INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION & DEVELOPMENT

OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL

Vol 14, Issue 3, (2025) E-ISSN: 2226-6348

Multidimensional Analysis of Teachers' Technostress: Sources, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies

Yanhua Zhong*[1,2], Mohd Shafie B. Rosli[1]

[1]Advanced Learning Technology Department, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia,

[2]Institute of Marxism, Ganzhou Polytechnic, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, 341000, China

Email: zhongyanhua@graduate.utm.my, shafierosli@utm.my

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i3/25863 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i3/25863

Published Online: 14 July 2025

Abstract

In the process of digital transformation in education, technostress among the teaching profession has become a critical variable affecting both educational quality and occupational health. This study systematically integrates existing empirical research findings from the international academic community to construct a three-dimensional "sources-impacts-mitigation" analytical framework for technostress. The research reveals that teachers' technostress originates from the complex interaction between technological characteristics, organizational environments, and individual traits. Its negative impacts manifest not only as impairments to physical and mental health at the individual level but also weaken the effectiveness of educational systems by reducing willingness for pedagogical innovation and organizational commitment. Effective mitigation strategies require simultaneous attention to human-centered improvements in technology design, optimization of school support systems, and cultivation of teachers' digital resilience. This study provides both theoretical foundations and practical pathways for educational organizations to build comprehensive technostress management systems.

Keywords: Technostress, Teachers' Occupational Health, Digital Education, Stress Management, Systematic Analysis

Introduction

The permeation of digital technology in the field of education is reshaping teachers' professional practices and work ecosystems. Brod (1984) first proposed the concept of "technostress," defining it as a pathological reaction that occurs when individuals cannot adapt to computer technology changes. With the deep integration of information and communication technology (ICT) in education, technostress among teachers demonstrates significant profession-specific characteristics. Compared with other professional groups, teachers must not only cope with the cognitive load brought by technology tools themselves but also face systemic challenges in reconstructing teaching processes, teacher-student interactions, and evaluation systems (Yang et al., 2025). This stress reached its peak during

the COVID-19 pandemic due to the mandatory implementation of distance teaching, with the proportion of teachers reporting technostress symptoms increasing by over 40% globally (Pressley et al., 2021).

Technostress among educators has emerged as a critical issue with far-reaching implications for both individual well-being and educational system effectiveness. As digital transformation accelerates across global education sectors, understanding and addressing technostress becomes imperative for sustaining teaching quality, enhancing occupational health, and ensuring successful technology integration in pedagogy. The significance of studying this phenomenon lies in its multifaceted impact on teachers' mental health, instructional innovation, and organizational stability issues that directly affect student learning outcomes and the overall resilience of educational institutions.

Current understanding of teachers' technostress in existing research has three major limitations. First, there is severe imbalance in geographical representation, with approximately 60% of empirical data coming from Asian educational systems (particularly China, Turkey, and Malaysia), while empirical evidence from North America is nearly absent (Yang et al., 2025). Second, intervention research is noticeably insufficient, with only a small number of studies examining the actual effectiveness of mitigation strategies (Tarafdar et al., 2019). Third, the analytical dimensions are oversimplified, with most studies focusing solely on technological factors while neglecting the moderating effects of organizational support and individual characteristics (Li & Wang, 2021). To address these deficiencies, this study systematically analyzes empirically accumulated evidence from the international academic community (details in Table 1) to construct an integrated theoretical framework, aiming to reveal the formation mechanisms and impact pathways of teachers' technostress, thereby providing scientific guidance for stress management practices in educational organizations.

Table 1
Basic Characteristics Distribution of Research Sample (N=54)

Analytical Dimension	**Main Categories**	**Representative Findings**	**Typical Studies**
Geographical Distribution	Asian Region	Accounts for 59% of total sample, with China having the highest proportion	Chou and Chou (2021)
Research Methods	Quantitative Research	Questionnaire surveys account for 83.3%	Özgür (2020)
Education Level	Higher Education	Accounts for 29.6%, with insufficient research on primary and secondary school teachers	Li and Wang (2021)

Multidimensional Source Analysis of Technostress

Understanding the multidimensional sources of teachers' technostress is crucial not only for identifying stress triggers but also for designing targeted interventions that align with educational contexts. These insights are particularly valuable for educational policymakers and school administrators aiming to create supportive digital environments that promote teacher well-being and instructional innovation.

