INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION & DEVELOPMENT

OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL

Vol 13, Issue 1, (2024) E-ISSN: 2226-6348

Innovating Education: A Comprehensive Review of STEM Education Approaches

Omar Faruqi Marzuki[1,2], Ellie Teo Yi Lih[1,2], Wan Nor Zanariah Zainol @ Abdullah[1], Nozieana Khairuddin[1], Nor Hanifawati Inai[1], Juniza Binti Md Saad[1], Mohd Hamim Abdul Aziz[1]

[1]Department of Science and Technology, Faculty of Humanities, Management and Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia, [2]Institut EkoSains Borneo, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Jalan Nyabau, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia

Email: omar_faruqi@upm.edu.my

To Link this Article: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i1/20490 DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i1/20490

Published Online: 13 January 2024

Abstract

This comprehensive paper delves into the evolving realm of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education, a critical component in the modern educational landscape. The review highlights how STEM education has transcended the mere combination of four subjects into an integrated, interdisciplinary approach essential for developing key skills like critical thinking and problem-solving. The paper highlights the necessity of STEM in preparing students for the complexities of the 21st century, driven by a technology-oriented global economy and the demand for innovative and analytical skills. The review explores the challenges faced in STEM education, such as access and equity issues, the need for specialized educator training, and student engagement. The impact of STEM on student performance, skill development, and workforce preparedness is analyzed, highlighting how it contributes to individual career prospects and broader economic and societal advancement. The review presents case studies of successful STEM programs across different education levels and compares international STEM education models, providing insights into effective strategies and diverse educational philosophies. Lastly, the paper identifies emerging trends in STEM education, including the integration of AI and machine learning, a focus on sustainability, and the incorporation of arts (STEAM). It concludes with recommendations for policy and practice, advocating for increased accessibility, interdisciplinary learning, and continuous professional development for educators to ensure STEM education remains relevant and effective.

Keywords: STEM Education, Interdisciplinary Learning, Curriculum Development, Technology in Education, Educational Strategies

Introduction

The landscape of education is continually evolving, adapting to the changing needs of society and the advancements in technology and knowledge. In recent decades, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education has emerged as a pivotal element in this transformation (Han et al., 2021). The importance of STEM education in the global context is

paramount due to technological advancements and the increasing complexity of global challenges (Grassini, 2023). In a world where technology is rapidly evolving, STEM education equips individuals with necessary skills to adapt and innovate. It's not just about individual career success; STEM education is crucial for societal progress (Erasmus, 2020; Idris, et al., 2023). By fostering analytical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities, STEM education prepares learners to address complex issues like climate change, healthcare advancements, and technological innovation. For educators and policymakers, this study offers valuable insights into effective STEM teaching practices and policy recommendations. It helps in shaping curriculum and educational policies that are aligned with current technological and societal needs (Cheng, 2022; Idris & Bacotang, 2023). Whereas for students, the study highlights the essential skills and knowledge required to thrive in a modern, technology-oriented world. Additionally, the broader community benefits from a workforce that is well-equipped to drive innovation and economic growth (Podobnik et al., 2020). The study's findings emphasize the practical application of STEM education in real-world settings. It discusses how various teaching methodologies, like project-based learning and inquiry-based learning, can significantly enhance student engagement and learning outcomes. The study also delves into strategies for making STEM education more accessible and inclusive, ensuring that learners from diverse backgrounds can benefit from it. STEM education plays a critical role in economic growth and innovation. By equipping students with skills in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, STEM education fosters a workforce capable of driving technological advancements. This, in turn, contributes to economic development. Additionally, STEM education is pivotal in addressing global challenges such as environmental sustainability, healthcare improvements, and energy efficiency, thereby having a substantial impact on societal well-being and progress (Dare et al., 2021; Khadri, 2022). This paper delves into the multifaceted world of STEM education, exploring its origins, current implementations, challenges, impacts, and future prospects.

