

Ethics at Work: How Malaysia and Singapore are Shaping the Future of Workforce Training through Human Capital Development

Nur Atiqah Binti Ismail

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Email: nuratiqa@graduate.utm.my

DOI Link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i4/26678>

Published Online: 06 October 2025

Abstract

This study examines how ethical training within workforce development programs in Malaysia and Singapore shapes organizational conduct and yields economic returns. It addresses gaps in human capital theory by exploring how ethical competencies translate into organizational integrity and measurable outcomes. Using a mixed-method comparative case study design, it analyses policy documents, government data, and organizational reports. Findings reveal that Singapore's digital ethics integration correlates with 6–11% wage premiums, while Malaysia's sustainability-focused programs have seen 30% enrolment growth. The results suggest both countries align ethics with economic development, though with different strengths. The study contributes to human capital literature and offers policy recommendations for ethics-integrated training systems.

Keywords: Ethical Training, Workforce Development Programmes, Human Capital Theory, Organizational Conduct, Economic Returns

Introduction

The relationship between ethical workforce training and economic development has become increasingly significant within contemporary human capital theory, especially in Southeast Asia, where countries strive to balance rapid growth with ethical and sustainable practices. In an era marked by globalization, technological disruption, and heightened corporate social responsibility, traditional workforce development models are being reevaluated to integrate ethical considerations that transcend technical skills alone.

Malaysia and Singapore provide compelling comparative case studies for exploring how divergent strategies in ethical workforce training influence organizational behaviour and economic performance. Both nations have prioritized human capital development, yet their approaches—shaped by differing governance structures and policy orientations—offer unique insights into how ethical dimensions are incorporated into workforce training. Malaysia's programs often emphasize broad-based inclusion and national identity formation, while Singapore's focus lies in aligning training with global competitiveness and institutional rigor.

This study seeks to address a critical gap in human capital theory: the role of ethical training in contributing not just to individual employability, but also to organizational and economic performance. Traditional models largely emphasize the economic returns of education and technical skill acquisition, yet the integration of ethical components remains underexplored. To that end, this study investigates three core questions. First, how do training investments in Malaysia and Singapore promote ethical conduct within organizations? Second, what are the measurable economic outcomes associated with integrating ethics into workforce training programs? Third, to what extent do ethical training initiatives align with broader economic goals, and where do tensions arise between ethical imperatives and growth objectives?

The relevance of this study is emphasised by growing recognition that sustainable development necessitates not only technical competency, but also ethical leadership and institutional integrity. Recent global challenges—ranging from corporate misconduct to environmental degradation—have revealed the economic consequences of ethical lapses and the value of proactive ethics-based training.

By adopting a comparative framework, this study provides a nuanced analysis of ethical workforce development in two interrelated but ideologically distinct national contexts. The proximity, shared colonial histories, and economic interdependence of Malaysia and Singapore render them ideal for understanding how ethical training can be tailored to differing institutional environments. Ultimately, insights drawn from this study may inform broader policy strategies in other emerging economies aiming to align workforce development with ethical and sustainable economic growth.

Literature Review

Ethics in workforce training has emerged as a critical dimension of human capital development in both developing and advanced economies. Malaysia and Singapore have progressively aligned their national skills strategies with ethical values to address the complexities of digital transformation, sustainability, and governance. While traditional models focus primarily on technical competencies, the infusion of ethics reflects a shift toward values-driven development (Becker, 1993; Yusoff et al., 2021; Chong & Mah, 2019).

In Malaysia, national blueprints such as the TVET Transformation Strategy 4.0 and the Eleventh Malaysia Plan emphasize integrity, civic responsibility, and sustainability as core values in workforce development (MOHE, 2022). Ethics is framed not as a separate curriculum but as an integral component of skill development across sectors. In Singapore, the SkillsFuture initiative embeds digital ethics, AI governance, and social responsibility into training modules. These strategies signify a policy-level commitment to embedding ethics as part of future-ready competencies (MTI Singapore, 2023).

Despite these advancements, existing literature often remains policy-oriented, with limited exploration of how ethics policies are translated into actionable mechanisms within organizations. Studies such as those by Ahmad et al. (2018) and Chong and Mah (2019) map national frameworks but rarely assess actual organizational practices. Furthermore, while the OECD's Skills Strategy (2020) underscores ethics in digital upskilling, empirical analysis of its

effectiveness remains scarce. Consequently, there is a need to understand the bridge between policy formulation and organizational enforcement.