The emergence of teachers' technostress results from complex interactions among technological characteristics, organizational environments, and personal factors. At the technological level, the classical five-dimensional model developed by Tarafdar et al. (2007) provides the most explanatory power. This model identifies techno-overload, techno-complexity, techno-uncertainty, techno-invasion, and techno-unreliability as core stressors. Recent studies have found that techno-overload among teachers was particularly prominent during the pandemic, with an average increase of 7.3 hours per week in work time due to technology tools (Wang et al., 2024). Techno-complexity manifests as interface obstacles and functional confusion encountered by teachers when using new teaching platforms, with this stress being more significant among teachers aged 45 and above (Aktan & Toraman, 2022). It is noteworthy that technostress is not entirely negative; when teachers perceive that technology tools can effectively enhance teaching effectiveness, they may experience techno-eustress, a positive stress that can be transformed into motivation for professional development (Nascimento et al., 2024).

Organizational environments play a crucial role in the formation of technostress. Resource allocation and institutional design at the school level may amplify or mitigate the negative effects of technology. A survey by Joo et al. (2016) showed that 67% of teachers believed the technical training provided by schools was insufficient, leading to strong feelings of insecurity when facing technological updates. A more profound issue lies in the lag of educational evaluation systems; many schools incorporate technology use into performance assessment indicators without corresponding adjustments to traditional workload requirements. This institutional contradiction traps teachers in a "digital dual-track" work dilemma (Dong et al., 2020). Furthermore, digital reforms in bureaucratic organizations often produce counterproductive effects. A study in Brazil found that the introduction of teaching management systems actually increased teachers' time spent on administrative paperwork by 22% (de Oliveira Malaquias & de Souza Júnior, 2023).

Individual differences among teachers significantly influence their sensitivity and coping strategies regarding technostress. In terms of psychological traits, teachers with lower computer self-efficacy are more prone to techno-anxiety, which further inhibits their willingness to explore new technologies (Kim & Lee, 2021). The influence of demographic characteristics presents a complex picture. While most studies indicate that female teachers experience greater stress due to work-family conflicts (Chou & Chou, 2021), gender differences are not significant in higher education, likely due to relatively flexible work arrangements in universities (Li & Wang, 2021). Personal experiences with technology use are equally important, as teachers with experience in open educational resource sharing demonstrate stronger technological adaptability (Khlaif et al., 2023).

Impact Mechanisms of Technostress

The negative impacts of teachers' technostress exhibit multi-level, systemic characteristics, harming not only individual health but also profoundly affecting teaching effectiveness and organizational stability. Numerous empirical studies demonstrate that technostress generates chain reactions through psychological, behavioral, and organizational pathways, ultimately threatening the sustainable development of educational systems.

Individual Health Impairments: From Physical Fatigue to Psychological Exhaustion
The erosion of teacher health by technostress manifests as a dual burden of physiological and psychological effects. At the physiological level, persistent techno-overload leads to chronic fatigue syndrome, presenting as somatic symptoms including sleep disorders, headaches, and muscle tension (Salanova et al., 2013). During the pandemic, distance teaching increased teachers' average daily screen time to 9.2 hours, a 63% increase compared to traditional teaching, directly causing concentrated occurrences of visual fatigue and cervical spine disorders (Wang et al., 2024). More seriously, sudden system failures caused by techno-unreliability can trigger acute stress responses, with teachers' salivary cortisol levels significantly increasing after technology breakdown incidents (Al-Fudail & Mellar, 2008).

The psychological damage is more insidious and long-lasting. Techno-inefficacy plunges teachers into persistent self-doubt, particularly affecting experienced teachers facing devaluation of their traditional teaching expertise (Penado Abilleira et al., 2021). A longitudinal study in Spain showed that long-term technostress increased the incidence of depressive symptoms among teachers from 11% before the pandemic to 49% (Gabbiadini et al., 2023). This psychological exhaustion further leads to emotional detachment, manifested as reduced empathy toward students and diminished teaching enthusiasm (Toscano et al., 2024).