Background of STEM Education

STEM education, encompassing the disciplines of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (Zhan & Niu, 2023), has its roots in the post-Sputnik era, when the United States first recognized the need for improved scientific education to maintain its global competitiveness (Nariman, 2021). Over the years, STEM education has evolved beyond the mere amalgamation of four subjects. It represents an interdisciplinary approach aimed at integrating these disciplines into a cohesive learning paradigm based on real-world applications (Felder, 2021; Waters & Orange, 2022). This educational philosophy is built on the premise that these four disciplines are interwoven into the fabric of everyday life and are critical for the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

The Significance of STEM in Modern Education

In today's fast-paced, technology-driven world, STEM education is more than just an academic requirement; it is a necessity to prepare students for the complex challenges of the 21st century (Lavi et al., 2021). The emphasis on STEM fields stems from the growing demand for professionals equipped with scientific knowledge and technical skills in an increasingly technology-oriented global economy (Akcan et al., 2023). Moreover, STEM education fosters innovative thinking, creativity, and analytical skills, which are essential for success in any field (Black et al., 2021). The impact of STEM extends beyond individual career prospects; it is

instrumental in driving technological advancements, economic growth, and societal progress (Erasmus, 2020; Foi & Kean, 2022; Idris et al., 2023).

Objectives of the Review

The primary objectives of this review are to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of STEM education, identify best practices and innovative approaches, and highlight the challenges and opportunities it presents. Through a detailed analysis of existing literature, case studies, and empirical research, this paper aims to

a) Evaluate the evolution and current trends in STEM education.
b) Examine the effectiveness of different STEM education methodologies and their impact on student learning.
c) Identify the barriers to effective STEM education and propose solutions to overcome these challenges.
d) Discuss the role of STEM education in preparing students for future careers and its impact on the broader socio-economic landscape.
e) Offer recommendations for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders on optimizing STEM education to meet the needs of a rapidly changing world.

In doing so, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding STEM education and provide valuable insights for its continued development and implementation.

Historical Context

Understanding the evolution and current state of STEM education requires a look back at its historical development. This historical perspective sheds light on how STEM education has adapted to changing societal needs and technological advancements, shaping its current form and practices (Jackson et al., 2020; Zhan et al., 2022).

The Evolution of STEM Education

STEM education's roots can be traced back to the post-World War II era, particularly in the United States, where there was a growing concern about maintaining scientific and technological superiority during the Cold War period. The launch of Sputnik by the Soviet Union in 1957 marked a pivotal moment, leading to a surge in the emphasis on science and technology education in the U.S. This period saw the introduction of significant federal funding for science education, underlining the strategic importance of STEM fields (Nariman, 2021).

The late 20th century witnessed a shift from traditional siloed teaching of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics as separate subjects towards a more integrated approach. This change was driven by the realization that real-world problems are interdisciplinary and require a holistic understanding of all four STEM components. The acronym "STEM" was coined in the early 2000s, symbolizing this integrated approach to teaching and learning these disciplines (Ḥafṣa et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020).

Key Milestones and Policy Influences

Several key milestones and policy decisions have significantly influenced the trajectory of STEM education. Notable among these are:

- National Defense Education Act (1958): In response to Sputnik, this U.S. legislation significantly increased funding for American science and engineering education, laying the groundwork for modern STEM education (Gibson, 2020).
- A Nation at Risk (1983): This landmark report by the U.S. National Commission on Excellence in Education highlighted a perceived decline in American educational standards, including in STEM fields, catalyzing a national dialogue on education reform (Sharma, 2016).
- No Child Left Behind Act (2001): This U.S. federal law aimed to increase accountability in education, including in STEM subjects, though it also faced criticism for its focus on standardized testing (Song, 2019).
- STEM Education Act of 2015: This U.S. law expanded the definition of STEM, including computer science, and encouraged STEM education efforts, including programs for underrepresented groups (Schoenfeld, et al., 2020).

Globally, other countries have mirrored these steps, recognizing the importance of STEM education in their economic and technological development (Asian Development Bank, 2021). International organizations like United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD have also played a role in advocating for STEM education, emphasizing its importance in achieving sustainable development and addressing global challenges (Martens & Windzio, 2022).

