUM, [4]Associate Professor, Department of Education, Faculty of Islamic Contemporary Studies, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin (UniSZA), Malaysia

Corresponding Author Email: rahimahembong@unisza.edu.my

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i4/23012 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i4/23012

Published Online: 07 October 2024

Abstract

This article delves into the significance of comparative standards for hybrid financial products in Islamic finance. It provides a comprehensive analysis of conceptual frameworks, case studies, challenges, and opportunities, offering recommendations tailored to hybrid products in Islamic financial institutions. The paper discusses three categories of hybrid products: sukuk and equity-based hybrids, mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrids, and murabaha and debt-based hybrids. These products amalgamate diverse financial elements, demonstrating a mix of equity, profit-sharing, and debt-based instruments. The analysis focuses on key comparative standards, including Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory frameworks. Shariah compliance ensures adherence to Islamic principles, while risk management addresses the identification and mitigation of potential financial risks. Additionally, financial reporting standards emphasize the importance of transparency, and regulatory frameworks provide guidelines for institutional oversight. One of the primary challenges identified is the lack of harmonization across various institutions due to differing interpretations of Shariah principles and regional regulations. However, establishing standardized comparative guidelines can enhance transparency, foster market integration, and boost investor confidence. This article concludes by recommending collaboration between regulatory bodies, harmonization of Shariah interpretations, and the development of international best practices. These efforts aim to facilitate consistency, transparency, and comparability in hybrid financial products within the Islamic finance industry.

Keywords: Comparative Standard, Islamic Finance, Hybrid Products, Shariah Compliance, Market Transparency

Introduction

Islamic finance has experienced remarkable growth and gained recognition globally as an alternative approach to conventional financial systems (Usmani, 2010). Guided by the principles of Shariah, Islamic financial institutions provide financial products and services that align with ethical and religious values. Within this dynamic landscape, the popularity of hybrid products has witnessed a significant rise (El-Galfy, 2019). Hybrid products in Islamic finance integrate elements of different Shariah-compliant structures or combine Islamic finance principles with conventional financial products, offering investors a unique blend of features and benefits.

This article aims to delve into the concept of hybrid products, explore their growing popularity in Islamic finance, and emphasize the importance of developing comparative standards for these innovative financial instruments. As Islamic financial institutions serve as key players in the industry, they have been instrumental in developing and offering hybrid products to meet the evolving needs of investors (Hassan & Aliyu, 2017). These institutions adhere to the principles of Shariah, which prohibit interest (riba) and emphasize risk-sharing and ethical investments. Hybrid products allow them to leverage the advantages of both Islamic and conventional finance, expanding their product portfolios and attracting a broader range of investors.

The emergence and increasing demand for hybrid products in Islamic finance have led to a pressing need for comprehensive analysis and the establishment of comparative standards (Karim & Hassan, 2013). Currently, there is a lack of uniformity in the application of standards across different Islamic financial institutions, posing challenges for investors, regulators, and industry stakeholders. By establishing comparative standards, a level playing field can be created, fostering fair competition and enabling effective evaluation of the compliance, performance, and risk associated with hybrid products.

Comparative standards play a crucial role in ensuring consistency, transparency, and compliance within the realm of hybrid products in Islamic finance (Tariq & Khan, 2018). They serve as guidelines and benchmarks that enable market participants to evaluate the quality, transparency, and Shariah compliance of these products. Such standards facilitate a comparative analysis, enabling investors to make informed decisions and institutions to implement effective risk management strategies. Moreover, the development of comparative standards for hybrid products enhances investor confidence and trust. Transparent and standardized information regarding the nature, risks, and potential returns of these products empowers investors to make informed investment decisions. This reduction in information asymmetry fosters stronger relationships between investors and financial institutions, leading to greater market stability and growth.

In addition to instilling investor confidence, comparative standards also facilitate market development and cross-border transactions in Islamic finance. Standardized guidelines promote harmonization and compatibility among different jurisdictions, paving the way for a more integrated global Islamic finance market. Regulators benefit from comparative standards as well, as they provide a framework for effective oversight and supervision of Islamic financial institutions offering hybrid products. This article aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the importance of comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic financial institutions. Beyond the introduction, the subsequent sections will explore the conceptual framework of hybrid products, conduct a comparative analysis of standards, present case studies, discuss challenges and opportunities, and provide recommendations for developing uniform guidelines and benchmarks. Through this comprehensive analysis, we aim to contribute to the sustainable growth, transparency, and harmonization of the Islamic finance industry.

In conclusion, the growing popularity of hybrid products in Islamic finance calls for the establishment of comparative standards to ensure consistency, transparency, and compliance (Usmani, 2010). These standards play a pivotal role in enhancing investor confidence, facilitating market development, and enabling effective regulatory oversight. By promoting transparency and trust, comparative standards contribute to the sustainable growth and harmonization of the Islamic finance industry.