Table 1 presents a summary of key themes in the literature and identifies the conceptual gaps that this study seeks to address.

Table 1

Summary of Literature Themes and Gaps in Ethics-Based Workforce Development

Theme		Key Contributions	Identified Gaps
National Integration	Ethics	Yusoff et al. (2021); MOHE (2022)	Lack of studies evaluating implementation outcomes
Human Capital Theory		Becker (1993); OECD (2020)	Understates the role of ethics in skill productivity
Comparative Models	Education	Ahmad et al. (2018); Chong & Mah (2019)	Minimal analysis of ethics in cross-national design
Organizational Practices	Ethics	Yamin et al. (2022); Rahman (2021)	Few link training inputs to performance indicators

National Ethics Integration in Workforce Development

Ethics integration in workforce development frameworks reflects broader national goals and institutional ideologies. In Malaysia, national documents such as the Eleventh Malaysia Plan and the TVET Transformation Strategy 4.0 embed ethics alongside sustainability, integrity, and civic education (MOHE, 2022). These initiatives reflect a developmentalist approach where ethics promotes social equity, inclusion, and environmental accountability. In contrast, Singapore's SkillsFuture and Smart Nation initiatives emphasize ethics in the context of digital governance, AI responsibility, and high-performance culture (Ministry of Trade and Industry, 2023; OECD, 2020).

These distinct national orientations demonstrate a policy-led commitment to ethics mainstreaming in human capital strategies (Chong & Mah, 2019; Yusoff et al., 2021). However, most studies remain policy-descriptive, lacking empirical assessment of how ethical principles are operationalized at the institutional level. For instance, while Singapore emphasizes ethical compliance and technological governance, there is limited evidence of how this translates into measurable behavioral norms or decision-making frameworks at the workplace. Similarly, Malaysia's inclusive ethics vision in TVET is underexplored in terms of its impact on workplace conduct and employee development (Yamin et al., 2022). This reveals a gap in understanding the functional translation of ethics policy into institutional outcomes.

Organizational Enforcement and Measurable Outcomes

Organizational enforcement serves as the bridge between national ethics policies and actual workforce behavior. Institutions play a critical role in determining whether ethics remains rhetorical or becomes embedded in daily practice. In Singapore, Singtel mandates annual digital ethics training via e-learning, which is audited as part of corporate compliance reporting (MTI, 2023). In Malaysia, Maybank integrates ethical behavior into staff evaluations and leadership development through the T.I.G.E.R. values framework, directly tying ethics to professional advancement (Yamin et al., 2022).

These internal mechanisms show how organizations can act as ethical translation agents, adapting national frameworks into enforceable internal policies. However, literature lacks depth in evaluating the effectiveness of such enforcement. Questions remain regarding whether ethics training contributes to improved compliance, productivity, or employee engagement. Only a few studies link ethics programs to measurable outcomes such as wage premiums, promotion equity, or organizational trust (Rahman, 2021; Chong & Mah, 2019). Addressing this gap, the current study investigates not only how ethics are delivered in training, but how they manifest as tangible outcomes in organizational and economic contexts.



Figure 1. Conceptual Framework Linking Ethics Integration to Economic and Organizational Outcomes

This framework illustrates the multi-level alignment between national ethics policy, institutional training systems, organizational implementation, and national development outcomes. The square symbolizes the structured processes that occur within education and corporate systems, while the encompassing circle represents the broader developmental vision of the state — including economic competitiveness, sustainability, and national integrity.

Key dimensions include:

- **National policy mandates (e.g., TVET 4.0 in Malaysia and SkillsFuture in Singapore)** set the strategic direction by embedding ethical values within human capital agendas. These frameworks emphasize sustainability, governance, civic responsibility, and digital ethics as critical workforce competencies (MOHE, 2022; MTI, 2023).
- **Training providers act as transmission agents**, embedding these ethical competencies into curricula via modular integration and scenario-based learning, rather than through standalone ethics courses. For instance, ethics is woven into digital governance modules and sustainability-focused TVET programs.
- **Organizations play a gatekeeping role**, operationalizing ethics through internal policies such as Maybank's T.I.G.E.R. values and Singtel's e-learning compliance systems. These mechanisms include KPIs, performance assessments, and ethics-linked leadership development, which enforce ethical conduct at the micro level.
- **National-level outcomes emerge through this alignment**, including improved organizational trust, measurable productivity gains, increased program participation (e.g., 30% enrollment growth in Malaysia), and wage premiums (6–11%) associated with ethics-linked training in Singapore.