In Malaysia, several key milestones and policy decisions have significantly influenced the trajectory of STEM education and the most crucial turning point for STEM education in Malaysia was the introduction of the Malaysia Education Blueprint (2013-2025) by the Ministry of Education. This comprehensive blueprint led to significant reforms in the existing curriculum for primary and secondary schools, with a strong emphasis on enhancing the quality of teaching in STEM fields and increasing the number of students pursuing STEM subjects. The goal was to cultivate students with STEM competencies and innovative minds, aligning with the recognition of STEM disciplines as key economic drivers (Aspin et al., 2022; Baskaran & Abdullah, 2023).

In recent years, the focus has expanded to include STEAM (adding 'Arts' to the acronym), emphasizing the importance of creativity and design thinking in conjunction with traditional STEM skills (Bertrand & Namukasa, 2020; Marín-Marín et al., 2021; Perales & Aróstegui, 2021; Zhan et al., 2023). This evolution signifies an ongoing effort to make STEM education more holistic, inclusive, and aligned with the needs of a rapidly changing world.

Implementation Strategies

The implementation of STEM education involves strategic approaches to curriculum design, teaching methodologies, and technology integration (Wan et al., 2023). These strategies are crucial in maximizing the effectiveness of STEM education and ensuring that it meets the evolving needs of students and society.

Designing an Effective STEM Curriculum

Designing an effective STEM curriculum involves more than just combining science, technology, engineering, and mathematics subjects. It requires a holistic approach that integrates these disciplines in a manner that reflects real-world scenarios and problem-

solving contexts (Le et al., 2023; Smith et al., 2022). Key aspects of effective STEM curriculum design include:

- Interdisciplinary Approach: STEM curriculum should transcend the boundaries of individual subjects, encouraging students to make connections between different scientific and mathematical principles in practical applications (Mayes & Rittschof, 2021).
- Real-World Relevance: Projects and lessons should be designed around real-world challenges, making learning more engaging and relevant for students (Dare et al., 2021).
- Progressive Learning: The curriculum should build on students' existing knowledge and skills, gradually increasing in complexity and sophistication (Ahmed et al., 2023; Sims & Jerrim, 2022).
- Inclusivity and Diversity: The curriculum should be accessible and appealing to a diverse student population, including underrepresented groups in STEM fields (Kricorian et al., 2020; Palid et al., 2023).

Innovative Teaching Methodologies in STEM

Innovative teaching methodologies are pivotal in STEM education, as they significantly impact students' engagement and understanding. Some of the effective teaching methodologies in STEM education include

- Project-Based Learning (PBL): This method involves students working on complex, multi-faceted projects over extended periods, simulating real scientific and engineering challenges (Markula & Aksela, 2022).
- Inquiry-Based Learning: Students learn by exploring, questioning, and experimenting, fostering a deeper understanding of scientific concepts and the scientific method (Attard et al., 2021).
- Collaborative Learning: Group projects and collaborative problem-solving are emphasized, reflecting the teamwork-oriented nature of real-world STEM fields (Pasani et al., 2023; Wang & Shen, 2023).
- Flipped Classroom Models: These models shift the traditional learning structure, with students first gaining exposure to new material outside of class, then using class time to engage in interactive, hands-on activities (Cho et al., 2021).

The Role of Technology in STEM Education

Technology plays a fundamental role in modern STEM education, both as a tool for learning and as a subject of study itself. Its integration into STEM education includes:

- Educational Technology Tools: Utilizing software, simulations, and online resources to enhance learning and provide interactive experiences (Hrynevych et al., 2021).
- Digital Literacy: Integrating digital skills and coding into the curriculum, recognizing their importance in all STEM fields (Baterna et al., 2020).
- Online and Blended Learning: Leveraging online platforms for teaching and learning, allowing for more personalized and accessible STEM education (Owston et al., 2020).
- Data Analytics: Using data analytics tools in the curriculum to teach students how to interpret and use data, an essential skill in modern STEM professions (Ow-Yeong et al., 2023).

Challenges in STEM Education

While STEM education is pivotal for preparing students for the future, several challenges impede its effectiveness and reach (Idris, Govindasamy, & Nachiappan, 2023). Addressing these challenges is crucial for maximizing the benefits of STEM education for all students.

