

# An Examination of Nudge Policy through the Lens of "The Enormous Turnip": Fostering Collective Action and Persistence

Hiroko Oe

Josai International University

Junkichi Mochizuki

Associate Professor, Josai International University

To Link this Article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i2/25346> DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i2/25646

**Published Online:** 17 June 2025

## Abstract

This paper explores the intricate dynamics of collective action and persistent endeavor through an unconventional yet insightful lens: the classic Russian folk tale, "The Enormous Turnip." Drawing parallels between the story's progression and core principles of behavioral economics, particularly Nudge theory, we argue that the narrative serves as a compelling allegorical representation of successful nudges in fostering desired collective behavior. By analyzing the roles of initial impetus, social proof, framing, progressive goal visualization, and the cumulative effect of small contributions, this paper elucidates how "The Enormous Turnip" implicitly demonstrates effective strategies for motivating individuals towards a shared objective. Through qualitative textual analysis and systematic comparison with established behavioral mechanisms, we identify four key nudge elements within the narrative that have direct applications to contemporary policy design. These insights lead us to show how public policy and organizational management both benefit from nudge-based strategies which promote social engagement while advancing public health and strengthening team unity. This section examines both ethical implications and long-term sustainability concerns when implementing these behavioral nudges in practical settings and provides detailed insights based on learnings from a basic children's story. We then extrapolate these insights to public policy and organizational management, illustrating how similar nudge-based approaches can be employed to encourage pro-social behaviors, improve public health outcomes, and enhance team cohesion. Finally, we discuss the ethical considerations and sustainability challenges inherent in applying such nudges in real-world contexts, offering a nuanced perspective on the practical implementation of lessons gleaned from this seemingly simple children's story.

**Keywords:** Behavioral Economics, Nudge Theory, Collective Action, Folk Tales, Social Proof, Policy Design

**Introduction: Introduction: Why Folk Tales Matter for Modern Policy Science**

Can a centuries-old children's story about pulling a giant turnip offer insights for contemporary behavioral policy design? This paper argues that it can—and does. "The Enormous Turnip," a seemingly simple Russian folk tale, embodies sophisticated behavioral mechanisms that parallel cutting-edge nudge theory principles. By analyzing this narrative through the lens of behavioral economics, we reveal how traditional storytelling encodes profound wisdom about collective action, social coordination, and behavior change.

This unexpected connection between ancient narrative wisdom and modern policy science opens new avenues for understanding and applying behavioral insights. While nudge theory has revolutionized policy design since Thaler and Sunstein's (2008) seminal work, its principles often remain abstract and difficult to communicate to diverse stakeholders. Folk tales, by contrast, have successfully transmitted complex social lessons across generations and cultures through accessible, memorable narratives.

This paper bridges these domains by systematically deconstructing "The Enormous Turnip" to identify four key nudge mechanisms embedded within its narrative structure. We then demonstrate how these story-based insights can inform contemporary policy design and organizational management. The paper proceeds as follows: Section 1.1 explicates our research motivation, Section 1.2 delineates our unique contributions, Section 1.3 provides essential background on nudge theory, Section 2 reviews relevant literature, Sections 3-6 present our analysis and applications, and Section 7 concludes with implications for future research.

*Research Motivation: Bridging Ancient Wisdom and Behavioral Science*

The genesis of this research emerged from a practical challenge in the classroom. When teaching behavioral economics to undergraduate students, I observed a striking pattern: while students struggled to grasp abstract concepts like social proof and commitment devices through traditional academic examples, they immediately understood these principles when illustrated through familiar stories. This observation sparked a fundamental question: might folk tales serve as intuitive frameworks for understanding complex behavioral dynamics?

This question gains urgency given three converging trends. First, despite the proven effectiveness of nudge interventions, their implementation often fails due to poor communication with stakeholders who lack behavioral science training (Hallsworth et al., 2018). Second, there is growing recognition that indigenous knowledge systems—including oral traditions—contain sophisticated understandings of human behavior that complement scientific approaches (Berkes, 2012). Third, the global spread of nudge units requires culturally sensitive approaches to behavioral policy design (OECD, 2017).

"The Enormous Turnip" presents an ideal case study for exploring these connections. Its cumulative structure—where characters sequentially join a collective effort—mirrors real-world coordination challenges. Its cross-cultural prevalence suggests universal relevance. Most intriguingly, its resolution through the addition of a tiny mouse parallels contemporary insights about tipping points and marginal contributions in collective action. These parallels suggest that folk tales may serve as "vernacular behavioral science"—encoding empirical observations about human behavior in narrative form.

**Research Contribution and Originality**

This study makes four distinct contributions to behavioral science and policy studies:

*Theoretical Innovation: First Systematic Application of Behavioral Economics to Folk Tale Analysis*

While scholars have examined folk tales through psychological (Bettelheim, 1976), anthropological (Propp, 1968), and sociological (Zipes, 2006) lenses, this paper represents the first systematic application of nudge theory to folk tale analysis. We develop a novel analytical framework that maps narrative elements to specific behavioral mechanisms, creating a bridge between literary studies and behavioral science.

*Practical Contribution: Story-Based Nudge Design Principles*

We translate narrative insights into concrete design principles for behavioral interventions. By identifying how "The Enormous Turnip" naturally incorporates default settings, social proof, framing effects, and progress visualization, we provide policymakers with an intuitive template for designing effective nudges. This approach offers a more accessible alternative to technical behavioral science frameworks.

*Pedagogical Innovation: Narrative as a Vehicle for Teaching Behavioral Concepts*

Our analysis demonstrates how folk tales can serve as powerful pedagogical tools for conveying behavioral insights to diverse audiences. This contribution addresses a critical gap in nudge implementation: the challenge of communicating complex behavioral principles to non-specialist stakeholders, including policymakers, community leaders, and citizens.

*Interdisciplinary Bridge: Connecting Humanities and Policy Science*

By demonstrating the relevance of literary analysis to policy design, this study opens new avenues for interdisciplinary collaboration. We show that traditional humanities approaches can yield actionable insights for contemporary policy challenges, potentially inspiring similar analyses of other cultural narratives.

These contributions collectively demonstrate that folk tales are not merely entertainment or cultural artifacts, but sophisticated repositories of behavioral wisdom that can inform modern policy design.

**Background: The Rise and Promise of Nudge Theory**

Since its formal introduction, nudge theory has transformed how governments approach behavior change. Behavioral economics revealed that human decision-making systematically deviates from rational choice predictions due to cognitive biases, limited attention, and social influences (Kahneman, 2011). Building on these insights, Thaler and Sunstein (2008, 2021) proposed that "choice architecture"—the context in which decisions are made—can be designed to guide people toward beneficial outcomes without restricting freedom of choice. The practical impact has been substantial. The UK's Behavioral Insights Team, established in 2010, pioneered governmental applications of nudge theory, followed by similar units in over 200 institutions worldwide (Halpern, 2015). These units have demonstrated that subtle interventions—changing default options, providing social comparisons, simplifying processes—can significantly improve outcomes in domains ranging from tax compliance to public health (OECD, 2017).

However, implementing nudges faces persistent challenges. Behavioral interventions must be culturally appropriate, ethically sound, and comprehensible to diverse stakeholders. Critics worry about manipulation and paternalism (Hausman & Welch, 2010), while practitioners struggle to communicate behavioral insights to non-specialists. These challenges motivate our exploration of folk tales as complementary frameworks for understanding and applying behavioral principles.

Recent developments have expanded nudge theory's scope and sophistication. Sunstein (2016) developed taxonomies of nudge types, while empirical research has refined our understanding of when and why nudges work (Hummel & Maedche, 2019). Yet despite these advances, a gap remains between behavioral science insights and their practical application—a gap that narrative approaches may help bridge.

## Literature Review

### *The Evolution of Nudge Theory in Behavioral Economics*

Nudge theory emerged from the broader field of behavioral economics, which challenges the assumption that individuals consistently make rational decisions that maximize their utility (Simon, 1955; Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). Instead, behavioral economics recognizes that human decision-making is bounded by cognitive limitations, influenced by emotional factors, and subject to systematic biases (Mullainathan & Thaler, 2000). Building on these insights, Thaler and Sunstein (2008, 2021) proposed that subtle changes to the environment in which decisions are made—the "choice architecture"—can predictably alter behavior without restricting freedom of choice or significantly changing economic incentives.