This layered interaction suggests that effective ethics integration depends on coherence across systems — where state intent is translated by educational institutions and enforced through corporate practices. When this synergy is achieved, ethics moves beyond rhetoric to produce tangible developmental and economic results.

Methods

This study adopts a mixed-method comparative case study design to explore how ethical training is integrated into workforce development programs in Malaysia and Singapore. Combining quantitative and qualitative methods, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how investments in ethical training influence both economic outcomes and organizational performance (Zhai, Huang, & Tian, 2025). The quantitative component evaluates measurable economic impacts using statistical and programmatic data, such as wage premiums and productivity indicators (Ministry of Trade and Industry Singapore, 2024), while the qualitative component examines policy structures, program designs, and implementation outcomes (EY, 2024). Together, these methods facilitate a holistic analysis of the relationship between ethical workforce training and broader developmental objectives.

A comparative case study approach is especially suitable for inquiry, as it allows for the analysis of similar processes across two distinct yet contextually related national settings. Malaysia and Singapore share a regional and historical context, including geographic proximity and post-colonial development trajectories, making them ideal for comparative research (Chinedu, Saleem, & Wan Muda, 2023). Despite their similarities, their approaches to ethical workforce training differ in philosophy, institutional design, and implementation, offering a unique opportunity to analyze the effectiveness of divergent models within a controlled regional framework (Kim, Lee, & Tan, 2021).

The quantitative analysis draws on various data sources to establish the economic implications of ethical training. Government statistics from Malaysia's Department of

Statistics and Singapore's Ministry of Trade and Industry provide key indicators such as wage levels and sectoral performance, while program evaluation reports such as those from SkillsFuture and Malaysia's TVET initiatives contribute insights into participant outcomes and sectoral impact (Ministry of Trade and Industry Singapore, 2024; Chinedu et al., 2023). Empirical studies and organizational-level metrics, such as compliance rates and integrity incidents, offer further data on the economic value of ethics-integrated training (EY, 2024).

Qualitative data is derived from the analysis of policy documents, program descriptions, and organizational case studies. This includes an in-depth review of national workforce strategies, implementation plans, and training content with ethical components (Fung, Lim, & Wong, 2021). Organizational case studies such as Maybank's T.I.G.E.R. Values and Singtel's compliance modules illustrate how training translates into workplace ethics (EY, 2024; Kim et al., 2021).

A structured comparative framework guides the analysis, focusing on four dimensions: policy frameworks, program design, economic impact, and implementation effectiveness. This approach facilitates a systematic comparison of how Malaysia and Singapore integrate ethics into workforce development, revealing both best practices and challenges in translating national ethics policies into organizational performance outcomes (Zhai et al., 2025).

Data Analysis

This study employs a combination of quantitative and qualitative data analysis methods to assess the outcomes of ethical workforce training programs in Malaysia and Singapore. Quantitative analysis involves the use of descriptive statistics to characterize program outcomes and comparative techniques to identify cross-country differences, following established approaches in applied policy research (Bryman, 2021; Creswell & Creswell, 2023). Wage premium analysis is conducted to compare earnings of program participants against control groups, using data from the Singapore Ministry of Trade and Industry and comparable Malaysian sources, consistent with methods outlined by Wooldridge (2021) in evaluating causal effects in observational studies. Trend analysis examines enrollment and participation patterns from 2021 to 2024 to identify areas of growth or concern, applying longitudinal data techniques as described by Menard (2020). Where feasible, cost-benefit analysis is used to evaluate return on investment, adopting frameworks from Boardman et al. (2018) for economic evaluation in public sector programs.

Qualitative analysis relies on thematic and content analysis to extract insights from policy documents, program materials, and case studies, grounded in approaches from Braun and Clarke (2022) and Guest, Namey, and Mitchell (2023). This includes identifying how ethical components are defined, structured, and implemented across programs. Thematic analysis uncovers shared approaches and challenges across cases (Nowell et al., 2017), while gap analysis highlights inconsistencies between policy intentions and practical outcomes, as structured by Ritchie and Spencer's (2021) framework for applied qualitative policy research.