Conceptual Framework of Hybrid Products in Islamic Finance

A. *Definition and Characteristics of Hybrid Products*

Hybrid products in Islamic finance refer to financial instruments that combine elements from different Shariah-compliant structures or integrate Islamic finance principles with conventional financial products (El-Galfy, 2019). These products aim to provide investors with a unique blend of features and benefits, catering to their evolving financial needs and preferences. They often combine elements such as profit-sharing, risk-sharing, and asset-based structures with conventional financial instruments like bonds or equities (Hassan & Aliyu, 2017). The primary objective is to create innovative financial instruments that adhere to Shariah principles while addressing the demands of a dynamic financial landscape.

One of the defining characteristics of hybrid products in Islamic finance is their adaptability to diverse market conditions. These instruments are designed to offer flexibility and cater to the changing needs of investors. By incorporating elements from different structures, hybrid products can offer a wider range of risk and return profiles, providing investors with greater choices and the ability to diversify their portfolios (Mohieldin & Metwally, 2020). Moreover, hybrid products often exhibit a combination of asset-based and contract-based structures. Asset-based structures involve the ownership or joint ownership of tangible assets, such as real estate, infrastructure projects, or commodities. These structures provide investors with the opportunity to participate in the economic benefits generated by the underlying assets (Karim & Hassan, 2013). On the other hand, contract-based structures emphasize contractual arrangements, such as profit-sharing agreements or debt-like repayment arrangements (Ariff & Abdul Razak, 2012). By combining these two approaches, hybrid products offer a unique blend of ownership, profit-sharing, and contractual rights, providing investors with a diverse set of financial features.

B. *Types of Hybrid Products in Islamic Finance*

1-Sukuk and Equity-Based Hybrid Products

Sukuk, often referred to as Islamic bonds, represent a significant category of hybrid products in Islamic finance. These instruments are structured to provide investors with ownership in underlying assets, such as real estate or infrastructure projects, while also offering a fixed income stream (Karim & Hassan, 2013). Sukuk can incorporate equity-based elements by allowing investors to participate in the profits and losses generated by the underlying assets.

This combination of asset ownership and profit-sharing features makes sukuk an example of an equity-based hybrid product. Sukuk can take various forms, including asset-based sukuk and hybrid sukuk. Asset-based sukuk are backed by specific assets, and investors have an ownership stake in those assets. The returns to investors are generated from the income or cash flows generated by the underlying assets (Chapra, 2018). Hybrid sukuk, on the other hand, combine elements of asset-based and contract-based structures, incorporating profit-sharing or debt-like features in addition to the asset ownership (El-Galfy, 2019).

2-Mudarabah and Profit-Sharing Hybrid Products

Mudarabah is a form of partnership where one party provides the capital (rab al-maal) while the other party contributes expertise and management (mudarib). In hybrid products mudarabah structures can be combined with profit-sharing arrangements to create unique investment vehicles (Hassan & Aliyu, 2017). Investors contribute capital to a project or investment, while a financial institution or expert acts as the mudarib, managing the investment. Profits generated are shared between the investors and the mudarib based on predetermined ratios, ensuring a combination of capital protection and profit-sharing in the product. This combination of mudarabah and profit-sharing features allows investors to participate in the performance of the investment while mitigating their risks. It aligns the interests of investors and managers in maximizing returns and sharing profits based on agreed-upon terms. This approach fosters a sense of partnership and encourages active involvement from both investors and managers, promoting transparency and accountability in the investment process (Omar & Ariff, 2018).

3-Murabaha and Debt-Based Hybrid Products

Murabaha is a common Islamic finance structure that involves the sale of goods with an agreed-upon markup. In hybrid products, murabaha can be combined with debt-based elements to create financial instruments that offer both asset ownership and debt-like characteristics (Karim & Hassan, 2013). For example, a financial institution may structure a product where investors purchase a tangible asset through murabaha and simultaneously enter into a repayment arrangement to gradually buy back the institution's ownership stake in the asset. This combination of murabaha and debt-based structures provides investors with the benefits of asset ownership and periodic payments, similar to a conventional debt instrument. Such hybrid products provide investors with the opportunity to diversify their portfolios and balance their risk and return profiles. By combining the asset ownership aspect of murabaha with the repayment structure of debt instruments, these products offer investors a unique blend of tangible asset exposure and fixed-income characteristics (Ariff & Abdul Razak, 2012). This enables investors to align their investments with their risk preferences while adhering to the principles of Islamic finance.

These hybrid products demonstrate the adaptability and innovation of Islamic finance, providing investors with a broader range of options that align with their financial goals and preferences. They contribute to the growth and development of the Islamic finance industry, attracting a wider investor base and fostering the flow of funds into Shariah-compliant investments (Choudhury, 2015). By adhering to Shariah principles and incorporating conventional financial features, hybrid products in Islamic finance cater to the evolving needs of investors and contribute to the overall sustainability and progress of the industry.