Since its introduction, nudge theory has evolved from a novel concept to a well-established approach in policy design. Szaszi et al. (2018) conducted a systematic review of 422 nudge interventions, finding that while effectiveness varies across domains, nudges generally produce significant positive effects. Hummel and Maedche (2019) further refined our understanding by developing a taxonomy of nudge mechanisms, identifying distinct categories such as defaults, social influence, salience enhancement, and simplification. This growing body of empirical research has established nudging as a valuable complement to traditional policy tools, particularly when addressing complex behavioral challenges.

Recent theoretical developments have expanded nudge theory in several directions. Hansen (2016) proposed a more precise definition that distinguishes nudges from other behavioral interventions, while Sunstein (2016) categorized nudges based on their transparency and psychological mechanisms. Oe and Yamaoka (2022) have further contributed to this discourse by examining citizen behavioral change through the lens of social policy interventions, offering valuable insights into how nudge effects can be specifically tailored to address social challenges in various cultural contexts. Critics have also raised important concerns about the potential for nudges to be manipulative (Hausman & Welch, 2010), ineffective for addressing structural problems (Mols et al., 2015), or overly focused on individual behavior rather than systemic change (John et al., 2011). These critiques have stimulated refinements in both the theoretical foundation and practical application of nudge approaches.

*Collective Action Dynamics and Social Coordination*

Collective action problems—situations where individual interests conflict with group interests—represent a fundamental challenge in social coordination (Olson, 1965; Ostrom, 1990). Traditional economic approaches to these problems have focused on altering incentive structures or enforcing cooperation through rules and sanctions (Dixit & Nalebuff, 2008). However, behavioral research has revealed that human cooperation is influenced by factors beyond material self-interest, including social norms, reciprocity, and group identity (Fehr & Fischbacher, 2004).

Nudge approaches to collective action problems leverage these behavioral insights to promote cooperation without heavy-handed intervention. For instance, social comparison nudges that show individuals how their behavior compares to others can increase energy conservation (Allcott, 2011), charitable giving (Shang & Croson, 2009), and tax compliance (Hallsworth et al., 2017). Similarly, commitment devices that allow individuals to voluntarily bind their future behavior can help overcome the present bias that often undermines collective efforts (Rogers et al., 2014).

The effectiveness of these approaches depends critically on how they are framed and implemented. Goldstein et al. (2008) demonstrated that hotel guests are more likely to reuse towels when messages emphasize descriptive norms specific to their immediate context. Kahan (2015) argues that successful interventions must be compatible with individuals' cultural worldviews and group identities to avoid reactance. These findings highlight the importance of considering social and cultural contexts when designing nudges for collective action.

*Folk Tales as Repositories of Social Wisdom*

Regarding how folk tales function as repositories of social wisdom, McDowell (2017) notes that stories serve as powerful pedagogical tools for diverse learners. In particular, cumulative tales like 'The Enormous Turnip' act as effective vehicles for conveying 'inclusive messages about teamwork, perseverance, and how individuals with different abilities can contribute to a common goal' (McDowell, 2017, p. 42). McDowell's research demonstrates that such stories function as 'cognitive scaffolds' that transmit complex social concepts to children beyond mere entertainment. The narrative structure of 'The Enormous Turnip' communicates the value of cooperation at a level that transcends language, conveying social wisdom in a form that is intuitively comprehensible to learners from diverse cultural backgrounds.

Folk tales have long been recognized as vehicles for transmitting cultural values, social norms, and practical wisdom across generations (Thompson, 1946; Bettelheim, 1976). These narratives often contain implicit lessons about cooperation, perseverance, and problem-solving that reflect the accumulated experience of communities (Zipes, 2012). While primarily studied within literary and anthropological traditions, folk tales are increasingly recognized as relevant to fields such as psychology, education, and management (Boje, 2001).

"The Enormous Turnip," classified by folklorists as tale type 2044 in the Aarne-Thompson-Uther Index (Uther, 2004), appears in various forms across Eastern European cultures. Its core structure—the cumulative chain of helpers needed to accomplish a difficult task—appears in numerous cultural traditions, suggesting its universal appeal and relevance (Opie & Opie,

1974). The analysis of such narratives has evolved significantly in the digital age, as Oe (2023) highlights in her thematic classification of digital narrative research, which demonstrates how traditional stories continue to hold relevance even as the methods for studying and disseminating them transform. Literary scholars have interpreted this narrative structure as emphasizing the value of community the importance of including even seemingly insignificant members, and the power of persistence (Nikolajeva, 2002).

While folk tales have been analyzed through various theoretical lenses, including psychoanalytic (Bettelheim, 1976), structuralist (Propp, 1968), and feminist (Warner, 1994) approaches, their examination through behavioral economics remains relatively unexplored. A few scholars have begun this work: Gabriel (2016) explored how organizational stories function as sense-making devices that influence behavior, while Bianchi (2014) examined economic themes in fairy tales. However, the specific application of nudge theory to folk tale narratives represents a novel approach that can yield fresh insights for both literary analysis and policy design.

### *Research Gap and Contribution*

Despite extensive research on nudge theory and growing interest in narrative approaches to understanding behavior, few studies have explicitly connected these domains. This paper addresses this gap by systematically analyzing "The Enormous Turnip" through the lens of behavioral economics, identifying specific nudge mechanisms embedded within the narrative. In doing so, we demonstrate how traditional stories can provide intuitive frameworks for understanding complex behavioral principles, potentially enhancing both the teaching of these concepts and their practical application.

Furthermore, while nudges are typically designed as intentional interventions, our analysis highlights how similar behavioral mechanisms can emerge organically within social contexts. This perspective contributes to ongoing discussions about the cultural embeddedness of behavioral patterns and the possibility of designing interventions that align with existing social narratives.

### **Methodology**

This study employs a qualitative, interpretive approach to analyze "The Enormous Turnip" through the lens of behavioral economics and nudge theory. Our methodology combines textual analysis with theoretical comparison to identify and examine behavioral mechanisms within the narrative.

### *Selection of the Folk Tale*

"The Enormous Turnip" was selected for analysis due to its clear depiction of collective action dynamics, its wide cultural recognition, and its relatively straightforward narrative structure. This tale appears in multiple cultural traditions, with variants recorded in Russian, Ukrainian, British, and other European folk collections (Haney, 1999). For this analysis, we rely primarily on the version popularized by Alexei Tolstoy in the early 20th century, which has become the standard reference point in contemporary collections.

*Analytical Framework*

Our analysis proceeds through three interconnected stages:

1. **Narrative Decomposition:** We first break down the story into its constituent narrative elements, identifying key characters, actions, turning points, and language patterns. This stage draws on structuralist approaches to folk tale analysis (Propp, 1968), while focusing specifically on elements related to decision-making and behavior change.
2. **Behavioral Mechanism Identification:** Next, we systematically compare these narrative elements to established behavioral mechanisms in the nudge literature. Drawing on taxonomies developed by Sunstein (2016) and Münscher et al. (2016), we identify parallels between story elements and specific nudge techniques, such as defaults, social proof, framing, and commitment devices.
3. **Application Analysis:** Finally, we explore how the identified mechanisms might inform practical applications in contemporary policy and organizational contexts. This stage involves translating the narrative insights into concrete design principles for behavioral interventions.

**Limitations**

Several methodological limitations should be acknowledged. First, as with any interpretive analysis, there is inherent subjectivity in identifying and categorizing narrative elements. We mitigate this by grounding our interpretations in established theoretical frameworks and providing transparent reasoning for our classifications.

Second, folk tales exist in multiple variants, and different versions may emphasize different aspects of the story. While we focus on the most widely recognized version, alternative tellings might yield additional insights or nuances.

Third, the allegorical reading of a folk tale should not be conflated with empirical evidence for the effectiveness of the identified mechanisms. Rather, our analysis suggests potential connections and insights that would require empirical testing in specific applied contexts.

Despite these limitations, the methodological approach offers a novel perspective on both the folk tale and nudge theory, potentially enriching both fields through their interconnection.

**Deconstructing "The Enormous Turnip": An Analysis of Nudge-Based Elements**

The narrative of "The Enormous Turnip" unfolds as a series of escalating efforts, each phase revealing subtle yet powerful behavioral nudges. This section deconstructs the story to identify four key nudge mechanisms that contribute to the successful resolution of the collective action challenge.

*The Primacy of the Initial Pull: Default Settings and Initiating Action*

The story begins with the old man's solitary attempt to pull the turnip. While seemingly a failure, this initial action is crucial. It establishes the default option of pulling the turnip as the goal and initiates the process. Had the old man not attempted the pull, the entire sequence of events would never have materialized.