Several methodological limitations affect this study. These include inconsistent data availability across countries, challenges in attributing specific outcomes to ethical training alone, and limited long-term data, as frequently noted in cross-national program evaluations (Flick, 2023; Bamberger, Vaessen, & Raimondo, 2016). Cultural and institutional differences

also influence the comparability of findings (Silverman, 2021), and ethical impacts are difficult to quantify given their latent nature (Maxwell, 2023). Ethical guidelines were followed throughout, using only publicly available data and published sources, with all materials properly cited, adhering to standards set by the American Educational Research Association (AERA, 2019).

Results

The results provide measurable outcomes related to the integration of ethics into workforce training programs in Malaysia and Singapore. Data was drawn from national policy documents, training program reports, and institutional performance outcomes. These findings are categorized under participation indicators, wage/economic impacts, and organizational adoption metrics.

Table 2

Comparative Indicators of Ethics-Integrated Workforce Training

Country	Initiative	Ethics Integration Focus	Measurable Outcome
Malaysia	TVET Blueprint + AIM-ICT Training	Sustainability, integrity, inclusion	30% increase in training enrollment (TVET); 20% improvement in AIM-supported business performance [(MOHE, 2022); (Yusoff et al., 2021)]
Singapore	SkillsFuture Work-Study Programme	Digital governance, AI ethics	6–11% wage premium in roles requiring digital ethics competency [(MTI, 2023); (OECD, 2020)]

Participation Outcomes

In Malaysia, implementation of the TVET Transformation Strategy 4.0 has led to a 30% increase in program enrolment, particularly in courses aligned with sustainability and ethics-in-action models (MOHE, 2022). Additionally, participants in the Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia (AIM) ICT-based microfinance training showed an average 20% improvement in business performance, indicating a strong correlation between ethics-infused training and applied entrepreneurial skills (Yusoff et al., 2021).

Economic Outcomes

Singapore's SkillsFuture Work-Study Programme, which integrates ethical competencies into digital governance and AI training, is associated with a 6–11% wage premium in roles emphasizing data ethics and governance compliance (Ministry of Trade and Industry, 2023; OECD, 2020). These findings suggest that ethics training not only supports regulatory alignment but also enhances labor market competitiveness.

Organizational Integration

Ethics policies are enforced at the organizational level by institutions such as Singtel, which delivers annual e-learning compliance modules tied to national guidelines, and Maybank, which links ethics to its leadership appraisal system via the T.I.G.E.R. values framework (Yamin et al., 2022; Rahman, 2021). These institutional practices show how ethics strategies transition from policy intent into measurable, organization-specific enforcement mechanisms.

Discussions

This section presents and analyzes findings from the comparative study of ethics integration in workforce development systems in Malaysia and Singapore. The discussion is organized under three analytical themes: (1) Policy-Driven Ethical Integration, (2) Organizational Adaptation of Ethics, and (3) Economic Indicators of Ethics-Based Training. Together, these themes illustrate how ethical values are embedded in national training systems, operationalized by institutions, and reflected in performance outcomes.

Policy-Driven Ethical Integration

Both countries exhibit a top-down commitment to embedding ethics in national workforce strategies. In Malaysia, the National TVET Blueprint integrates ethics through sustainability literacy, civic values, and inclusion objectives (MOHE, 2022). Singapore, meanwhile, adopts a compliance-oriented model through SkillsFuture, emphasizing digital ethics, AI governance, and data privacy aligned with technological innovation and global standards (MTI, 2023).

Malaysia: Sustainability-Led Ethics in TVET

Malaysia's integration of sustainability literacy within TVET exemplifies how ethical reasoning is embedded in technical training. From 2020–2023, TVET programs that emphasized sustainability recorded a 30% increase in enrollment, reflecting rising learner and employer interest in sustainable business practices (MOHE, 2022). These programs cultivate graduates who understand ethical dimensions of environmental impact and social responsibility, supporting more ethically aware decision-making across organizational contexts.

To reach underserved populations, Malaysia deploys mobile training units and rural outreach—extending ethics training to broader demographics. However, decentralized delivery poses challenges in quality control and post-training support.

Singapore: Digital Ethics via SkillsFuture

Singapore embeds ethics in digital skills training, reflecting its Smart Nation agenda. The SkillsFuture Work-Study Program includes modules on AI fairness, cybersecurity, and data ethics. Strategic partnerships with industry ensure relevance and adaptability. Graduates enjoy significant wage premiums—9% for WSPostDip and 11% for WSCert participants—indicating strong market validation for ethical competencies (MTI, 2023).