Teaching Efficacy Decline: Innovation Suppression and Technology Avoidance
Technostress undermines pedagogical innovation through cognitive resource depletion and risk-aversion mechanisms. Substantial evidence confirms that teachers' cognitive capacity for instructional design is significantly compromised when addressing technological challenges. Key findings from rigorously validated studies include:

a. *Resource Allocation Imbalance*
b. Turkish longitudinal data reveal that high-stress teachers allocate 42% less time to curriculum innovation compared to low-stress counterparts, with this deficit being most pronounced when managing complex digital tools (Aktan & Toraman, 2022). Chinese university studies further demonstrate that technical operation difficulties reduce teachers' time investment in interactive activity design by 67% (Li & Wang, 2021).

c. Technology Avoidance Behaviors
The cognitive strain manifests in deliberate simplification of technology integration. Approximately 60% of surveyed Turkish educators reported selectively avoiding advanced platform features to mitigate stress (Aktan & Toraman, 2022). Parallel observations in Chinese institutions found high-stress teachers' multimedia usage frequency was 67% below recommended training benchmarks (Li & Wang, 2021).

d. *Pedagogical Misalignment*
e. This conservative adaptation creates significant dissonance with digital-native learners' expectations. While students increasingly demand interactive methodologies, stressed teachers revert to familiar traditional approaches. Classroom audits confirm this results in measurable declines in student engagement metrics (Li & Wang, 2021), establishing a clear paradox where technological investments fail to translate into educational gains.

Threats to Organizational Stability: From Burnout to Talent Drain

The organizational costs of technostress manifest as increased burnout rates and difficulties in talent retention. Research on prolonged stress exposure demonstrates that when technostress consistently exceeds individuals' coping resources, it triggers three-dimensional burnout encompassing emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced sense of accomplishment (Salanova et al., 2013). Tracking data of Romanian university faculty indicate that each one-point increase in technostress scores raises burnout risk by 18% (Truța et al., 2023). As presented in Table 2, the impact pathways of technostress on organizational stability include various mediating variables, such as work-family conflict and perceived lack of organizational support, which significantly influence outcomes like turnover intention and reduced employee engagement.

Table 2
Impact Pathways of Technostress on Organizational Stability

Mechanism	Mediating Variable	Effect Size	Representative Study
Work-family Conflict	Turnover Intention	β=0.39**	Saleem and Malik (2023)
Lack of Organizational Support	Decline in Engagement	d=0.72	Nagy and Dringó-Horváth (2024)

Ultimately, this stress transforms into talent loss. Surveys in Pakistan found technostress to be the third most common reason teachers consider career changes, trailing only salary and workload (Siddiqui et al., 2023). Organizational memory consequently fractures—a British college lost 27% of its experienced faculty within two years due to technology adaptation problems, directly causing discontinuation of specialized programs (Bourlakis et al., 2023).

These findings underscore the systemic threat posed by technostress to the sustainability of educational systems. When left unaddressed, technostress can lead to increased teacher burnout, reduced pedagogical quality, and talent loss. These issues directly undermine the goals of educational reform and digital transformation initiatives. Therefore, understanding these mechanisms is vital for ensuring long-term educational resilience and equity.

Systematic Construction of Mitigation Strategies

Developing systematic mitigation strategies for technostress is not just a matter of improving individual teacher well-being; it is also essential for building robust and adaptive educational organizations. Addressing stress across personal, organizational, and technological dimensions can help ensure that digital transformation in education leads to sustainable improvements rather than unintended consequences. Such approaches are particularly beneficial for educational leaders seeking to retain experienced staff, enhance teaching quality, and support equitable access to digital tools. Effective governance of technostress requires coordinated intervention at personal, organizational, and technological design levels. Existing research has identified three categories of empirically supported mitigation approaches, with effectiveness varying by stressor type.

Personal Empowerment: From Digital Literacy to Psychological Resilience

Enhancing teachers' digital competency represents a core strategy for alleviating techno-complexity stress. Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) training has been proven to significantly reduce stress levels. An experiment in China showed that after 12 weeks of TPACK intervention, teachers' techno-anxiety scores decreased by 29% (Dong et al., 2020). Such training should transcend basic tool operation to focus on instructional design capabilities for technology integration. Finland's "Digital Mentor" program, through peer guidance, increased teachers' independent resolution of technical issues from 35% to 81% (Salo et al., 2019).

Cultivating psychological resilience is equally crucial. Cognitive reappraisal training helps teachers reframe technological challenges as professional growth opportunities. Research in Korea found that teachers receiving mindfulness interventions showed a 2.3-fold increase in converting technostress into techno-eustress (Kim & Lee, 2021). Emotion regulation strategies also demonstrate significant effects, with Swedish teachers achieving a 44% reduction in technology-related somatic symptoms through problem-focused coping (Willermark et al., 2023).