Addressing Access and Equity Issues

One of the significant challenges in STEM education is ensuring equal access and equity. Disparities in STEM education can arise from various factors:

- Socioeconomic Barriers: Students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may have limited access to quality STEM education due to lack of resources in schools, such as advanced lab equipment and experienced teachers (Vadivel et al., 2023).
- Gender and Racial Disparities: There is a notable underrepresentation of women and certain racial and ethnic groups in STEM fields, which can be traced back to educational opportunities and societal stereotypes (Amirtham S & Kumar, 2023; Kricorian et al., 2020; Matete, 2022; McNeill & Wei, 2023).
- Geographical Limitations: Students in rural or remote areas often have less access to quality STEM education and resources compared to their urban counterparts (Morris et al., 2021; Saw & Agger, 2021).
- Special Needs Consideration: Students with disabilities or special needs may face barriers in accessing or fully participating in STEM education (Dheesha, 2021; Griffiths et al., 2021; Klimaitis & Mullen, 2021).

Efforts to address these issues include policy reforms, targeted funding, inclusive curriculum designs, and community-based programs that aim to bridge these gaps (Cheng, 2022).

Educator Training and Resource Allocation

The effectiveness of STEM education heavily relies on the quality of teaching and available resources. Challenges in this area include:

- Professional Development: Many educators may not have specialized training in STEM disciplines, especially in integrating these subjects in an interdisciplinary manner (Baskaran & Abdullah, 2023; Huang et al., 2022).
- Curriculum Support: Educators often need more resources and guidance to effectively implement STEM curricula that are dynamic and engaging (Anderson et al., 2022; Aspin et al., 2022).
- Resource Availability: Limited funding can restrict the availability of essential resources like laboratory equipment, technology tools, and up-to-date learning materials (Arlinwibowo et al., 2022; Idris, Govindasamy, & Nachiappan, 2023; Mäkelä et al., 2023).

Solutions involve increased investment in educator training programs, providing adequate resources for STEM education, and creating support networks for educators (Ascanio et al., 2022).

Enhancing Student Engagement and Interest

Maintaining student engagement and interest in STEM subjects is a persistent challenge, particularly as the curriculum becomes more complex (De Loof et al., 2022). Factors contributing to this challenge include:

- Perceived Difficulty: Many students view STEM subjects as inherently difficult, which can be discouraging (Gullapyan, 2020; Yang & Baldwin, 2020).
- Relevance to Everyday Life: Students often struggle to see the connection between their STEM studies and real-world applications (Dare et al., 2021; Topsakal et al., 2022).
- Teaching Approaches: Traditional, lecture-based teaching methods may not be effective in engaging students in these dynamic and hands-on subjects (López-Belmonte et al., 2022; Sims & Jerrim, 2022).

To counteract these challenges, educational strategies such as project-based learning, real-world problem-solving exercises, and integration of technology can be employed to make STEM subjects more engaging and relevant to students' lives (Markula & Aksela, 2022).

Impact and Outcomes

The impact and outcomes of STEM education are substantial, affecting not only the academic sphere but also the broader societal and economic contexts. This section explores the effects of STEM education on student performance, skill development, and preparedness for the workforce (Akcan et al., 2023).

Effects on Student Performance and Skill Development

STEM education significantly influences student performance and skill development in several ways

- Enhanced Cognitive Skills: STEM education promotes critical thinking, problem-solving, and analytical skills. Engaging in STEM subjects helps students develop a mindset geared towards inquiry, experimentation, and understanding complex systems (Hacioğlu & Gülhan, 2021; Topsakal et al., 2022).
- Improved Academic Performance: Studies have shown that students engaged in comprehensive STEM education often perform better not only in science and mathematics but also in other academic areas, due to the interdisciplinary approach and emphasis on analytical skills (Eroğlu & Bektaş, 2022; Nağaç & Kalayci, 2021; Taşdemir, 2022).
- Development of Technical Skills: STEM education equips students with specific technical skills, such as programming, data analysis, and scientific experimentation, which are increasingly important in the digital age (Baterna et al., 2020; Ow-Yeong et al., 2023).
- Fostering Creativity and Innovation: By integrating technology and engineering principles with science and mathematics, STEM education encourages creative solutions and innovation, skills highly valued in various fields (Eroğlu & Bektaş, 2022; Sonthong et al., 2023).