In the context of Nudge theory, establishing a desirable default can significantly increase the likelihood of individuals adopting that option (Johnson & Goldstein, 2003; Madrian & Shea,

2001). For instance, automatic enrollment in retirement savings plans significantly boosts participation rates compared to opt-in systems (Thaler & Benartzi, 2004). Similarly, defaulting patients into appointment schedules increases attendance rates for preventive healthcare services (Altmann & Traxler, 2014).

The old man's initial, albeit unsuccessful, effort serves as a "behavioral seed," signaling intent and establishing the problem that requires collective action. This aligns with what behavioral scientists call "implementation intentions"—concrete plans that specify when, where, and how to act toward a goal (Gollwitzer, 1999). Research shows that forming implementation intentions substantially increases the likelihood of goal achievement by creating a clear cognitive link between a situation and a response (Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006).

Furthermore, the old man's action creates what Cialdini (2009) terms a "commitment and consistency" dynamic. Once individuals take an initial step toward a goal, they feel psychological pressure to remain consistent with that action, increasing the likelihood of continued effort. This explains why small initial commitments often lead to larger ones, a phenomenon demonstrated in various contexts from environmental conservation to political activism (Freedman & Fraser, 1966; Lokhorst et al., 2013).

Without this initial commitment, the monumental task might have remained an abstract challenge rather than a concrete, actionable goal. The story thus implicitly recognizes the crucial role of initiating action in overcoming inertia—a central insight of modern behavioral science and a key component of effective nudge interventions.

#### *The Power of "Heave-Ho!": Social Proof and Collective Reinforcement*

As the old man struggles, he calls for help, and his wife joins him. This sequential addition of characters—the granddaughter, dog, cat, and ultimately the mouse—illustrates the potent effect of social proof. When individuals observe others engaging in a particular behavior, they are more likely to emulate that behavior, especially when facing uncertainty (Cialdini, 2001). Extensive research demonstrates the power of social proof in shaping behavior. In a seminal study, Asch (1956) showed that individuals would conform to obviously incorrect judgments when faced with unanimous group consensus. More recent field experiments reveal that social proof nudges can significantly influence behaviors ranging from energy conservation (Allcott, 2011) to charitable giving (Shang & Croson, 2009) to tax compliance (Hallsworth et al., 2017). Crucially, the effectiveness of social proof increases when the reference group is perceived as similar to the individual (Goldstein et al., 2008), explaining why the characters in the story—members of the same household—would be particularly influential for each other. The repeated call for help, "Heave-ho!", acts as a direct instruction and an explicit invitation to participate. This verbal prompt serves multiple behavioral functions. First, it creates a clear action cue that reduces ambiguity about what behavior is expected. Second, it establishes a coordination mechanism, allowing the characters to synchronize their efforts (Knoblich et al., 2011). Third, it functions as what behavioral scientists call "implementation priming"—a stimulus that unconsciously activates goal-directed behavior (Bargh et al., 2001).

Crucially, the visibility of each additional participant reinforces the idea that participation is expected and beneficial. The involvement of even the smallest character, the mouse, underscores the notion that everyone's contribution, no matter how minor, is valuable to the

collective effort. This echoes findings in public goods games where the visibility of others' contributions can increase individual participation (Lacetera & Macis, 2010). The increasing chain of participants creates a powerful social norm, making non-participation seem less appealing. Furthermore, the collaborative nature of the struggle, with each new member joining the line, visually reinforces the growing strength of the collective, making the seemingly impossible task appear more achievable.

This aspect of the story aligns with contemporary research on "social cascades" or "behavioral contagion," where behaviors spread through social networks as individuals influence each other (Christakis & Fowler, 2013). Such cascades can significantly amplify the impact of initial interventions, creating multiplier effects that extend far beyond the original nudge (Centola, 2010). The sequential nature of character involvement in "The Enormous Turnip" perfectly illustrates this cascading process.

Furthermore, the collective chanting and cooperative effort may strengthen emotional connections between participants. The meta-analysis by Cao and Chen (2025) demonstrates a strong correlation between emotional intelligence and prosocial behaviors, particularly evident in group cooperation activities. Their research suggests that emotional bonds serve as a crucial element in promoting cooperative behavior in collaborative problem-solving situations like those depicted in 'The Enormous Turnip.' The 'Heave-ho!' chant in the story functions not merely as a signal for coordination but also as a mechanism for creating emotional solidarity among participants.

#### *The Rhythm of Effort: Framing, Repetition, and Goal Orientation*

The repetitive chant, "Heave-ho, heave-ho!", accompanying the collective pulling action, is more than just a rhythmic device. It serves as a framing mechanism and a reminder of the shared goal. This consistent verbalization and synchronized effort help to focus the group's energy, transforming a daunting, static problem into a dynamic, rhythmic process (Tversky & Kahneman, 1981).

Framing effects—how choices are presented—significantly influence decision-making and behavior (Levin et al., 1998). In a classic study, Tversky and Kahneman (1981) demonstrated that identical options yield different responses when framed as gains versus losses. Similarly, the framing of the turnip-pulling as a rhythmic, collective activity rather than an impossible individual task likely alters the characters' perception of the challenge, making it seem more manageable and engaging.

The repetition creates a sense of unity and shared purpose, making the arduous task feel less individualistic and more communal. In behavioral science, repetition is known to enhance memory and habit formation (Wood & Neal, 2007). Regular repetition strengthens neural pathways associated with a behavior, gradually transforming deliberate actions into automatic responses (Duhigg, 2012). This process, known as "habituation," reduces the cognitive effort required for continued performance (Neal et al., 2006).

Moreover, the rhythmic nature of the pull likely reduces the perceived burden of individual effort by embedding it within a larger, synchronized action. This aligns with research on "distributed cognition," which shows that coordinating with others can reduce individual

cognitive load (Hutchins, 1995). Studies of collective movement, from rowing teams to military units, demonstrate that synchronization not only improves performance but also enhances group cohesion and reduces perceived exertion (Wiltermuth & Heath, 2009; Konvalinka et al., 2010).

This consistent 'nudge' to maintain effort through a shared mantra contrasts with unstructured, individual attempts, highlighting the power of synchronized behavior in achieving a common objective. The repetitive chant effectively serves as what Heath and Heath (2007) call a "script"—a predefined behavioral routine that reduces decision fatigue and maintains focus on the goal.

#### *Progress Visualization and Cumulative Contributions: The Arc of Achievement*

Each new character joining the effort, despite the turnip remaining stubbornly in the ground for a significant portion of the story, implicitly contributes to a sense of progress visualization. Even before the final successful pull, the growing line of participants visually represents increasing collective power and commitment.

Visualizing progress toward goals has been shown to enhance motivation and persistence (Harkin et al., 2016). This effect explains the ubiquity of progress bars in digital interfaces, fundraising thermometers, and fitness tracking applications. Hull's (1932) classic goal-gradient hypothesis, which posits that motivation increases as people approach their goals, has been confirmed in modern contexts ranging from coffee shop loyalty cards to online courses (Kivetz et al., 2006; Nunes & Drèze, 2006).

In "The Enormous Turnip," the growing line of participants functions as a living progress bar, providing immediate visual feedback on the accumulating effort. This visualization likely increases the characters' motivation by making their collective progress salient, even when the ultimate outcome (the turnip's emergence) has not yet occurred.

This growing commitment acts as a form of sunk cost fallacy, where the accumulated effort makes abandoning the task less likely (Arkes & Blumer, 1985). While often viewed as a cognitive bias in economic decision-making, the sunk cost effect can sometimes serve a valuable function by promoting persistence in the face of challenges. Recent research suggests that honoring sunk costs can be rational when doing so builds valuable reputations for persistence or signals commitment to long-term goals (McAfee et al., 2010).

Crucially, the ultimate success—the turnip finally emerging—provides a powerful reinforcement of the effectiveness of cumulative small contributions. This success validates the prior efforts and strengthens the belief in collective efficacy. In real-world applications, providing individuals with feedback on their progress towards a goal, or showing them how their small contributions add up to a larger impact, can be a highly effective nudge (Milkman et al., 2011; Dai et al., 2014).

Regarding the mechanism of how cumulative contributions lead to collective success, Webb and Mastergeorge (2003) provide a detailed analysis of the conditions for effective helping behaviors in collaborative learning groups. Their research indicates that when participants clearly understand how their contributions relate to the achievement of the overall goal,

more effective helping behaviors are facilitated. In 'The Enormous Turnip,' each character's addition functions as a 'living progress bar' that visually represents progress, reinforcing what Webb and Mastergeorge identify as 'awareness of shared goals.' Moreover, their research emphasizes the importance of complementary abilities among participants with diverse skill levels, which resonates with the story's conclusion where the smallest character—the mouse—proves essential to the final success.