Comparative View

Malaysia promotes broad-based sustainability literacy, while Singapore targets digital ethics in high-skill sectors. Both approaches emphasize integration—not isolation—of ethics within technical content. Continuous learning is prioritized in both contexts. However, scaling efforts remain uneven: Malaysia struggles with quality consistency; Singapore with equitable access across demographics (Kim et al., 2021).

Economic Indicators of Ethics-Based Training

Ethics-integrated training programs yield tangible economic gains, though their mechanisms vary. Malaysia's AIM program demonstrates a 20% business performance improvement among female microentrepreneurs, while TVET ethics programs saw a 30% enrollment boost (Yusoff et al., 2021). Singapore's graduates receive sustained wage premiums, underscoring the economic value of ethically competent professionals (OECD, 2020; MTI, 2023).

Singapore: Sustained Economic Returns

A Ministry of Trade and Industry study confirms the long-term value of SkillsFuture ethics training. WSPostDip graduates earned 9% more one to two years post-graduation, and 6% thereafter. WSCert graduates retained their 11% premium long-term. The analysis used a matched cohort comparison to validate causal impact.

Malaysia: Sectoral Transformation and Human Capital Investment

Malaysia's ethical TVET programs catalyze transformation in sustainability-related sectors. The AIM-ICT initiative resulted in a 20% rise in small business performance among women in underserved regions. Though more targeted than Singapore's broad-based approach, Malaysia's strategy supports social inclusion and long-term sustainability goals.

Organizational-Level Impacts

Organizations benefit from ethics training through enhanced compliance, brand trust, and efficiency. According to the EY Global Integrity Report 2024, 49% of organizations improved compliance, while 20% experienced major integrity failures over two years, incurring legal and reputational costs. These findings highlight ethics as risk mitigation and performance enhancement.

Broader Economic and Social Returns

Ethics-integrated training contributes to ESG alignment, investor confidence, and national resilience. In Singapore, digital ethics underpins trust in its financial ecosystem. In Malaysia, rural-focused ethics education promotes SDG-aligned inclusive growth. These macro-level gains illustrate how ethics integration serves both economic competitiveness and social cohesion.

Organizational Adaptation of Ethics

Despite strong institutional alignment, tensions persist between ethical commitments and economic imperatives. In Singapore, the emphasis on digital ethics in high-tech sectors has produced clear wage gains but may inadvertently marginalize workers lacking digital access or skills—highlighting a conflict between elite-driven innovation and inclusive ethics (Sen, 1999). In Malaysia, while rural TVET initiatives promote ethical literacy and equity, inconsistent delivery undermines scalability, raising concerns over the trade-off between ethical depth and economic breadth (Rawls, 1999). These cases reveal that ethical workforce strategies, while promising, must reconcile the dual demands of efficiency and fairness—necessitating adaptive policy mechanisms that manage rather than erase these contradictions.

Ethical principles transition from policy into practice through institutional mechanisms. In Singapore, Singtel mandates annual Ethics and Compliance eLearning aligned with PDPA and IMDA standards. In Malaysia, Maybank's T.I.G.E.R. values link ethical performance to managerial KPIs, monitored via scenario-based training and audits.

Strategic and Developmental Alignment

Singapore aligns ethical training with high-skill, tech-sector growth. This synergy between skills and ethics is economically validated through wage premiums and global competitiveness. However, there is concern over inclusivity, as low-income groups face

access barriers (Kim et al., 2021). Malaysia aligns ethics with development goals. Its sustainability-focused training supports national ESG targets and equitable workforce expansion, despite scalability challenges and cost constraints.

Organizational Incentives and Cultural Barriers

Global studies indicate gaps between ethical training and behavior. EY (2024) found 38% of employees globally were willing to act unethically for career gain, with 67% of board members endorsing such behavior. These statistics reveal that ethics training must be reinforced by leadership modeling, performance-linked incentives, and measurable behavioral outcomes.

Systemic Alignment and Future Opportunities

Successful integration requires policy coherence and institutional synergy. Singapore's whole-of-government digital strategy exemplifies this, while Malaysia's cross-policy sustainability goals offer a model for inclusive ethics-based development. Future improvements must focus on evaluative frameworks to capture ethics' long-term ROI and cross-sector coordination to scale impact.

This comparative analysis confirms that both Malaysia and Singapore embed ethics deeply into their workforce development systems, though through different models. Singapore leverages high-skill, compliance-focused training; Malaysia emphasizes broad-based sustainability and inclusion. Organizational adoption mechanisms and economic outcomes reflect these strategic priorities. Together, these findings support the conclusion that ethics-based workforce development enhances not only governance but also economic performance—if supported by coherent policy, continuous learning, and incentive-aligned institutions.