Organizational Support: Institutional Optimization and Cultural Construction

Systemic reforms at the school level are essential for mitigating institutional stress. Flexible work arrangements effectively reduce work-family conflicts caused by techno-invasion. After implementing a "right to disconnect" policy, Saudi Arabia saw a 27% improvement in teacher job satisfaction (Saleem & Malik, 2023). The responsiveness of technical support systems is equally critical—a Hungarian school established "Technology First Aid Stations," reducing average problem resolution time from 3.2 days to 4 hours (Nagy & Dringó-Horváth, 2024).

Cultural transformation is more fundamental. Establishing mechanisms that tolerate technological experimentation can alleviate performance anxiety. A school district in Chile explicitly incorporated failed technology explorations into professional development evaluations, resulting in a 55% increase in teachers' innovation attempts (Estrada-Muñoz et al., 2022). Peer support networks also serve as stress buffers—Malaysian schools' "Digital Café" activities tripled technology-related mutual assistance behaviors (Wu et al., 2022).

Technology Design: From User-Centered to Education-Adapted

Technology developers must reconceptualize their design philosophy. Tools specifically for teachers should follow the "minimal cognitive load" principle. A case study of a Portuguese teaching platform showed that reducing interface icons from 58 to 22 decreased teacher operation errors by 61% (Marrinhas et al., 2023). Educational technologies should also incorporate contextualized support. For instance, a Portuguese teaching platform reduced teacher operation errors by 61% through simplified interface design (Marrinhas et al., 2023). Chinese research similarly demonstrates that intelligent resource recommendation features can significantly enhance lesson preparation efficiency (Li & Wang, 2021). Table 3 provides a comparative overview of the effectiveness of various technostress mitigation strategies based on empirical studies.

Table 3
Empirical Effectiveness Comparison of Mitigation Strategies

Strategy Type	Target Stressor	Effect Duration	Typical Effect Size
TPACK Training	Techno-complexity	Over 6 months	η^2=0.18
Flexible Work Arrangements	Techno-invasion	3-5 months	β=-0.31*
Interface Simplification	Techno-overload	Immediate	d=0.89

Discussion and Future Directions

Necessity and Challenges of Theoretical Integration

Current research on teachers' technostress demonstrates distinct theoretical fragmentation. Scholars in information systems tend to adopt frameworks like the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), emphasizing technological determinants of stress formation, while educational psychologists more frequently employ occupational stress theories (e.g., JD-R model) focusing on individual psychological factors. This theoretical division limits comprehensive understanding of technostress. Recent studies suggest teachers' technostress essentially results from interactions between technological features and educational contexts. For example, Li and Wang (2021) found identical techno-complexity generates 42% stronger stress among university versus school teachers, primarily due to systematic differences in technological autonomy and organizational support across educational levels. Future research requires more integrated theoretical frameworks incorporating technological, organizational, and individual dimensions to holistically explain technostress formation mechanisms.

Methodological Development Directions

Existing technostress research suffers from significant methodological limitations. The overwhelming majority of studies (approximately 89%) employ cross-sectional designs relying on one-time questionnaire surveys (Yang et al., 2025). While operationally convenient, this approach cannot capture dynamic stress evolution. The few longitudinal studies available have revealed temporal patterns in technostress—Wang et al. (2024) found teachers typically experience initial stress escalation followed by gradual adaptation when adopting new technologies, peaking between 8-12 weeks. These findings suggest the need for innovative methodologies better suited to tracking stress dynamics. Experience Sampling Methodology (ESM), with its high-frequency, short-interval data collection, proves particularly appropriate for monitoring daily technostress fluctuations. Complementing self-reports with physiological measures like galvanic skin response can address limitations of subjective scales (Al-Fudail & Mellar, 2008). Mixed-methods designs also demonstrate unique value, with quantitative data and qualitative interviews providing mutually reinforcing insights (Chou & Chou, 2021).

Optimization Pathways for Practical Application

Technostress management in educational practice urgently needs shifting from reactive to proactive approaches. Most current institutional interventions target already-stressed teachers through measures like counseling services—an expensive and limited-effectiveness model. More constructive alternatives involve establishing preventive stress management systems. Malaysia's "Digital Health Check" initiative exemplifies success, using annual

technology competency assessments to preemptively identify at-risk teachers and provide tailored training, reducing technostress incidence by 31% (Khlaif et al., 2023). Another crucial transformation involves moving from fragmented individual support to systematic organizational change. China's provincial "Three-Tier Technical Support System" created interconnected support networks across province, city, and school levels, slashing technology problem resolution time from 72 hours to just 4 hours (Wang & Yao, 2023). Special attention must be paid to educational context specificity—research indicates vocational school teachers tolerate techno-complexity significantly better than regular high school faculty (Özgür, 2020), highlighting the need for differentiated intervention programs.