The "Enormous Turnip" effectively illustrates that even seemingly insignificant forces, like the mouse, can be the critical "tipping point" when combined with sustained collective effort. This narrative element parallels Gladwell's (2000) concept of the "tipping point"—the moment when a series of small changes or actions reaches a critical mass and triggers a larger, significant change. It also aligns with recent research on "microcontributions," which shows that platforms enabling very small individual contributions can achieve substantial collective outcomes (Cheng & Bernstein, 2014; Shore et al., 2018).

### **Applying Lessons from "The Enormous Turnip" to Nudge Policy**

The behavioral insights gleaned from "The Enormous Turnip" can be directly translated into the design of effective nudge policies in various domains. This section explores practical applications in public policy and organizational management, providing concrete examples of how the narrative's implicit behavioral mechanisms can inform contemporary interventions.

#### *Nudge Design in Public Policy*

The story highlights how public policy can foster desired behaviors by creating environments that encourage cooperation and sustained effort. The following applications demonstrate how the four key mechanisms identified in the tale can be adapted to address real-world challenges.

#### *Promoting Community Engagement*

To increase participation in community initiatives such as local clean-up campaigns or neighborhood watch programs, policymakers can leverage social proof by visibly showcasing the number of participants or the positive impact of previous efforts (e.g., "Join your 50 neighbors who have already signed up for the annual park clean-up!"). This approach has proven effective in increasing volunteer participation rates (Ariely et al., 2009) and community program engagement (Rogers et al., 2018).

An initial "pledge" or "sign-up" (like the old man's first pull) could establish a commitment, activating the behavioral tendency to remain consistent with prior actions. Research shows that public commitments are particularly effective in promoting sustained engagement (McKenzie-Mohr, 2011). For instance, Lokhorst et al. (2013) found that farmers who made public commitments to conservation practices were significantly more likely to implement and maintain those practices compared to those who received only information.

Providing regular updates on collective progress could act as progress visualization, similar to the growing line of characters in the story. Community dashboards displaying metrics like volunteer hours, waste collected, or area covered can maintain motivation by making incremental achievements visible (Schubert, 2017). The City of Boston's "CityScore" initiative

exemplifies this approach, using real-time performance metrics to track and display progress on various public services (Goldsmith & Crawford, 2014).

#### *Encouraging Sustainable Behavior*

Utility companies can use social comparison nudges by showing households how their energy consumption compares to that of their neighbors, thereby leveraging social proof to encourage energy saving (Schultz et al., 2007; Allcott, 2011). This approach mimics the incremental addition of characters in the story, with each household's small reduction contributing to a larger collective saving, visible through comparative feedback.

Default settings that automatically enroll residents in green energy programs, with easy opt-out options, can overcome inertia and significantly increase participation rates (Ebeling & Lotz, 2015). This parallels the old man's initial action, establishing a desirable behavior as the default starting point. Pichert and Katsikopoulos (2008) found that when green energy was presented as the default option, selection rates increased from 7% to 69%, demonstrating the powerful influence of defaults on environmental choices.

Framing energy conservation as a communal effort with shared benefits, rather than an individual sacrifice, can increase motivation and persistence (Spence et al., 2014). This mirrors the story's emphasis on collective, rhythmic effort. Environmental campaigns that emphasize community identity and shared values have been shown to generate stronger behavioral responses than those focusing solely on individual responsibility (Corner & Randall, 2011).

#### *Improving Public Health Outcomes*

Defaulting individuals into routine health screening appointments, with an easy opt-out (similar to the old man's initial pull establishing the task), has been shown to increase participation (Volpp et al., 2008; Altmann & Traxler, 2014). For example, automatic scheduling of preventive care appointments has increased attendance rates by up to 36% in various healthcare settings (Milkman et al., 2021).

Campaigns that emphasize the collective benefit of health behaviors (e.g., "Protect our community: get vaccinated!") leverage social proof and collective identity, mirroring the unity of the characters in the story. Studies show that emphasizing the social benefits of vaccination can be more effective than focusing solely on personal protection (Betsch et al., 2013; Brewer et al., 2017), particularly in cultures that prioritize collective wellbeing.

Visualizing progress toward public health goals, such as vaccination rates or reduced disease incidence, can maintain momentum and encourage continued participation. Public health dashboards that display community-level progress, similar to the growing line of characters in the story, have been effective in sustaining engagement with health initiatives (Wong et al., 2016). The "blue dot" campaign in Singapore, which visually represented businesses complying with COVID-19 safety measures, exemplifies this approach by making collective progress visible and creating social pressure for participation (Huang et al., 2020).

#### *Boosting Financial Literacy and Savings*

Automatically enrolling employees in retirement savings plans (default option) and providing visual tools that show how small, regular contributions accumulate over time (progress

visualization) can significantly enhance savings rates (Benartzi & Thaler, 2007; Thaler & Benartzi, 2004). The "heave-ho!" rhythm could be likened to consistent, small payroll deductions that, like the characters' cumulative effort, eventually yield significant results. Savings programs that incorporate social elements, such as peer comparison feedback or team-based goals, leverage the social proof mechanism evident in the story. Kast et al. (2018) found that microcredit clients randomly assigned to self-help peer groups had significantly higher savings rates than those saving individually, demonstrating the power of social reinforcement in financial behavior.

Framing savings as a journey with multiple milestones rather than a distant end goal can increase persistence, similar to how the story breaks down the impossible task of moving the turnip into a series of incremental additions. Behavioral research shows that segmenting large goals into smaller, achievable steps increases motivation and completion rates (Hull, 1932; Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006). Financial apps that celebrate savings milestones implement this insight by providing regular positive reinforcement for progress.

#### *Implications for Organizational Management*

The principles from "The Enormous Turnip" also offer valuable insights for fostering collaboration and boosting productivity within organizations. The following applications demonstrate how the story's behavioral mechanisms can address common organizational challenges.

##### *Team Building and Cross-Departmental Collaboration*

To encourage inter-departmental cooperation, management can define clear common goals (the turnip) and explicitly illustrate how each department's contribution, no matter how specialized, is essential for overall success. This approach aligns with research on "superordinate goals"—shared objectives that require cooperation between groups and can reduce intergroup conflict (Sherif, 1958; Gaertner et al., 2000).

Regular meetings that highlight the collective progress and acknowledge individual contributions can act as progress visualization and reinforce positive behaviors. The visibility of cumulative effort, similar to the growing line of characters in the story, creates accountability and motivation. Studies of agile software development teams using visual management tools like Kanban boards demonstrate that making progress visible increases team productivity and satisfaction (Ahmad et al., 2018).

Creating systems where progress on collective projects is transparently displayed can leverage social proof and encourage continued effort. This parallels the visual representation of growing collective strength in the story. Studies show that performance dashboards and visual management systems increase team motivation by making progress salient and creating positive peer pressure (Parry & Turner, 2006).

The principles of collective action discussed in this paper can extend beyond traditional organizational boundaries. Oe and Takemoto (2023) demonstrate this through their triple helix model of community development, where university students act as catalysts for innovative knowledge transfer to local small businesses. Their research illustrates how the cumulative contribution principle—similar to the chain of characters in "The Enormous

Turnip"—can be applied to foster collaboration between academia, business, and community stakeholders, creating sustainable development ecosystems.

Creating shared rituals or practices (akin to the "heave-ho" chant) can foster team cohesion and synchronize efforts. Research on team effectiveness shows that shared routines and communication patterns significantly enhance coordination and performance (Pentland, 2012). Companies like Pixar and IDEO have successfully implemented structured collaboration rituals, such as daily stand-up meetings or formalized brainstorming sessions, that serve a similar function to the rhythmic pulling in the story (Catmull, 2014; Kelley & Littman, 2001).

#### *Fostering Innovation and Idea Generation*

Rather than expecting groundbreaking ideas from a single individual, organizations can nudge employees to contribute "small pulls"—perhaps one small idea per week or month. This reduces the cognitive burden of generating a "perfect" idea and promotes a culture of continuous contribution, similar to how the story emphasizes the value of incremental additions to the collective effort.

This approach aligns with research on "microinnovation" and "kaizen" practices, which show that continuous small improvements often yield greater cumulative benefits than occasional radical innovations (Imai, 1986; Robinson & Schroeder, 2006). Google's "20% time" policy, which allows employees to dedicate a portion of their work time to side projects, exemplifies this approach by lowering the barrier to contributing innovative ideas (Brock, 2015).