Conclusion

This study revealed that Malaysia and Singapore embed ethics in workforce development through distinct yet complementary approaches. Malaysia emphasizes sustainability ethics within TVET, yielding a 30% rise in enrolment and a 20% performance boost in microfinance training (MOHE, 2022; Yusoff et al., 2021). Singapore integrates digital ethics into SkillsFuture, with participants earning sustained wage premiums of 6–11%, validating industry demand for ethically competent workers (MTI, 2023; OECD, 2020). Both countries embed ethics within technical content, not as standalone subjects, and emphasize continuous learning.

At the organizational level, Maybank operationalizes ethics via KPI-linked leadership frameworks, while Singtel enforces annual digital ethics training aligned with national regulations (Yamin et al., 2022; MTI, 2023). However, misalignment persists: in Singapore, tech-focused ethics may exclude low-income participants (Kim et al., 2021); in Malaysia, decentralized delivery challenges consistency and scalability.

Ethics-linked training also yields measurable organizational benefits. Firms report reduced integrity failures and enhanced compliance post-training (EY, 2024). Nationally, ethics integration strengthens economic performance and social trust—aligning with ESG priorities and global competitiveness goals.

These findings suggest that ethics integration enhances both workforce readiness and institutional resilience—if backed by coherent policies, organizational incentives, and long-term investment in ethical capacity-building.

Limitations and Future Studies (Times New Roman, bold, 12)

While this study demonstrates the value of ethics-integrated workforce development, it is limited by reliance on secondary data and a narrow geographic scope restricted to Malaysia and Singapore. The absence of longitudinal tracking restricts analysis of long-term effects, and challenges persist in disaggregating ethics-specific impacts from broader training outcomes (MOHE, 2022; MTI, 2023). Moreover, variations in program implementation across regions raise concerns over scalability and consistency, particularly in Malaysia's decentralized delivery models.

Future research should prioritize the development of standardized indicators to evaluate ethical training outcomes and their alignment with economic goals. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the durability of wage premiums and organizational integrity outcomes over time (OECD, 2020; EY, 2024). Comparative research involving other Southeast Asian or Global South economies can uncover context-specific best practices and identify transferable policy models. In addition, exploring how ethical training intersects with social cohesion, trust, and ESG frameworks would deepen understanding of its societal value.

Expanding research on curriculum design, delivery modalities, and institutional partnerships will support evidence-based policymaking and program refinement. As ethics gains prominence in workforce strategies, such insights will be critical for achieving balanced development that is both economically competitive and socially inclusive.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express our sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Zainudin bin Hassan, for his valuable guidance and support throughout the research process. His expertise and insights were invaluable in shaping our research and helping us to overcome challenges.

References

- Ali, K. K., Ali, M. N. M., Panatik, S. A., & Rahman, A. R. A. (2018). Framework and development of Malaysian business ethics module. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 56, 04004. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20185604004>
- Azmi, T., & Salleh, D. (2021). A review on TVET curriculum practices in Malaysia. *International Journal of Education Psychology and Counseling*, 6(40), 35–43. <https://doi.org/10.35631/ijepc.640003>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- EY. (2024). *EY Global Integrity Report 2024*. https://assets.ey.com/content/dam/ey-sites/ey-com/en_gl/topics/assurance/ey-global-integrity-report-2024.pdf
- Hamid, H. A., Piahat, M. T., Haris, N. A. L. A., & Hassan, M. F. (2023). Shades of gray TVET in Malaysia: Issues and challenges. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 13(6), 1186–1198. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v13-i6/16747>
- Ismail, A. (2019). The development of job competency for skilled technical worker towards green technology. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 17(59), 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.21660/2019.59.ICEE15>
- Kim, H. M., Goode, K., & Deng, M. (2021). Examining Singapore's AI progress. <https://doi.org/10.51593/2021ca014>
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>
- Rawls, J. (1999). *A theory of justice* (Rev. ed.). Harvard University Press.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- SkillsFuture Singapore. (2023). *Skills demand for the future economy*. <https://www.skillsfuture.gov.sg/docs/default-source/skills-report-2023/sdfe-2023.pdf>
- Zamawe, F. C. (2015). The use of NVivo software in qualitative data analysis: Advantages and challenges. *Malawi Medical Journal*, 27(1), 13–15. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/mmj/article/view/110999>