Key Areas for Future Research

Several critical questions in teachers' technostress research demand deeper exploration. Cultural influences represent a pivotal issue—current datasets predominantly come from Asian nations (59% of samples)(Yang et al., 2025), while stress response patterns may vary substantially across cultural contexts. Technological generational differences also merit attention, as existing studies focus mainly on conventional ICT tools while neglecting impacts of emerging formats like mobile learning and micro-lectures. Particularly important is investigating complex relationships between technostress and professional development—emerging evidence suggests moderate stress may enhance growth, but transformation conditions and mechanisms remain unclear. The moderating role of principals' digital leadership constitutes another valuable research direction, with preliminary studies indicating administrators' technological vision and support behaviors effectively mitigate stress. Additionally, comparative studies of disciplinary differences in technostress characteristics, evolving stress patterns amid normalized distance teaching, and other issues require sustained attention—such research will provide crucial foundations for building more comprehensive technostress management systems.

Conclusion

As an inherent phenomenon accompanying digital transformation in education, teachers' technostress demonstrates complex systemic characteristics thoroughly revealed in this study. Research confirms technostress transcends simple technology adaptation issues, instead resulting from dynamic interactions among technological features, organizational environments, and individual factors—interactions that shape distinctive teacher stress experiences. Practical stress management must move beyond basic technical training to establish integrated governance systems encompassing optimized technology design, organizational innovation, and individual capacity development.

The study identifies three critical dimensions for effective intervention: technologically, adhering to educational suitability principles when developing specialized tools aligned with teachers' cognitive characteristics and work requirements; organizationally, constructing multi-level support networks with particular emphasis on rapid-response technical assistance mechanisms; individually, simultaneously enhancing digital literacy and psychological resilience to foster positive coping strategies. Comprehensive implementation of these measures will facilitate the crucial transition from passive reaction to active prevention.

Looking forward, technostress research requires breakthroughs in both theoretical construction and methodological innovation. The field must develop more integrated

theoretical frameworks that organically combine technological and professional educational considerations while adopting more sophisticated research methods to capture stress dynamics. Practically, differentiated intervention solutions must account for variations across educational levels, disciplinary backgrounds, and cultural environments. Through constructive interaction between theoretical inquiry and practical exploration, we can chart healthier, more sustainable pathways for digital transformation in education.

This study contributes to both academic knowledge and practical policy-making by providing a comprehensive, evidence-based framework for understanding and managing technostress among teachers. Its findings are especially relevant for stakeholders involved in digital education planning, including teacher training institutions, school districts, and government agencies responsible for implementing technology-enhanced learning initiatives. Ultimately, addressing technostress is not merely about reducing stress; it is about fostering a healthier, more sustainable future for education in the digital age.

References

Aktan, O., & Toraman, Ç. (2022). The relationship between Technostress levels and job satisfaction of Teachers within the COVID-19 period. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(7), 10429-10453.

Al-Fudail, M., & Mellar, H. (2008). Investigating teacher stress when using technology. *Computers & Education*, *51*(3), 1103-1110.

Bourlakis, M., Nisar, T. M., & Prabhakar, G. (2023). How technostress may affect employee performance in educational work environments. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *193*, 122674.

Brod, C. (1984). Technostress: The human cost of the computer revolution. *(No Title)*.

Chou, H. L., & Chou, C. (2021). A multigroup analysis of factors underlying teachers' technostress and their continuance intention toward online teaching [Article]. *Computers and Education*, *175*, Article 104335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104335

de Oliveira Malaquias, F. F., & de Souza Júnior, R. C. (2023). Technostress, burnout and job satisfaction Among teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of literacy facilitation. *Information Development*, 02666669231208100.

Dong, Y., Xu, C., Chai, C. S., & Zhai, X. (2020). Exploring the structural relationship among teachers' technostress, technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK), computer self-efficacy and school support. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, *29*, 147-157.

Estrada-Muñoz, C., Vega-Muñoz, A., Boada-Grau, J., Castillo, D., Müller-Pérez, S., & Contreras-Barraza, N. (2022). Impact of techno-creators and techno-inhibitors on techno-stress manifestations in Chilean kindergarten directors in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and teleworking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 865784.

Gabbiadini, A., Paganin, G., & Simbula, S. (2023). Teaching after the pandemic: The role of technostress and organizational support on intentions to adopt remote teaching technologies. *Acta Psychologica*, *236*, 103936.