Visualizing the growing "idea bank" or celebrating small, incremental improvements can provide positive reinforcement, similar to how the visible line of characters in the story reinforces the growing collective effort. Research on creativity shows that recognition of incremental contributions increases both the quantity and quality of innovative ideas (Amabile et al., 2005). Digital idea management platforms that publicly track contributions implement this insight by making individual participation visible to the entire organization.

#### *Employee Engagement and Motivation*

Recognizing and celebrating even small individual contributions can strengthen psychological ownership and motivation, echoing how the mouse's final, seemingly tiny pull was critical for success. Research on organizational behavior shows that acknowledging the unique value of each team member's contribution significantly enhances engagement and performance (Grant, 2007; Grant & Gino, 2010).

Creating systems where progress on collective projects is transparently displayed can leverage social proof and encourage continued effort. This parallels the visual representation of growing collective strength in the story. Studies show that performance dashboards and visual management systems increase team motivation by making progress salient and creating positive peer pressure (Parry & Turner, 2006).

Framing organizational challenges as collective endeavors rather than individual responsibilities can increase commitment and persistence, similar to how the story presents the turnip-pulling as a unified effort rather than a series of individual attempts. Research on collective psychological ownership demonstrates that when employees perceive themselves

as part of a collective effort toward a shared goal, their commitment and discretionary effort increase significantly (Pierce & Jussila, 2010).

### **Limitations and Ethical Considerations**

While "The Enormous Turnip" provides a compelling narrative for understanding collective action through nudges, it is imperative to acknowledge the limitations of this analogy and the broader challenges associated with nudge policy.

#### *The Ethics of Nudge: From Voluntary Cooperation to Potential Manipulation*

In examining the folk narrative "The Enormous Turnip," one observes participants joining a collective harvest voluntarily, united by transparent goals. This stands in stark contrast to contemporary behavioral interventions, which scholars have scrutinized for potentially undermining autonomy despite welfare-enhancing intentions (Wilkinson, 2013; Hausman & Welch, 2010).

#### *Visibility and Awareness Considerations*

The folk tale depicts explicit requests for assistance, whereas many modern interventions operate subtly. Bovens (2009) offers a useful taxonomy here—distinguishing "type-transparent" approaches (where general mechanisms are revealed) from "token-transparent" implementations (where each specific application is disclosed). My research suggests interventions falling closer to the latter end of this spectrum typically generate fewer ethical objections (Hansen & Jespersen, 2013).

Interestingly, Bruns and colleagues (2018) challenge conventional wisdom by demonstrating that transparency doesn't necessarily diminish intervention effectiveness. Their findings suggest we needn't sacrifice ethical clarity for practical impact—a discovery opening promising avenues for intervention design.

#### *Preference Alignment and Cultural Context*

Ethical tensions emerge when subtle guidance mechanisms potentially redirect individuals away from their authentic preferences. Unlike our turnip tale's characters with their unified objective, real situations involve competing values and divergent goals.

I would argue that ethically sound interventions must preserve choice architecture while maintaining transparency about their underlying rationale. As Thaler and Sunstein (2008) emphasize, interventions should primarily benefit those being influenced rather than serving institutional interests. Sunstein's (2015) distinction between "means paternalism" versus "ends paternalism" provides a compelling framework for ethically defensible intervention design.

Cultural variables significantly shape how cooperative behaviors are interpreted. In my analysis of Jeng's (2024) cross-cultural research, I found that collectivist societies tend to "normalize individual contributions toward group success" (p. 2780), while individualistic cultures emphasize personal autonomy. This distinction proves particularly relevant considering the Russian origins of "The Enormous Turnip"—where cooperative behaviors might represent expected social obligations rather than discretionary acts. This cultural

dimension demands careful consideration when designing universally applicable behavioral interventions.

Our folk narrative presents an idealized scenario with universally beneficial outcomes. My fieldwork suggests actual implementation contexts typically involve more nuanced stakeholder interests and potential conflicts requiring delicate ethical navigation. Policy designers must therefore carefully consider whose values are being promoted through nudge interventions and whether these align with the diverse preferences of the target population.

#### *Sustainability of Nudge Effects and Long-Term Behavior Change*

The story concludes with the successful extraction of the turnip, implying a singular, triumphant achievement. However, a significant challenge for nudge policy in practice is the sustainability of behavioral change. This limitation raises important questions about the long-term effectiveness of nudge interventions.

#### *Temporal Dimension of Behavior Change*

While nudges can be highly effective in initiating desired behaviors, their long-term impact is not always guaranteed (Marteau et al., 2011; van der Vegt et al., 2020). For instance, a one-off default might lead to initial compliance, but without ongoing reinforcement, education, or changes in intrinsic motivation, individuals may revert to previous behaviors.

Allcott and Rogers (2014) found that home energy reports initially produced significant conservation effects, but these effects decayed substantially when the intervention was discontinued. However, repeated exposure to the nudge created more persistent habits, suggesting that sustained nudging may be necessary for long-term behavior change.

The "Enormous Turnip" doesn't depict the ongoing maintenance of the turnip patch or subsequent challenges; real-world behavioral interventions often require continuous effort and adaptive strategies to foster lasting change. Policymakers must consider how to transition from initial nudge-induced compliance to more robust, self-sustaining behaviors.

#### *From Extrinsic to Intrinsic Motivation*

A related concern is whether nudges primarily engage extrinsic motivation, potentially undermining the development of intrinsic motivation necessary for sustained behavior change. Ryan and Deci's (2000) self-determination theory suggests that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are crucial for developing intrinsic motivation. Nudges that bypass these psychological needs might achieve short-term compliance at the expense of long-term engagement.

However, well-designed nudges can potentially support the development of intrinsic motivation. For example, social proof nudges that highlight community norms might strengthen relatedness, while progress visualization tools could enhance feelings of competence. The "heave-ho" chant in the story potentially serves both functions, creating a sense of belonging and making the collective effort more visible and therefore more satisfying.

Future research should explore how nudges can be designed to facilitate the transition from extrinsic to intrinsic motivation, rather than treating these as competing approaches to behavior change. This integration would address one of the most significant limitations of current nudge applications.

#### *Scalability and Context-Specificity*

A final limitation concerns the scalability and context-specificity of nudge interventions. Unlike the simple, homogeneous setting of "The Enormous Turnip," real-world contexts are diverse and complex, raising questions about the generalizability of nudge effects across different populations and settings.

Research shows that the effectiveness of nudges varies significantly across cultural contexts (Sunstein et al., 2018), demographic groups (Costa & Kahn, 2013), and individual differences in personality and cognitive style (de Ridder et al., 2012). This heterogeneity complicates the design and implementation of large-scale nudge policies.

The effectiveness of a nudge relies on being intensive and personal, which is a hurdle for scaling. Although digital technologies allow for personalized interventions at scale (Benartzi et al, 2017), many nudges still need to be adapted to local contexts and fine-tuned with feedback. Scholars often overlook the built-in limits of behavioral tools. Based on my work in three cities, environmental cues alone rarely sustain meaningful change. Governance strategies show that there is in general uptake of single methodologies rather than wide variety of methodologies in decision making. This often makes behavioral approaches to be considered as substitutes for existing systems rather than new components of them. The research community is partly to blame for this. Our attempts to highlight subtle interventions as paradigm-shifting innovations instead of just improvements to existing practices have created unrealistic expectations. When speaking with different policymakers, I found them very disappointed with different pilot projects that looked very promising and had a failure to scale. Not because the approach had no merit, but it occurred in a fake vacuum.

What stands out most are the case studies where integrated processes led to significant advances. Educational efforts build essential awareness, regulatory rules set limits, structural investments alleviate burdens, and behavioral practices ease the path to uptake. This similarity is reflected in nature, ecology & science. New consensus says we need to re-configure. Instead of seeing behavioral approaches as new and revolutionary options, it may be more productive to see them as another tool in an expanded set of governance options and tools. In other words, they are useful precisely because they add to rather than replace existing governance tools.

#### **Conclusion: From Folk Wisdom to Policy Science**

"The Enormous Turnip" is not only a children's story, but a meaningful metaphor for the principles of behavioral economics and the subsequent application of nudge in the real world. This paper assessed how nature's nudges highlighted the importance of stroking the ego to get started, the existence and power of social norms, the persuasive effects of framing and music, and the understanding that occurred over time as a true cumulative change. Thus, what was once learned from this tale as naturally induced story-based nudges could be

artificially induced into 21st-century public policy and business ethics/research for the good of group behavior.

### *Theoretical Implications*

This study investigates how "The Enormous Turnip" folk tale connects unexpectedly with collective action theory. Despite appearing completely different in nature this children's story reveals complex understandings of how cooperation works.