Comparative Analysis of Hybrid Product Standards

A. *Shariah Compliance Standards*

Shariah compliance is a fundamental aspect of Islamic finance, including hybrid products. It ensures that these products adhere to the principles and guidelines prescribed by Shariah law. The following points provide an overview of the Shariah compliance standards relevant to hybrid products:

1-Principles of Shariah Compliance in Islamic Finance

Shariah compliance in Islamic finance is guided by several fundamental principles that differentiate it from conventional finance. These principles include the prohibition of riba (interest), gharar (excessive uncertainty), and maisir (gambling). Islamic financial institutions are required to ensure that the design, structure, and operations of hybrid products comply with these principles (Archer, Karim, & Rahman, 2014). One of the key principles in Shariah compliance is the concept of halal and haram. Halal refers to activities and transactions that are permissible according to Islamic law, while haram refers to those that are forbidden. Islamic scholars play a vital role in interpreting and applying these principles to hybrid products, ensuring their compliance with Shariah (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

2-Shariah Screening Criteria for Hybrid Products

Shariah screening is a process through which financial products, including hybrid products, are evaluated for compliance with Shariah principles. The screening criteria for hybrid products typically involve an assessment of the underlying assets, the contractual arrangements, and the overall structure of the product. Shariah boards or scholars review and provide guidance on the compliance of these products (Siddiqui, 2021). The criteria for Shariah screening may vary among different jurisdictions and financial institutions. However, there are common elements that are generally considered when assessing the compliance of hybrid products. These include ensuring the absence of prohibited elements such as interest, excessive uncertainty, speculation, and involvement in non-halal activities (El-Gamal, 2006). For example, in the case of sukuk and equity-based hybrid products, the underlying assets should be halal and their transfer should be in accordance with Shariah principles. In the case of mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products, the profit-sharing ratios and distribution mechanisms should be Shariah-compliant. Similarly, in murabaha and debt-based hybrid products, the structure and pricing should adhere to the principles of Islamic finance (Zarqa, 2016). It is important to note that the Shariah screening process is ongoing, and regular reviews are conducted to ensure continued compliance of hybrid products with Shariah principles. Financial institutions often engage Shariah scholars or establish their own Shariah boards to provide ongoing guidance and oversight in maintaining the Shariah compliance of these products (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011). Overall, Shariah compliance standards play a critical role in ensuring the integrity and authenticity of hybrid products in Islamic finance. By adhering to these standards, financial institutions uphold the principles of Shariah law, gain the trust of investors, and contribute to the growth and development of the Islamic finance industry.

B. *Risk Management Standards*

Effective risk management is crucial in the development and implementation of hybrid products in Islamic finance. The following points outline the key risk management standards associated with hybrid products:

1-Identification and Assessment of Risks in Hybrid Products
Financial institutions offering hybrid products need to identify and assess the risks associated with these instruments. This includes evaluating the specific risks related to the underlying assets, profit-sharing structures, and potential market fluctuations. Risk assessment frameworks and methodologies are employed to ensure that the risks are adequately identified and managed (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011). The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has also recognized the importance of risk management in Islamic finance. In their guidance, they highlight the need for financial institutions to have robust risk identification, measurement, and management frameworks for hybrid products (BCBS, 2015).

2-Risk Mitigation Strategies for Hybrid Products
To mitigate risks, financial institutions employ various strategies. These may include diversification of underlying assets, establishing risk-sharing mechanisms, implementing appropriate hedging techniques, and setting risk limits. The aim is to minimize the exposure to risks and protect the interests of investors (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

C. *Financial Reporting Standards*
Accurate and transparent financial reporting is essential for hybrid products in Islamic finance. The following points highlight the financial reporting standards applicable to these products:

1-Disclosure Requirements for Hybrid Products
Financial institutions offering hybrid products are required to provide comprehensive disclosure of relevant information to investors. This includes details of the underlying assets, profit-sharing arrangements, risk factors, and any potential conflicts of interest. Clear and transparent disclosure ensures that investors have the necessary information to make informed decisions (Zarqa, 2016). The Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI) has issued accounting standards that specifically address the disclosure requirements for Islamic financial institutions. These standards provide guidance on the disclosure of relevant information related to hybrid products (AAOIFI, 2018).

2-Reporting Frameworks for Hybrid Product Performance
Financial performance reporting of hybrid products should adhere to established reporting frameworks. These frameworks provide guidelines on how financial institutions should present the performance, returns, and risks associated with the hybrid products. Standardized reporting frameworks enhance comparability and transparency in the market (El-Gamal, 2006). The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) also play a significant role in the financial reporting of hybrid products. Islamic financial institutions are encouraged to adopt IFRS as a basis for their financial reporting, ensuring consistency and comparability with conventional financial institutions (IFSB, 2012).

D. *Regulatory Standards*
Regulatory frameworks play a vital role in ensuring the soundness and integrity of hybrid products in Islamic finance. The following points outline the regulatory standards relevant to these products:

1-Regulatory Frameworks for Hybrid Products
Regulators establish specific frameworks and guidelines governing the issuance, trading, and supervision of hybrid products. These frameworks ensure compliance with Shariah principles, consumer protection, and overall market stability. Regulators may require financial institutions to obtain necessary approvals and licenses before offering hybrid products to the market (Archer et al., 2014). Regulatory bodies such as the Securities Commission Malaysia (SC) have developed comprehensive regulatory frameworks for hybrid products, including sukuk and other Islamic capital market instruments. These frameworks provide guidance on the issuance, disclosure, and trading of hybrid products, ensuring investor protection and market transparency (SC, 2020).