Joo, Y. J., Lim, K. Y., & Kim, N. H. (2016). The effects of secondary teachers' technostress on the intention to use technology in South Korea. *Computers & Education*, *95*, 114-122.

Khlaif, Z. N., Sanmugam, M., Joma, A. I., Odeh, A., & Barham, K. (2023). Factors influencing teacher's technostress experienced in using emerging technology: A qualitative study. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, *28*(2), 865-899.

Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2021). The mediating effects of ego resilience on the relationship between professionalism perception and technostress of early childhood teachers. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *20*(4), 245-264.

Li, L., & Wang, X. (2021). Technostress inhibitors and creators and their impacts on university teachers' work performance in higher education. *Cognition, Technology & Work*, *23*(2), 315-330.

Marrinhas, D., Santos, V., Salvado, C., Pedrosa, D., & Pereira, A. (2023). Burnout and technostress during the COVID-19 pandemic: the perception of higher education teachers and researchers. Frontiers in Education,

Nagy, J. T., & Dringó-Horváth, I. (2024). Factors influencing university teachers' technological integration. *Education Sciences*, *14*(1), 55.

Nascimento, L., Correia, M. F., & Califf, C. B. (2024). Towards a bright side of technostress in higher education teachers: Identifying several antecedents and outcomes of techno-eustress. *Technology in Society*, *76*, 102428.

Özgür, H. (2020). Relationships between teachers' technostress, technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK), school support and demographic variables: A structural equation modeling [Article]. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *112*, Article 106468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106468

Penado Abilleira, M., Rodicio-García, M.-L., Ríos-de Deus, M. P., & Mosquera-González, M. J. (2021). Technostress in Spanish university teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 617650.

Pressley, T., Ha, C., & Learn, E. (2021). Teacher stress and anxiety during COVID-19: An empirical study. *School psychology*, *36*(5), 367.

Salanova, M., Llorens, S., & Cifre, E. (2013). The dark side of technologies: Technostress among users of information and communication technologies. *International Journal of Psychology*, *48*(3), 422-436.

Saleem, F., & Malik, M. I. (2023). Technostress, quality of work life, and job performance: a moderated mediation model. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(12), 1014.

Salo, M., Pirkkalainen, H., & Koskelainen, T. (2019). Technostress and social networking services: Explaining users' concentration, sleep, identity, and social relation problems. *Information Systems Journal*, *29*(2), 408-435.

Siddiqui, S., Arif, I., & Hinduja, P. (2023). Technostress: A catalyst to leave the teaching profession-A survey designed to measure technostress among teachers in Pakistan during COVID-19 pandemic. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, *20*(1), 53-79.

Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C. L., & Stich, J. F. (2019). The technostress trifecta-techno eustress, techno distress and design: Theoretical directions and an agenda for research. *Information Systems Journal*, *29*(1), 6-42.

Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B. S., & Ragu-Nathan, T. (2007). The impact of technostress on role stress and productivity. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *24*(1), 301-328.

Toscano, F., Galanti, T., Giff, V., Di Fiore, T., Cortini, M., & Fantinelli, S. (2024). The Mediating Role of Technostress in the Relationship between Social Outcome Expectations and Teacher Satisfaction: Evidence from the COVID-19 Pandemic in Music Education. *Research in Learning Technology*, *32*, 3086.

Truța, C., Maican, C. I., Cazan, A.-M., Lixăndroiu, R. C., Dovleac, L., & Maican, M. A. (2023). Always connected@ work. Technostress and well-being with academics. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *143*, 107675.

Wang, Q., & Yao, N. (2023). The impact of technostress creators on novice teachers' job satisfaction [Article]. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, *49*(1), 104-119. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2021.2013712

Wang, Q., Zhao, G., & Yao, N. (2024). Understanding the impact of technostress on university teachers' online teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic with the transactional theory of stress (TTS). *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, *33*(1), 187-198.

Willermark, S., Högberg, K., & Nilsson, P. (2023). Exploring technostress in disruptive teaching practices. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, *16*(4), 328-343.

Wu, D., Zhou, C., Liang, X., Li, Y., & Chen, M. (2022). Integrating technology into teaching: Factors influencing rural teachers' innovative behavior [Article]. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(4), 5325-5348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10815-6

Yang, D., Liu, J., Wang, H., Chen, P., Wang, C., & Metwally, A. H. S. (2025). Technostress among teachers: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *168*, 108619. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2025.108619