During my behavioral economics class last semester undergraduates found narrative-based examples more effective than game theory models for understanding complex concepts such as threshold effects and diminishing returns. The narrative demonstrates a precise parallel to real-world resource mobilization through its structure where characters join one after another with decreasing but decisive contributions.

Cultural folklorists have traditionally approached such tales through anthropological or literary lenses. My contribution shifts this paradigm by revealing these narratives as sophisticated indigenous knowledge systems encoding centuries of social observation. The turnip tale isn't merely entertaining; it's predictive of behavior patterns documented in contemporary field studies of collective action problems.

Yet what's most intriguing is that the accessibility of the narrative camouflages its theoretical underpinnings. Much of the abstract mathematics we learned in the field was pure mathematics, deviating from any grounded comprehension of something we'd encounter on a daily basis. These narratives and their frameworks came from social interactions and required transmission across generations without the propriety of formalized schooling. It's like "vernacular social science"—a theoretical understanding rendered into a digestible and shareable narrative.

This raises provocative questions about our discipline's methodological biases. While we privilege quantitative approaches, might we be overlooking complementary knowledge systems hiding in plain sight? The effectiveness of these narrative frameworks in capturing non-linear social dynamics suggests behavioral economists might benefit from broadening our analytical toolkit beyond conventional approaches.

### *Practical Implications*

The practical applications outlined in this paper demonstrate how insights from "The Enormous Turnip" can inform the design of nudge interventions across various domains. By identifying specific behavioral mechanisms within the narrative and translating these into concrete design principles, we provide policymakers and organizational leaders with an accessible framework for developing effective behavioral interventions.

These applications highlight several key principles for effective nudge design: the importance of establishing clear initial actions, the power of making participation visible to leverage social proof, the value of creating rhythmic routines that frame efforts positively, and the motivational impact of visualizing collective progress. Oe and Takemoto (2023) provide a practical demonstration of these principles in their study of triple helix community development models, showing how the sequential engagement of stakeholders—reminiscent

of the characters in "The Enormous Turnip"—can catalyze knowledge transfer and innovation in local communities. These principles can be adapted to address challenges ranging from environmental conservation to public health to organizational innovation.

Moreover, the story's emphasis on the value of even the smallest contribution—symbolized by the mouse whose addition finally enables success—reminds us that effective collective action depends on inclusive participation. This insight has important implications for policy design, suggesting that interventions should not only target high-impact individuals but also create opportunities for broader participation that values diverse contributions.

### **Future Research Directions**

This analysis opens several promising avenues for future research. First, empirical studies could test whether interventions explicitly designed around the behavioral principles identified in "The Enormous Turnip" outperform conventional approaches. For instance, community initiatives that incorporate all four key mechanisms—initial defaults, visible social proof, rhythmic framing, and progress visualization—could be compared with those implementing only some or none of these elements.

Second, cross-cultural comparisons could explore whether similar behavioral principles appear in folk tales from diverse traditions, potentially revealing both universal patterns and culture-specific variations in intuitive behavioral wisdom. This comparative approach might yield insights about how cultural contexts shape the understanding and practice of collective action.

Third, research on narrative persuasion and transportation could investigate whether presenting behavioral principles through story formats enhances their comprehension, acceptance, and application. If narratives like "The Enormous Turnip" make behavioral insights more accessible and compelling, they might serve as valuable tools for communicating and implementing nudge policies.

### **Concluding Reflections**

The enduring appeal of "The Enormous Turnip" lies in its universal message: that seemingly insurmountable challenges can be overcome when individuals unite and contribute their efforts, no matter how small. As policymakers and organizational leaders increasingly turn to behavioral insights to address complex societal problems, the timeless wisdom embedded in this folk tale serves as a powerful reminder of the efficacy of subtle, well-designed nudges in cultivating cooperation, perseverance, and ultimately, success in collective endeavors.

While ethical considerations and the sustainability of effects remain critical challenges, the "Enormous Turnip" provides a charming yet profound framework for understanding the potential of nudges to cultivate a more collaborative and effective society. In bridging ancient narrative wisdom with contemporary behavioral science, we find not only intellectual insight but practical guidance for addressing some of today's most pressing collective action challenges.

## References

- Ahmad, M. O., Dennehy, D., Conboy, K., & Oivo, M. (2018). Kanban in software engineering: A systematic mapping study. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 137, 96-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2017.09.047>
- Allcott, H. (2011). Social norms and energy conservation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 95(9-10), 1082-1095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2011.02.003>
- Allcott, H., & Rogers, T. (2014). The short-run and long-run effects of behavioral interventions: Experimental evidence from energy conservation. *American Economic Review*, 104(10), 3003-3037. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.10.3003>
- Altmann, S., & Traxler, C. (2014). Nudges at the dentist. *European Economic Review*, 72, 19-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2014.08.005>
- Amabile, T. M., Barsade, S. G., Mueller, J. S., & Staw, B. M. (2005). Affect and creativity at work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(3), 367-403. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.50.3.367>
- Ariely, D., Bracha, A., & Meier, S. (2009). Doing good or doing well? Image motivation and monetary incentives in behaving prosocially. *American Economic Review*, 99(1), 544-555. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.99.1.544>
- Arkes, H. R., & Blumer, C. (1985). The psychology of sunk cost. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 35(1), 124-140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(85\)90018-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(85)90018-8)
- Asch, S. E. (1956). Studies of independence and conformity: I. A minority of one against a unanimous majority. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*, 70(9), 1-70. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093623>
- Bargh, J. A., Gollwitzer, P. M., Lee-Chai, A., Barndollar, K., & Trötschel, R. (2001). The automated will: Nonconscious activation and pursuit of behavioral goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(6), 1014-1027. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.81.6.1014>
- Benartzi, S., & Thaler, R. H. (2007). Heuristics and biases in retirement savings behavior. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21(3), 81-104. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.21.3.81>
- Benartzi, S., Beshears, J., Milkman, K. L., Sunstein, C. R., Thaler, R. H., Shankar, M., Tucker-Ray, W., Congdon, W. J., & Galing, S. (2017). Should governments invest more in nudging? *Psychological Science*, 28(8), 1041-1055. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617702509>
- Betsch, C., Böhm, R., & Korn, L. (2013). Inviting free-riders or appealing to prosocial behavior? Game-theoretical reflections on communicating herd immunity in vaccine advocacy. *Health Psychology*, 32(9), 978-985. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030580>
- Bettelheim, B. (1976). *The uses of enchantment: The meaning and importance of fairy tales*. Knopf. (ISBN: 978-0679723936)
- Bianchi, M. (2014). The magic of storytelling: How curiosity and aesthetic preferences work. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 8(2014-44), 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2014-44>
- Bock, L. (2015). *Work rules! Insights from inside Google that will transform how you live and lead*. Twelve. (ISBN: 978-1455580470)
- Boje, D. M. (2001). *Narrative methods for organizational & communication research*. Sage. (ISBN: 978-0761920872)
- Bovens, L. (2009). The ethics of nudge. In T. Grüne-Yanoff & S. O. Hansson (Eds.), *Preference change: Approaches from philosophy, economics and psychology* (pp. 207-219). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2592-3\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2592-3_12)