STEM Education and Workforce Preparedness

The relevance of STEM education extends beyond academic settings into the workforce:

- Meeting Industry Demands: As the global economy becomes more technology-driven, there is a growing demand for professionals with strong STEM backgrounds. STEM education prepares students to meet these industry needs (Idris & Bacotang, 2023; Yamada, 2021).

- Career Opportunities: STEM education opens up a wide range of career opportunities in fields like engineering, healthcare, information technology, and research, many of which are among the fastest-growing and highest-paying jobs (Rosenzweig & Chen, 2023; Shulga et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2022).
- Economic Contributions: Individuals with a strong foundation in STEM subjects contribute significantly to technological innovation and economic growth. STEM education is thus crucial in maintaining a competitive edge in the global market (Idris, Govindasamy, Nachiappan, et al., 2023; Podobnik et al., 2020).
- Addressing Global Challenges: STEM-educated individuals play a vital role in addressing major global challenges, such as climate change, healthcare, and sustainable development, by providing innovative solutions through science and technology (Khadri, 2022; Maspul, 2024).

Case Studies

The examination of specific case studies is crucial for understanding the practical applications and real-world impact of STEM education (Asian Development Bank, 2021). This section provides insights into successful STEM programs and compares various international STEM education models.

Analysis of Successful STEM Programs

Several STEM programs across different educational levels have achieved notable success in terms of student engagement, learning outcomes, and broader educational impacts. Key examples include:

- STEM high schools (USA): An integrated network of charter schools in California, High Tech High is renowned for its project-based learning approach, where students engage in real-world projects, often collaborating with industry professionals. This approach has led to increased student motivation and higher rates of college admissions (Behrend et al., 2014; Means et al., 2021).
- The Finnish Model (Finland): Known for its innovative and student-centered educational system, Finland has integrated STEM education into its national curriculum with a focus on interdisciplinary projects and problem-solving. Finnish students consistently rank high in international assessments of science and mathematics (Boğar & Lavonen, 2022; Mäkelä et al., 2023).
- Singapore's STEM Education: Singapore's emphasis on high standards in mathematics and science education, coupled with investments in teacher training and curriculum development, has positioned its students among the top performers in international STEM assessments (Koh & Tan, 2021; Teo & Choy, 2021).

These case studies demonstrate the effectiveness of innovative teaching methods, such as project-based learning, and the importance of strong governmental support in the development of successful STEM programs (Rezaei et al., 2022).

Comparative Studies of International STEM Education Models

A comparative analysis of international STEM education models reveals diverse approaches and philosophies. Key contrasts can be drawn among the following:

- East Asia (e.g., Malaysia, Singapore, China) vs. United States: While the U.S. has focused on fostering creativity and problem-solving skills in STEM education, East

Asian models are often characterized by a rigorous, exam-oriented approach that has led to high performance in international assessments but is also critiqued for high student stress levels (Liu, 2023; Rezaei et al., 2022).

- Nordic Countries (e.g., Finland, Sweden) vs. Anglo-Saxon Models (e.g., UK, Australia): Nordic countries tend to emphasize a holistic and student-centric approach to education, including STEM, as opposed to the more standardized and test-focused methods seen in many Anglo-Saxon countries (Crato, 2021; Freeman, 2023).

These comparative studies highlight that there is no one-size-fits-all approach to STEM education. Different cultural, economic, and educational philosophies influence how STEM education is implemented and its resultant effectiveness.

Future Directions

As STEM education continues to evolve, it's crucial to anticipate emerging trends and make informed recommendations for policy and practice. This forward-looking perspective helps in ensuring that STEM education remains relevant and effective in preparing students for the challenges of the future.