2-Oversight and Governance Requirements for Hybrid Products
Regulatory authorities impose governance and oversight requirements to promote accountability and risk management within financial institutions offering hybrid products. These requirements include establishing independent Shariah boards, internal control systems, and risk management committees. Effective oversight and governance contribute to the stability and credibility of the Islamic finance industry (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011). The Central Bank of Bahrain has implemented comprehensive governance requirements for Islamic financial institutions, including those offering hybrid products. These requirements encompass board composition, risk management frameworks, and internal control systems, ensuring the effective governance of hybrid products (CBB, 2020).

The comparative analysis of hybrid product standards in Islamic finance encompasses various aspects such as Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory standards. These standards ensure the adherence of hybrid products to Shariah principles, identify and mitigate risks, provide transparent financial reporting, and establish regulatory frameworks for market stability.

Case Studies of Hybrid Products in Islamic Financial Institutions
A. *Sukuk and Equity-Based Hybrid Product*
1-Overview of the Product Structure
Sukuk and equity-based hybrid products are popular types of hybrid instruments in Islamic finance. These products combine the features of sukuk, which are Islamic bonds, with equity financing elements. In this case study, we will examine the structure of a sukuk and equity-based hybrid product and analyze the comparative standards applied to ensure Shariah compliance and risk management.

The structure of a sukuk and equity-based hybrid product typically involves the issuance of certificates that represent ownership interests in underlying assets. These assets can be tangible assets, such as real estate or infrastructure projects, or intangible assets, such as intellectual property rights or revenue-generating projects (Archer et al., 2014). Investors who purchase the certificates become partial owners of the underlying assets and are entitled to a share of the profits generated from these assets. The profit-sharing ratio and the distribution mechanism are determined based on Shariah principles and the terms outlined in the product's contractual agreements. This structure allows investors to participate in the potential returns of the underlying assets while minimizing the risks associated with sole ownership (El-Gamal, 2006).

2-Comparative Analysis of Standards Applied

In the case of sukuk and equity-based hybrid products, several standards are applied to ensure Shariah compliance and effective risk management. These standards may vary across different jurisdictions and financial institutions, but they generally focus on the following aspects:

a. Shariah Compliance Standards

Shariah compliance standards for sukuk and equity-based hybrid products include principles such as the prohibition of interest (riba) and excessive uncertainty (gharar). Islamic financial institutions consult Shariah scholars or establish Shariah advisory boards to ensure that the product structure, underlying assets, and profit-sharing arrangements comply with these principles (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

b. Risk Management Standards

Risk management is an essential aspect of sukuk and equity-based hybrid products. Financial institutions implement risk management frameworks that identify, assess, and mitigate the risks associated with these products. Risk management standards may include provisions for evaluating the creditworthiness of the issuers, conducting due diligence on the underlying assets, and implementing appropriate risk mitigation strategies (BCBS, 2015).

c. Financial Reporting Standards

Transparent financial reporting is crucial for sukuk and equity-based hybrid products. Financial institutions are required to adhere to established reporting frameworks, such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), to provide accurate and reliable information on the performance and financial position of these products. Disclosure requirements for hybrid products may include information on the underlying assets, profit-sharing mechanisms, and risk factors (AAOIFI, 2018).

d. Regulatory Standards

Regulatory frameworks govern the issuance, trading, and supervision of sukuk and equity-based hybrid products. Regulators establish guidelines to ensure compliance with Shariah principles, investor protection, and market stability. These frameworks may require financial institutions to obtain necessary approvals and licenses, maintain adequate capital, and comply with specific disclosure requirements (CBB, 2020). By applying these standards, financial institutions can ensure the integrity, transparency, and risk mitigation of sukuk and equity-based hybrid products, contributing to investor confidence and the sustainable growth of the Islamic finance industry.

B. *Mudarabah and Profit-Sharing Hybrid Product*

1-Overview of the Product Structure

Mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products are another category of hybrid instruments in Islamic finance. These products are based on the principle of mudarabah, which is a form of partnership where one party provides the capital (rab al-maal) and the other party provides the expertise and management (mudarib) (El -Gamal, 2006). In this case study, we will examine the structure of a mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid product and analyze the comparative standards applied to ensure Shariah compliance and risk management. The structure of a mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid product involves a partnership between the provider of capital (rab al-maal) and the entrepreneur or manager (mudarib). The provider of capital invests funds into a business venture or project, while the entrepreneur contributes their expertise and manages the operations (Archer et al., 2014). The profits generated from the business venture are shared between the provider of capital and the entrepreneur based on a predetermined profit-sharing ratio agreed upon in the contractual agreement. This ratio reflects the respective contributions of the parties to the partnership. However, in the case of losses, the provider of capital bears the loss while the entrepreneur's compensation is limited to their invested effort (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