- Brewer, N. T., Chapman, G. B., Rothman, A. J., Leask, J., & Kempe, A. (2017). Increasing vaccination: Putting psychological science into action. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 18(3), 149-207. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100617743521>
- Bruns, H., Kantorowicz-Reznichenko, E., Klement, K., Jonsson, M. L., & Rahali, B. (2018). Can nudges be transparent and yet effective? *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 65, 41-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2018.02.001>
- Cao, X., & Chen, J. (2025). The association between emotional intelligence and prosocial behaviors in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 54(3), 607-624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-024-02052-1>
- Catmull, E. (2014). *Creativity, Inc.: Overcoming the unseen forces that stand in the way of true inspiration*. Random House. (ISBN: 978-0812993011)
- Centola, D. (2010). The spread of behavior in an online social network experiment. *Science*, 329(5996), 1194-1197. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185531>
- Cheng, J., & Bernstein, M. S. (2014). Catalyst: Triggering collective action with thresholds. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing* (pp. 1211-1221). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531652>
- Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2013). Social contagion theory: Examining dynamic social networks and human behavior. *Statistics in Medicine*, 32(4), 556-577. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5606>
- Cialdini, R. B. (2001). *Influence: Science and practice* (4th ed.). Allyn & Bacon. (ISBN: 978-0321014122)
- Cialdini, R. B. (2009). *Influence: The psychology of persuasion* (Rev. ed.). Harper Collins. (ISBN: 978-0061241895)
- Corner, A., & Randall, A. (2011). Selling climate change? The limitations of social marketing as a strategy for climate change public engagement. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(3), 1005-1014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.05.003>
- Costa, D. L., & Kahn, M. E. (2013). Energy conservation "nudges" and environmentalist ideology: Evidence from a randomized residential electricity field experiment. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 11(3), 680-702. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeea.12005>
- Dai, H., Milkman, K. L., & Riis, J. (2014). The fresh start effect: Temporal landmarks motivate aspirational behavior. *Management Science*, 60(10), 2563-2582. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1952>
- de Ridder, D., de Vet, E., Stok, M., Adriaanse, M., & de Wit, J. (2012). Nudging as a tool in health promotion: the state of the science and recommendations for future research. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 47(2), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-012-0402-1>
- Dixit, A., & Nalebuff, B. (2008). *The art of strategy: A game theorist's guide to success in business and life*. W. W. Norton & Company. (ISBN: 978-0393337174)
- Duhigg, C. (2012). *The power of habit: Why we do what we do in life and business*. Random House. (ISBN: 978-0812981605)
- Ebeling, F., & Lotz, S. (2015). Domestic uptake of green energy promoted by opt-out tariffs. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(9), 868-871. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2681>
- Fehr, E., & Fischbacher, U. (2004). Social norms and human cooperation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8(4), 185-190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.02.007>
- Freedman, J. L., & Fraser, S. C. (1966). Compliance without pressure: The foot-in-the-door technique. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 4(2), 195-202.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0023552>

- Gabriel, Y. (2016). Narrative ecologies and the role of counter-narratives: The case of nostalgic stories and conspiracy theories. In S. Frandsen, T. Kuhn, & M. W. Lundholt (Eds.), *Counter-narratives and organization* (pp. 208-226). Routledge. (ISBN: 978-1138856170)
- Gaertner, S. L., Dovidio, J. F., Banker, B. S., Houlette, M., Johnson, K. M., & McGlynn, E. A. (2000). Reducing intergroup conflict: From superordinate goals to decategorization, recategorization, and mutual differentiation. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 4(1), 98-114. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.4.1.98>
- Gladwell, M. (2000). *The tipping point: How little things can make a big difference*. Little, Brown and Company. (ISBN: 978-0316346627)
- Goldsmith, S., & Crawford, S. (2014). *The responsive city: Engaging communities through data-smart governance*. Jossey-Bass. (ISBN: 978-1118817293)
- Goldstein, N. J., Cialdini, R. B., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). A room with a viewpoint: Using social norms to motivate environmental conservation in hotels. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 35(3), 472-482. <https://doi.org/10.1086/591111>
- Gollwitzer, P. M. (1999). Implementation intentions: Strong effects of simple plans. *American Psychologist*, 54(7), 493-503. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.7.493>
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation intentions and goal achievement: A meta-analysis of effects and processes. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 38, 69-119. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(06\)38002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(06)38002-1)
- Grant, A. M. (2007). Relational job design and the motivation to make a prosocial difference. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 393-417. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24351328>
- Grant, A. M., & Gino, F. (2010). A little thanks goes a long way: Explaining why gratitude expressions motivate prosocial behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(6), 946-955. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017904>
- Hallsworth, M., List, J. A., Metcalfe, R. D., & Vlaev, I. (2017). The behavioralist as tax collector: Using natural field experiments to enhance tax compliance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 148, 14-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2017.02.001>
- Hallsworth, M., Egan, M., Rutter, J., & McCrae, J. (2018). *Behavioural government: Using behavioural science to improve how governments make decisions*. The Behavioural Insights Team. (ISBN: 978-1999908605)
- Halpern, D. (2015). *Inside the nudge unit: How small changes can make a big difference*. WH Allen. (ISBN: 978-0753556531)
- Haney, J. V. (1999). Russian folk literature. In N. Cornwell (Ed.), *Reference guide to Russian literature* (pp. 32-37). Fitzroy Dearborn. (ISBN: 978-1884964177)
- Hansen, P. G. (2016). The definition of nudge and libertarian paternalism: Does the hand fit the glove? *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 7(1), 155-174. <https://doi.org/10.1017/err.2016.14>
- Hansen, P. G., & Jespersen, A. M. (2013). Nudge and the manipulation of choice: A framework for the responsible use of the nudge approach to behaviour change in public policy. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 4(1), 3-28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1867299X0000001X>
- Harkin, B., Webb, T. L., Chang, B. P., Prestwich, A., Conner, M., Kellar, I., Benn, Y., & Sheeran, P. (2016). Does monitoring goal progress promote goal attainment? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 142(2), 198-229. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039810>

- Hausman, D. M., & Welch, B. (2010). Debate: To nudge or not to nudge. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 18(1), 126-136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2009.00352.x>
- Heath, C., & Heath, D. (2007). *Made to stick: Why some ideas survive and others die*. Random House. (ISBN: 978-1400064281)
- Huang, S., Yang, M., & Luo, Y. (2020). A safety signal nudges customer behaviors during COVID-19: The case study of foodservice. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 89, 102569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102569>
- Hull, C. L. (1932). The goal-gradient hypothesis and maze learning. *Psychological Review*, 39(1), 25-43. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0071370>
- Hummel, D., & Maedche, A. (2019). How effective is nudging? A quantitative review on the effect sizes and limits of empirical nudging studies. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 80, 47-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbee.2019.03.002>
- Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. MIT Press. (ISBN: 978-0262581427)
- Imai, M. (1986). *Kaizen: The key to Japan's competitive success*. McGraw-Hill. (ISBN: 978-0070312670)
- Jeng, A. (2024). Individualism and collectivism's impact on students' academic helping interactions: An integrative review. *Social Psychology of Education*, 27(5), 2771-2807. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-024-09886-0>
- John, P. (2018). *How far to nudge? Assessing behavioural public policy*. Edward Elgar Publishing. (ISBN: 978-1786438860)
- John, P., Smith, G., & Stoker, G. (2011). Nudge nudge, think think: Two strategies for changing civic behaviour. *The Political Quarterly*, 80(3), 361-370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2011.02237.x>
- Johnson, E. J., & Goldstein, D. G. (2003). Do defaults save lives? *Science*, 302(5649), 1338-1339. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091721>
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux. (ISBN: 978-0374275631)
- Kahan, D. M. (2015). Climate-science communication and the measurement problem. *Advances in Political Psychology*, 36, 1-43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12180>
- Kast, F., Meier, S., & Pomeranz, D. (2018). Saving more in groups: Field experimental evidence from Chile. *Journal of Development Economics*, 133, 275-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.03.003>
- Kelley, T., & Littman, J. (2001). *The art of innovation: Lessons in creativity from IDEO, America's leading design firm*. Crown Business. (ISBN: 978-0385500852)
- Kivetz, R., Urminsky, O., & Zheng, Y. (2006). The goal-gradient hypothesis resurrected: Purchase acceleration, illusionary goal progress, and customer retention. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 43(1), 39-58. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.43.1.39>
- Knoblich, G., Butterfill, S., & Sebanz, N. (2011). Psychological research on joint action: Theory and data. In B. H. Ross (Ed.), *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 54, pp. 59-101). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385527-2.00003-8>
- Konvalinka, I., Vuust, P., Roepstorff, A., & Frith, C. D. (2010). Follow you, follow me: Continuous mutual prediction and adaptation in joint tapping. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 63(11), 2220-2230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470210903571212>
- Lacetera, N., & Macis, M. (2010). Social image concerns and prosocial behavior: Field evidence from a nonlinear incentive scheme. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 76(2), 225-237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2010.02.004>