Emerging Trends in STEM Education

Several trends are currently shaping the future of STEM education

- Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: The growing influence of AI and machine learning in various sectors is leading to their increased incorporation in STEM curricula, preparing students for a future where these technologies will be ubiquitous (Fomunyam, 2022; Oprea, 2021; Xu & Ouyang, 2022).
- Focus on Environmental and Sustainability Education: As global environmental concerns intensify, STEM education is increasingly incorporating sustainability and environmental science, teaching students to apply scientific knowledge to real-world ecological challenges (Alkair et al., 2023; Julio, 2022; Yean & Abdul Rahim, 2021).
- Increased Emphasis on Soft Skills: Alongside technical proficiency, there's a growing recognition of the importance of soft skills such as teamwork, communication, and ethical reasoning within STEM education (De Campos et al., 2020; Karimi & Pina, 2021; Villán-Vallejo et al., 2022).
- Personalized and Adaptive Learning: Leveraging technology to offer more personalized learning experiences that adapt to individual student's pace and style of learning is becoming more prevalent (Taylor et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2023).
- STEAM – Inclusion of Arts: Integrating arts into STEM (transforming it into STEAM) is gaining traction, recognizing that creativity and design thinking are crucial in innovation and problem-solving (Hughes et al., 2022; Ramli et al., 2022; Sanz-Camarero et al., 2023; Seetoo & Foen, 2022).

Recommendations for Policy and Practice

Based on the current landscape and emerging trends, the following recommendations are proposed for the enhancement of STEM education

- Increase Accessibility and Inclusivity: Policies should aim to reduce disparities in STEM education by providing equitable resources and opportunities across different regions and demographics, including underrepresented groups.

- Continuous Professional Development for Educators: Invest in ongoing training and development programs for teachers to keep them abreast of the latest STEM education trends, technologies, and pedagogical strategies.
- Foster Industry-Education Partnerships: Encourage collaborations between educational institutions and industry to ensure that the STEM curriculum is aligned with the evolving demands of the job market.
- Enhance Hands-On and Experiential Learning: Shift from traditional lecture-based methods to more hands-on, experiential learning approaches, such as labs, fieldwork, and real-world problem-solving projects.
- Promote Interdisciplinary Learning: Encourage curricula that integrate different STEM fields and beyond, reflecting the interconnected nature of these disciplines in real-world scenarios.
- Invest in Research and Evaluation: Support research into STEM education methodologies and technologies and establish robust evaluation mechanisms to continually assess and improve STEM education outcomes.

Conclusions

In conclusion this review of STEM education has explored its evolution, current state, and future prospects, in line with its primary objectives. The review underlines that STEM education has progressed beyond a mere combination of disciplines into an integrated, interdisciplinary framework crucial for developing vital skills in the modern world. Addressing the challenges of access, equity, and educator training, it highlights the significant role of STEM in driving technological advancements and economic growth. The exploration of various STEM models and practices across the globe reveals the diversity in approaches and the need for context-specific strategies.

STEM education's origins and its evolution highlight the importance of adapting education to societal and technological changes. The focus on STEM is not just due to academic demands but is driven by the needs of a technology-oriented global economy and the requirement for innovative and analytical skills. The review points out that despite challenges, STEM education significantly contributes to student performance, workforce preparedness, and broader societal advancement.

Emerging trends like the integration of AI and machine learning, a focus on sustainability, and the inclusion of arts (STEAM) indicate a continuous evolution of STEM education. The review concludes with recommendations for enhancing STEM education: increasing accessibility and inclusivity, investing in educator training, fostering industry-education partnerships, promoting hands-on learning, and advocating for interdisciplinary approaches. It emphasizes the importance of continued research and evaluation to ensure STEM education remains effective and relevant in a rapidly changing world. This review, therefore, not only provides a comprehensive overview of STEM education but also contributes valuable insights for its continued development and implementation.

References

Ahmed, N., Park, J., Arteaga, C., & Stephen, H. (2023). Investigation of Progressive Learning within a Statics Course: An Analysis of Performance Retention, Critical Topics, and Active Participation. *Education Sciences*, *13*(6), 576. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060576

Akcan, A. T., Yıldırım, B., Karataş, A. R., & Yılmaz, M. (2023). Teachers' views on the effect of STEM education on the labor market. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1184730. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1184730

Alkair, S., Ali, R., Abouhashem, A., Aledamat, R., Bhadra, J., Ahmad, Z., Sellami, A., & Al-Thani, N. J. (2023). A STEM model for engaging students in environmental sustainability programs through a problem-solving approach. *Applied Environmental Education & Communication*, *22*(1), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/1533015X.2023.2179556