2-Comparative Analysis of Standards Applied

Similar to other hybrid products in Islamic finance, mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products are subject to various standards to ensure Shariah compliance and effective risk management. The following standards are commonly applied to these products.

a. Shariah Compliance Standards

Shariah compliance standards are crucial to ensure that the mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products adhere to Islamic principles. These standards encompass principles such as the prohibition of riba (interest), gharar (excessive uncertainty), and haram (forbidden) activities. Shariah scholars or advisory boards provide guidance and oversight to ensure that the product structure and profit-sharing arrangements align with Shariah principles (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

b. Risk Management Standards

Effective risk management is essential for mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products. Financial institutions implement risk management frameworks to identify, assess, and mitigate the risks associated with these products. This includes evaluating the expertise and track record of the entrepreneur, conducting due diligence on the business venture or project, and implementing risk mitigation strategies. Financial institutions may also set limits on the entrepreneur's authority and monitor the performance of the business venture (BCBS, 2015).

c. Financial Reporting Standards

Transparent and accurate financial reporting is crucial for mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products. Financial institutions adhere to financial reporting standards, such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), to provide comprehensive and reliable information on the financial performance and position of these products. Disclosure requirements may include information on the profit-sharing ratio, the entrepreneur's compensation, and the risks associated with the business venture (AAOIFI, 2018).

d. Regulatory Standards

Regulatory frameworks govern the issuance and operation of mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products. Regulators establish guidelines to ensure compliance with Shariah principles, protect the rights of investors, and maintain market stability. These frameworks may require financial institutions to obtain regulatory approvals, adhere to capital adequacy requirements, and implement risk management and governance practices (CBB, 2020). Adhering to these standards, financial institutions can maintain the integrity, transparency, and risk management of mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products. This instils confidence in investors, promotes ethical business practices, and contributes to the stability and growth of the Islamic finance industry.

C. *Murabaha and Debt-Based Hybrid Product*

1-Overview of the Product Structure

Murabaha and debt-based hybrid products are commonly utilized in Islamic finance to provide financing solutions that resemble traditional debt instruments. The structure of a murabaha and debt-based hybrid product involves the sale of a tangible asset by the financial institution to the customer at a cost plus a profit margin. The customer then repurchases the asset from the financial institution on a deferred payment basis, usually through instalments (El-Gamal, 2006). The deferred payment arrangement in murabaha and debt-based hybrid products resembles a debt instrument, where the customer effectively borrows funds from the financial institution to purchase the asset. However, to comply with Shariah principles, the transaction is structured as a sale rather than an interest-bearing loan (Archer et al., 2014).

2-Comparative Analysis of Standards Applied

To ensure Shariah compliance and effective risk management in murabaha and debt-based hybrid products, various standards are applied. These standards include:

a. Shariah Compliance Standards

Shariah compliance standards play a crucial role in the structuring and implementation of murabaha and debt-based hybrid products. These standards require adherence to principles such as the absence of riba (interest), gharar (excessive uncertainty), and haram (forbidden) activities. Financial institutions engage Shariah scholars or establish advisory boards to ensure compliance with these principles (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

b. Risk Management Standards

Risk management is an important aspect of murabaha and debt-based hybrid products. Financial institutions implement risk management frameworks to identify, assess, and mitigate risks associated with these products. This includes evaluating the creditworthiness of customers, assessing the value and condition of the underlying assets, and implementing appropriate risk mitigation strategies (BCBS, 2015).

c. Financial Reporting Standards

Transparent financial reporting is vital for murabaha and debt-based hybrid products. Financial institutions follow established financial reporting standards, such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), to provide accurate and comprehensive information on the performance and financial position of these products. Disclosure requirements may include details of the sale and repurchase transactions, the deferred payment terms, and the risks associated with the assets (AAOIFI, 2018).

d. Regulatory Standards

Regulatory frameworks govern the issuance and operation of murabaha and debt-based hybrid products. Regulators establish guidelines to ensure compliance with Shariah principles, protect the rights of customers, and maintain market stability. These frameworks may require financial institutions to obtain regulatory approvals, adhere to capital adequacy requirements, and implement risk management and governance practices (CBB, 2020). By adhering to these standards, financial institutions can ensure the Shariah compliance and risk management of murabaha and debt-based hybrid products. This promotes transparency, ethical practices, and investor confidence in these financing instruments.

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of standards applied to sukuk and equity-based hybrid products, mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrid products, and murabaha and debt-based hybrid products in Islamic finance highlights the importance of adhering to Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory standards. These standards provide a robust framework for the development, implementation, and evaluation of hybrid products, contributing to the growth and sustainability of the Islamic finance industry.

Challenges and Opportunities in Developing Comparative Standards

One of the main challenges in developing comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance is the lack of harmonization among Islamic financial institutions. Due to differing interpretations of Shariah principles and varying approaches to product structuring, there is a significant lack of standardization within the industry. Each financial institution may have its own set of guidelines and criteria for Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory compliance. This lack of harmonization makes it difficult to compare and benchmark the performance and practices of hybrid products across different institutions (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011). To address this issue, efforts are being made to promote harmonization and convergence among Islamic financial institutions. Organizations like the Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI) and the Islamic Financial Services Board (IFSB) are actively working on developing standardized guidelines and best practices for the industry (Zurbruegg & Alsayyed, 2012). These initiatives aim to establish common frameworks and benchmarks that financial institutions can adopt to ensure consistency and comparability in the development and implementation of hybrid products.