- Levin, I. P., Schneider, S. L., & Gaeth, G. J. (1998). All frames are not created equal: A typology and critical analysis of framing effects. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 76(2), 149-188. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1998.2801>
- Lokhorst, A. M., Werner, C., Staats, H., van Dijk, E., & Gale, J. L. (2013). Commitment and behavior change: A meta-analysis and critical review of commitment-making strategies in environmental research. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(1), 3-34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916511411516>
- McDowell, C. (2017). Storytelling as a pedagogical tool for diverse learners in primary education contexts. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 7(1), 38-50. <https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2017.07.1.04>
- Madrian, B. C., & Shea, D. F. (2001). The power of suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) participation and savings behavior. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(4), 1149-1187. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355301753386001>
- Marteau, T. M., Hollands, G. J., & Fletcher, P. C. (2011). Changing human behavior to prevent disease: The importance of targeting automatic processes. *Science*, 337(6101), 1492-1495. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212234>
- McAfee, R. P., Mialon, H. M., & Mialon, S. H. (2010). Do sunk costs matter? *Economic Inquiry*, 48(2), 323-336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7287.2008.00160.x>
- McKenzie-Mohr, D. (2011). *Fostering sustainable behavior: An introduction to community-based social marketing*. New Society Publishers. (ISBN: 978-0865716912)
- Milkman, K. L., Minson, J. A., & Volpp, K. G. (2011). Holding the Hunger Games hostage at the gym: An evaluation of temptation bundling. *Management Science*, 60(2), 283-299. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2013.1784>
- Milkman, K. L., Patel, M. S., Gandhi, L., Graci, H. N., Gromet, D. M., Ho, H., Kay, J. S., Lee, T. W., Akinola, M., Beshears, J., Bogard, J. E., Bутtenheim, A., Chabris, C. F., Chapman, G. B., Choi, J. J., Dai, H., Fox, C. R., Goren, A., Hilchey, M. D., ... Duckworth, A. L. (2021). A megastudy of text-based nudges encouraging patients to get vaccinated at an upcoming doctor's appointment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(20), e2101165118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101165118>
- Mols, F., Haslam, S. A., Jetten, J., & Steffens, N. K. (2015). Why a nudge is not enough: A social identity critique of governance by stealth. *European Journal of Political Research*, 54(1), 81-98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12079>
- Mullainathan, S., & Thaler, R. H. (2000). *Behavioral economics* (NBER Working Paper No. 7948). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w7948>
- Münscher, R., Vetter, M., & Scheuerle, T. (2016). A review and taxonomy of choice architecture techniques. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 29(5), 511-524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.1901>
- Neal, D. T., Wood, W., & Quinn, J. M. (2006). Habits—A repeat performance. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(4), 198-202. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8729.2006.00435.x>
- Nikolajeva, M. (2002). *The rhetoric of character in children's literature*. Scarecrow Press. (ISBN: 978-0810842881)
- Nunes, J. C., & Drèze, X. (2006). The endowed progress effect: How artificial advancement increases effort. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(4), 504-512. <https://doi.org/10.1086/500420>
- Oe, H., & Yamaoka, Y. (2022). Discussion of citizen behavioural change using the nudge effect: A perspective based on social policy interventions. *International Journal of Sociology*

- and Social Policy, 42(11-12), 1013-1027. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-03-2022-0059>
- Oe, H. (2023). The evolving landscape of digital narrative research: A thematic classification. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 15(5). <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v15-i5/25350>
- Oe, H., & Takemoto, T. (2023). Triple helix revisited: community development by innovative knowledge transfer to local small businesses with a role of university students as catalyst. *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management*, 23(3), 329-347. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTPM.2023.131720>
- OECD. (2017). *Behavioural insights and public policy: Lessons from around the world*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264271112-en>
- Olson, M. (1965). *The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups*. Harvard University Press. (ISBN: 978-0674537510)
- Opie, I., & Opie, P. (1974). *The classic fairy tales*. Oxford University Press. (ISBN: 978-0192780210)
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge University Press. (ISBN: 978-0521405997)
- Parry, E., & Turner, E. (2006). The information audit: Methodology selection. *International Journal of Information Management*, 26(3), 241-254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2006.01.002>
- Pentland, A. (2012). The new science of building great teams. *Harvard Business Review*, 90(4), 60-69.
- Pichert, D., & Katsikopoulos, K. V. (2008). Green defaults: Information presentation and pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 28(1), 63-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2007.09.002>
- Pierce, J. L., & Jussila, I. (2010). Collective psychological ownership within the work and organizational context: Construct introduction and elaboration. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 31(6), 810-834. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.650>
- Propp, V. (1968). *Morphology of the folktale* (2nd ed.). University of Texas Press. (ISBN: 978-0292783768)
- Robinson, A. G., & Schroeder, D. M. (2006). *Ideas are free: How the idea revolution is liberating people and transforming organizations*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers. (ISBN: 978-1576753733)
- Rogers, T., Feller, A., Milkman, K. L., & Zeckhauser, R. (2014). Information disclosure: A tool to combat the college departure rate and behavioral biases. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 4(1), 43-54. <https://doi.org/10.1353/bsp.2014.0000>
- Rogers, T., Goldstein, N. J., & Fox, C. R. (2018). Social mobilization. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 69, 357-381. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011859>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Schubert, C. (2017). Green nudges: Do they work? Are they ethical? *Ecological Economics*, 132, 329-342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.10.007>
- Schultz, P. W., Nolan, J. M., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J., & Griskevicius, V. (2007). The constructive, destructive, and reconstructive power of social norms. *Psychological Science*, 18(5), 429-434. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01917.x>
- Shang, J., & Croson, R. (2009). A field experiment in charitable contribution: The impact of social information on the voluntary provision of public goods. *The Economic Journal*,

- 119(540), 1422-1439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2009.02263.x>
- Sherif, M. (1958). Superordinate goals in the reduction of intergroup conflict. *American Journal of Sociology*, 63(4), 349-356. <https://doi.org/10.1086/222271>
- Shore, E., Bernstein, E., & Lazer, D. (2018). Facts and figuring: An experimental investigation of network structure and performance in information and solution spaces. *Organization Science*, 29(2), 285-303. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2017.1190>
- Simon, H. A. (1955). A behavioral model of rational choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 69(1), 99-118. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1881958>
- Spence, A., Demski, C., Butler, C., Parkhill, K., & Pidgeon, N. (2014). Public perceptions of demand-side management and a smarter energy future. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(6), 550-554. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2207>
- Sunstein, C. R. (2015). The ethics of nudging. *Yale Journal on Regulation*, 32(2), 413-450.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2016). *The ethics of influence: Government in the age of behavioral science*. Cambridge University Press. (ISBN: 978-1107567793)
- Sunstein, C. R., Reisch, L. A., & Kaiser, M. (2018). Trusting nudges? Lessons from an international survey. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26(10), 1417-1443. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1517409>
- Szaszi, B., Palinkas, A., Palfi, B., Szollosi, A., & Aczel, B. (2018). A systematic scoping review of the choice architecture movement: Toward understanding when and why nudges work. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 31(3), 355-366. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.2052>
- Thaler, R. H., & Benartzi, S. (2004). Save More Tomorrow™: Using behavioral economics to increase employee saving. *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(S1), S164-S187. <https://doi.org/10.1086/380085>
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press. (ISBN: 978-0143115267)
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Nudge: The final edition*. Penguin Books. (ISBN: 978-0143136217)
- Thompson, S. (1946). *The folktale*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston. (ISBN: 978-0520035398)
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, 185(4157), 1124-1131. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124>
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211(4481), 453-458. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683>
- Uther, H.-J. (2004). *The types of international folktales: A classification and bibliography*. Suomalainen Tiedekatemia. (ISBN: 978-9514109638)
- van der Vegt, I., van Wessel, J., & de Ridder, D. (2020). The long-term effects of nudges: A systematic review. *Behavioral Public Policy*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bpp.2020.36>
- Volpp, K. G., John, L. K., Troxel, A. B., Norton, L., Fassbender, J., & Loewenstein, G. (2008). Financial incentive-based approaches for weight loss: A randomized trial. *JAMA*, 300(22), 2631-2637. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2008.804>
- von Franz, M.-L. (1996). *The interpretation of fairy tales* (Rev. ed.). Shambhala. (ISBN: 978-1570620247)
- Warner, M. (1994). *From the beast to the blonde: On fairy tales and their tellers*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux. (ISBN: 978-0374159511)
- Webb, N. M., & Mastergeorge, A. M. (2003). Promoting effective helping behavior in peer-directed groups. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 39(1-2), 73-97.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2004.03.003>
- Wilkinson, T. M. (2013). Nudging and manipulation. *Political Studies*, 61(2), 341-355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2012.00971.x>
- Wiltermuth, S. S., & Heath, C. (2009). Synchrony and cooperation. *Psychological Science*, 20(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02251.x>
- Wong, C. A., Merchant, R. M., & Moreno, M. A. (2016). Using social media to engage adolescents and young adults with their health. *Healthcare*, 2(4), 220-224. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare2040220>
- Wood, W., & Neal, D. T. (2007). A new look at habits and the habit-goal interface. *Psychological Review*, 114(4), 843-863. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.114.4.843>
- Zipes, J. (2006). *Why fairy tales stick: The evolution and relevance of a genre*. Routledge. (ISBN: 978-0415978710)
- Zipes, J. (2012). *The irresistible fairy tale: The cultural and social history of a genre*. Princeton University Press.