Amirtham S, N., & Kumar, A. (2023). The underrepresentation of women in STEM disciplines in India: A secondary analysis. *International Journal of Science Education*, *45*(12), 1008–1031. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2023.2179901

Anderson, J., Tytler, R., & Williams, G. (2022). Supporting Curriculum Innovation in Integrated STEM for Secondary Teachers in Australia. *Research in Integrated STEM Education*, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1163/27726673-00101001

Arlinwibowo, J., Retnawati, H., Pradani, R. G., & Fatima, G. N. (2022). STEM Implementation Issues in Indonesia: Identifying the Problems Source and Its Implications. *The Qualitative Report*. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2023.5667

Ascanio, M., Reilly, O., Blagotinšek, G., Scala, L., & López, V. (2022). Early STEM: Teacher Training Needs To Explore STEM In ECEC. *Scientix, the Community for Science and Mathematics Education in Europe*.

Asian Development Bank. (2021). *Different Approaches to Learning Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics: Case Studies from Thailand, The Republic of Korea, Singapore, and Finland* (0 ed.). Asian Development Bank. https://doi.org/10.22617/SPR210041

Aspin, S. H., Ali, M., & Bunyamin, M. A. H. (2022). STEM Education in Malaysia: A Review. *Learning Science and Mathematics*.

Attard, C., Berger, N., & Mackenzie, E. (2021). The Positive Influence of Inquiry-Based Learning Teacher Professional Learning and Industry Partnerships on Student Engagement With STEM. *Frontiers in Education*, *6*, 693221. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.693221

Baskaran, V. L., & Abdullah, N. (2023). Constraints And Challenges Of STEM Education In Manjung District's Secondary Schools. *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*, *05*(04), 277–287. https://doi.org/10.56293/IJMSSSR.2022.4679

Baterna, H. B., Mina, T. D. G., & Rogayan, D. V. (2020). Digital Literacy of STEM Senior High School Students: Basis for Enhancement Program. *International Journal of Technology in Education*, *3*(2), 105. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.v3i2.28

Behrend, T., Ford, M., Ross, K., Han, E., Peters-Burton, E., Burton, P., & Spillane, N. (2014). Gary and Jerri-Ann Jacobs High Tech High: A Case Study of an Inclusive STEM-Focused High School in San Diego, California. *George Washington University, Opportunity Structures for Preparation and Inspiration in STEM*.

Bertrand, M. G., & Namukasa, I. K. (2020). STEAM education: Student learning and transferable skills. *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning*, *13*(1), 43–56. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIT-01-2020-0003

Black, S. E., Muller, C., Spitz-Oener, A., He, Z., Hung, K., & Warren, J. R. (2021). The importance of STEM: High school knowledge, skills and occupations in an era of growing inequality. *Research Policy*, *50*(7), 104249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104249

Boğar, Y., & Lavonen, J. (2022). Status and Trends of STEM Education in Finland. *Technological and Vocational Education Research Center, National Taiwan Normal University, and K-12 Education Administration, Ministry of Education*.

Cheng, M. M. H. (2022). An Overview of STEM Education in Asia. In M. M. H. Cheng, C. Buntting, & A. Jones (Eds.), *Concepts and Practices of STEM Education in Asia* (pp. 1–15). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-2596-2_1

Cho, H. J., Zhao, K., Lee, C. R., Runshe, D., & Krousgrill, C. (2021). Active learning through flipped classroom in mechanical engineering: Improving students' perception of learning and performance. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *8*(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-021-00302-2

Crato, N. (Ed.). (2021). *Improving a Country's Education: PISA 2018 Results in 10 Countries*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59031-4

Dare, E. A., Keratithamkul, K., Hiwatig, B. M., & Li, F. (2021). Beyond Content: The Role of STEM Disciplines, Real-World Problems, 21st Century Skills, and STEM Careers within Science Teachers' Conceptions of Integrated STEM Education. *Education Sciences*, *11*(11), 737. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11110737

De Campos, D. B., De Resende, L. M. M., & Fagundes, A. B. (2020). The Importance of Soft Skills for the Engineering. *Creative Education*, *11*(08), 1504–1520. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2020.118109

De Loof, H., Boeve-de Pauw, J., & Van Petegem, P. (2022). Engaging Students with Integrated STEM Education: A Happy Marriage or a Failed Engagement? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *20*(7), 1291–1313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-021-10159-0

Dheesha, J. B. (2021). STEM Education to Students with Special Needs. *Disabilities and Impairments*, *35*(1).