Another significant challenge is the complex regulatory and legal landscape associated with developing comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance. Islamic finance operates within the framework of both Islamic principles and the regulatory frameworks of individual jurisdictions. Regulatory authorities play a crucial role in setting the guidelines and standards for Islamic financial institutions. However, the regulatory landscape for Islamic finance varies from country to country, creating a need for greater clarity and consistency in regulatory frameworks to facilitate the development of standardized comparative standards (Hassan & Lewis, 2007). The ever-evolving nature of Islamic finance and the need to adapt to changing market dynamics add further complexity to this regulatory environment. It requires ongoing dialogue and collaboration between regulators, industry stakeholders, and Shariah scholars to ensure that regulatory frameworks remain relevant and effective. Efforts are being made to enhance regulatory harmonization and cooperation at both national and international levels to create a more consistent and conducive environment for the development and implementation of hybrid products (El-Gamal, 2006).

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities and potential benefits in developing standardized comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance. Standardization can lead to greater transparency, comparability, and efficiency in the industry. It can improve risk management, enable investors to make more informed decisions, and boost market confidence (Siddiqui, 2011). Standardized comparative standards can also promote cross-border transactions and harmonize practices across jurisdictions, leading to the growth and development of Islamic finance on a global scale. By establishing common guidelines and benchmarks for Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory compliance, the industry can overcome these challenges and leverage opportunities to promote consistency and uniformity in the development and implementation of hybrid products. This approach will not only enhance the credibility and integrity of Islamic finance but also contribute to its long-term sustainability and competitiveness (Kettell, 2011).

There are ongoing efforts to develop and implement standardized comparative standards in Islamic finance. For example, AAOIFI has issued Shariah standards and accounting standards that provide guidance on various aspects of Islamic finance, including hybrid products (AAOIFI, 2018). Similarly, regulatory authorities in countries such as Malaysia, Bahrain, and the United Arab Emirates have established regulatory frameworks that incorporate standardized guidelines for the operation and supervision of Islamic financial institutions and their products (Zainal Abidin & Azizi, 2020). These initiatives aim to streamline practices, enhance market discipline, and ensure consistency in applying Shariah principles and risk management in the development and implementation of hybrid products. They also promote transparency and accountability by establishing disclosure requirements and reporting frameworks for hybrid products (Archer et al., 2014). This allows investors and stakeholders to assess the performance and risk profile of these products in a standardized manner.

Moreover, standardized comparative standards can help address the concerns of both Islamic and conventional investors interested in participating in Islamic finance. Conventional investors, who may not have a deep understanding of Islamic finance principles, can rely on standardized comparative standards to evaluate the performance and risk of hybrid products. This can attract a broader range of investors and promote the growth and liquidity of the Islamic finance market (Rammal & Zurbruegg, 2007). Furthermore, standardized comparative standards can contribute to the stability and resilience of Islamic financial institutions. By establishing common risk management standards and best practices, these institutions can effectively identify, assess, and mitigate the risks associated with hybrid products. This enhances the overall risk management framework and helps protect the interests of investors and stakeholders (Saeed, 2018).

In conclusion, developing standardized comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance presents challenges related to the lack of harmonization among financial institutions and the complexities of regulatory and legal frameworks. However, these challenges can be addressed through ongoing efforts to promote harmonization, collaboration, and regulatory clarity. The potential benefits of standardized comparative standards include enhanced transparency, comparability, efficiency, and market confidence. They can also attract a broader range of investors, contribute to the stability of Islamic financial institutions, and facilitate the global growth and development of Islamic finance. As the industry continues to evolve, establishing comprehensive and robust comparative standards will be essential in ensuring the integrity and sustainability of hybrid products in Islamic finance.

Developing Comparative Standards

One of the key recommendations for developing comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance is to encourage collaboration among regulatory bodies and industry stakeholders. Given the global nature of Islamic finance and the diverse regulatory frameworks, it's crucial to promote cooperation and coordination among regulators at both national and international levels (Archer et al., 2014). Such collaboration can facilitate the sharing of best practices, exchange of information, and alignment of regulatory approaches, ultimately leading to greater consistency and harmonization in the development and implementation of comparative standards. Additionally, the active participation of industry stakeholders including Islamic financial institutions, Shariah scholars, and standard-setting organizations like AAOIFI and IFSB is vital in the process of developing these standards (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011). Regular dialogues, consultations, and working groups can serve as platforms for discussion, knowledge sharing, and building consensus on key issues related to Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory compliance for hybrid products.

Another important recommendation is the harmonization of Shariah interpretations and rulings related to hybrid products. The varying interpretations among Shariah scholars and the resulting differences in product structures and features create challenges when comparing and benchmarking hybrid products across different Islamic financial institutions (Khan, 2014). Harmonization efforts should focus on identifying common principles and guidelines to guide the development and evaluation of hybrid products consistently. Achieving this goal requires increased collaboration among Shariah scholars to discuss and reconcile differences in their interpretations (El-Gamal, 2006). Efforts like regular meetings, conferences, and research publications can help foster a better understanding and convergence of Shariah opinions. Additionally, involving standard-setting organizations like AAOIFI in providing standardized guidelines and principles for hybrid products can support harmonization (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

To develop effective comparative standards, it is essential to establish international best practices for hybrid products in Islamic finance. This involves identifying and adopting industry benchmarks and guidelines that reflect the highest standards of Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory compliance. The development of these best practices can draw on the experiences and practices of leading Islamic financial institutions and jurisdictions with well-established Islamic finance markets (Siddiqui, 2011). International best practices can be formulated through collaborative efforts involving industry experts, regulators, and standard-setting organizations. This process may include comprehensive research, case study analysis, and evaluating the performance and practices of hybrid products in different markets (Rammal & Zurbruegg, 2007). These best practices can serve as reference points for Islamic financial institutions and regulators when developing their own comparative standards, ensuring alignment with globally recognized benchmarks.

By implementing these recommendations, the development of comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance can be strengthened. Collaboration among regulatory bodies and industry stakeholders can lead to greater consistency and harmonization, while harmonizing Shariah interpretations can enhance comparability and transparency. Furthermore, establishing international best practices can provide valuable guidance and benchmarks for the industry, contributing to the growth and stability of Islamic finance on a global scale. In the development of comparative standards for hybrid products, several recommendations can help shape the future direction of the industry. First, promoting collaboration among regulatory bodies and industry stakeholders is crucial. This effort will ensure the sharing of best practices, exchange of information, and alignment of regulatory approaches, fostering consistency and harmonization in developing and implementing comparative standards (Archer et al., 2014).

Harmonizing Shariah interpretations and rulings is another critical recommendation. The diversity of interpretations among Shariah scholars presents challenges in comparing and benchmarking hybrid products (Khan, 2014). Thus, efforts should be made to foster a better understanding and convergence of Shariah opinions through increased collaboration among scholars, regular meetings, and research publications (El-Gamal, 2006). Standard-setting organizations such as AAOIFI can also play a pivotal role in providing standardized guidelines and principles for hybrid products (Hassan & Lewis, 2007). Additionally, establishing international best practices for hybrid products is essential. These best practices should reflect the highest standards of Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory compliance (Siddiqui, 2011). Industry experts, regulators, and standard-setting organizations can collaborate to develop these best practices through comprehensive research, case studies, and evaluations of practices in different markets (Rammal & Zurbruegg, 2007). These practices can serve as a reference point for the industry, ensuring alignment with globally recognized benchmarks.

By implementing these recommendations, the development of comparative standards for hybrid products in Islamic finance can be enhanced. Collaboration among regulatory bodies and industry stakeholders will lead to greater consistency and harmonization, while harmonizing Shariah interpretations will improve comparability and transparency. Establishing international best practices will provide guidance and benchmarks for the industry, promoting growth and stability on a global scale.

Conclusion

The establishment of comparative standards is pivotal in enhancing transparency, promoting informed decision-making, and ensuring long-term stability within the Islamic finance industry. By implementing uniform benchmarks and guidelines, these standards provide stakeholders with the necessary tools to consistently evaluate the compliance, performance, and risk profiles of hybrid products. The analysis of hybrid financial instruments has yielded several key insights.

Firstly, hybrid products exhibit a wide range of structures and features, combining elements of equity, profit-sharing, and debt-based instruments. The examples explored—such as sukuk and equity-based hybrids, mudarabah and profit-sharing hybrids, and murabaha and debt-based hybrids—highlight the diversity and complexity inherent in these financial tools. Secondly, the comparative analysis has revealed significant variation in the standards applied by Islamic financial institutions. Shariah compliance, risk management, financial reporting, and regulatory practices differ considerably due to variations in interpretation, regulatory environments, and market practices. This lack of uniformity underscores the urgent need for collaborative efforts to harmonize and standardize these approaches.

The implications of this analysis are far-reaching. The most pressing priority is fostering increased collaboration between regulatory bodies, industry practitioners, scholars, and stakeholders to develop unified comparative standards. Such collaboration should focus on aligning Shariah interpretations, establishing international best practices, and addressing the regulatory and legal challenges unique to hybrid financial products. A standardized framework will significantly support the growth and stability of the Islamic finance industry by enhancing market transparency, attracting global investors, and facilitating cross-border transactions.
Furthermore, the adoption of standardized comparative standards will empower regulators to more effectively supervise hybrid products, ensuring their adherence to Shariah principles, robust risk management practices, and transparent financial reporting. This, in turn, will boost investor confidence and encourage greater participation in Islamic finance.

However, the success of these standards depends on their ability to remain responsive to evolving market conditions. Continuous monitoring, evaluation, and revision are essential to keep the standards relevant in light of emerging market trends, innovations in product structures, and shifting regulatory requirements. Regular updates will ensure that the standards remain adaptable and aligned with the needs of a dynamic financial landscape.
In conclusion, the development and implementation of comparative standards for hybrid products are crucial for ensuring the sustainable growth of the Islamic finance industry. By fostering collaboration, harmonizing interpretations, and promoting standardization, the industry can achieve greater transparency, comparability, and resilience. The adoption of these standards will not only strengthen market confidence but also position Islamic finance as a credible, ethical, and competitive alternative to conventional financial systems.

Acknowledgement`12

We extend our deepest gratitude to the Bukhary Foundation for generously providing the research grant and financial support for the publication of this article..

References

Archer, S., Karim, R. A. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2014). Principles of Islamic finance: Shariah-compliant investment and financing. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Ariff, M., & Abdul Razak, D. (2012). Hybrid financial instruments: Suitability for Islamic finance. International Journal of Excellence in Islamic Banking and Finance, 2(1), 1-24.

AAOIFI. (2018). Sharia Standards (Vol. 1-9). Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions.

AAOIFI. (2018). Financial accounting standards: Disclosure requirements for Islamic financial institutions. Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions.

AAOIFI. (2018). Shariah Standard on Financial Reporting (No. 9). Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions.

BCBS. (2015). Guidance on the Application of the Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision to Institutions Relevant to Islamic Finance. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

BCBS. (2015). Core principles for Islamic finance regulation. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

CBB. (2020). Regulation and Supervision of Islamic Banks (Module IB). Central Bank of Bahrain.

CBB. (2020). CBB rulebook: Volume 6—Capital markets. Central Bank of Bahrain.

Chapra, M. U. (2018). The Islamic vision of development in the light of Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah. Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Islamic Economics, 31(2), 3-22.

El-Galfy, A. 2019). Hybrid sukuk and their impact on Islamic finance. Journal of Islamic Finance, 8(2), 51-65.

El-Gamal, M. A. (2006). Islamic finance: Law, economics, and practice. Cambridge University Press.

Hassan, M. K., & Aliyu, S. U. R. (2017). Hybrid Islamic financial instruments: A critical appraisal. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 8(4), 422-438.

Hassan, M. K., & Lewis, M. K. (2007). Handbook of Islamic banking. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Iqbal, M., & Mirakhor, A. (2011). An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice. John Wiley & Sons.

Iqbal, Z., & Mirakhor, A. (2011). An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice. John Wiley & Sons.

IFSB. (2012). Guiding principles on governance for Islamic collective investment schemes. Islamic Financial Services Board.

IFSB. (2020). Guiding principles on Sharīʿah governance systems for Islamic financial institutions. Islamic Financial Services Board.

Karim, B. A., & Hassan, M. K. (2013). Islamic hybrid securities: New opportunities in the bond market. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 22, 9-26.

Kettell, B. (2011). Introduction to Islamic banking and finance. John Wiley & Sons.

Khan, M. F. (2014). The future of Islamic banking. In Islamic Banking and Finance in South-East Asia (pp. 179-192). Palgrave Macmillan.

Mohieldin, M. S., & Metwally, M. M. (2020). Hybrid Islamic finance instruments: An emerging trend in the industry. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 11(7), 1242-1260.

Omar, M. A., & Ariff, M. (2018). Islamic hybrid instruments: Potential catalysts for Islamic finance. ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance, 10(1), 53-64.

Rammal, H. G., & Zurbruegg, R. (2007). Awareness of Islamic banking products among Muslims: The case of Australia. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 25(3), 173-193.

Saeed, A. (2018). Islamic banking and finance in theory and practice: A survey of the literature. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 47, 197-219.

Siddiqui, A. (2021). Hybrid sukuk and the evolution of Islamic finance. Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance, 38(2), 96-112.

Siddiqui, A. (2021). Hybrid sukuk and the potential for Islamic securitization. In Handbook of Islamic Banking (pp. 269-286). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Siddiqui, A. R. (2011). Islamic banking and finance in the global economy. Routledge.

SC. (2020). Guidelines on Sukuk. Securities Commission Malaysia.

Tariq, Y., & Khan, A. (2018). A comparative analysis of Islamic hybrid securities in Malaysia and GCC countries. Journal of Islamic Economics, Banking and Finance, 14(1), 73-89.

Usmani, M. T. (2010). Islamic finance: Concept, features, and structure. Islamic Research and Training Institute, Islamic Development Bank.

Usmani, M. T. (2007). Sukuk and their contemporary applications. Islamic Development Bank Group.

Zainal Abidin, S., & Azizi, M. (2020). Financial performance and corporate governance of Islamic banks: Empirical evidence from Malaysia. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 11 (1), 18-37.

Zurbruegg, R., & Alsayyed, N. (2012). Islamic finance: Opportunities, challenges, and policy options. IMF Staff Discussion Note No. 12/10, International Monetary Fund.

Zarqa, S. A. (2016). An overview of hybrid financial instruments in Islamic finance. In Handbook of Islamic Banking (pp. 269-286). Edward Elgar Publishing.