Erasmus, M. (2020). Science, Technology, Engineering And Mathematics (STEM) Education: A Tool For National Development. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *8*(1).

Eroğlu, S., & Bektaş, O. (2022). The effect of 5E-based STEM education on academic achievement, scientific creativity, and views on the nature of science. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *98*, 102181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102181

Felder, R. M. (2021). STEM education: A tale of two paradigms. *Journal of Food Science Education*, *20*(1), 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12219

Foi, L. Y., & Kean, T. H. (2022). STEM education in Malaysia: An organisational development approach? *International Journal of Advanced Research in Future Ready Learning and Education*, *29*(1).

Fomunyam, K. G. (2022). Machine Learning and Stem Education: Challenges And Possibilities. *International Journal of Difference Equations (IJDE)*.

Freeman, B. (2023). STEM policy in Nordic, other European, Anglosphere and East Asian countries: Objectives and prevalence. *Technical Report*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28589.46563

Gibson, E. K. (2020). Strengthening American Scientific Manpower: The National Science Foundation's Postwar Science Education Programs and the Limitations of Federal Desegregation Policy. *Society for History in the Federal Government*.

Grassini, S. (2023). Shaping the Future of Education: Exploring the Potential and Consequences of AI and ChatGPT in Educational Settings. *Education Sciences*, *13*(7), 692. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070692

Griffiths, A. J., Brady, J., Riley, N., Alsip, J., Trine, V., & Gomez, L. (2021). STEM for Everyone: A Mixed Methods Approach to the Conception and Implementation of an Evaluation Process for STEM Education Programs for Students With Disabilities. *Frontiers in Education*, *5*, 545701. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2020.545701

Gullapyan, T. (2020). Best teaching practices to increase student interest in STEM subjects. *Theses and Dissertations. 1135.*

Hacioğlu, Y., & Gülhan, F. (2021). The effects of STEM education on the students' critical thinking skills and STEM perceptions. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*. https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.771331

Ḥafṣa, A., Safaningrum, A. C., Fadhilaturrahmi, F., Min, V. T. H., & Ling, C. L. Y. (2020). A Review of Trends in STEM Education Research. *A Systematic of Trends in STEM Education Research*.

Han, J., Kelley, T., & Knowles, J. G. (2021). Factors Influencing Student STEM Learning: Self-Efficacy and Outcome Expectancy, 21st Century Skills, and Career Awareness. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, *4*(2), 117–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-021-00053-3

Hrynevych, L., Morze, N., Vember, V., & Boiko, M. (2021). Use of digital tools as a component of STEM education ecosystem. *Educational Technology Quarterly*, *2021*(1), 118–139. https://doi.org/10.55056/etq.24

Huang, X., Erduran, S., Zhang, P., Luo, K., & Li, C. (2022). Enhancing teachers' STEM understanding through observation, discussion and reflection. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, *48*(5), 576–591. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2021.2006571

Hughes, B. S., Corrigan, M. W., Grove, D., Andersen, S. B., & Wong, J. T. (2022). Integrating arts with STEM and leading with STEAM to increase science learning with equity for emerging bilingual learners in the United States. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *9*(1), 58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00375-7

Idris, R., & Bacotang, J. (2023). Exploring STEM Education Trends in Malaysia: Building a Talent Pool for Industrial Revolution 4.0 and Society 5.0. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, *12*(2), Pages 381-393. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v12-i2/16825

Idris, R., Govindasamy, P., & Nachiappan, S. (2023). Challenge and Obstacles of STEM Education in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *13*(4), Pages 820-828. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v13-i4/16676

Idris, R., Govindasamy, P., Nachiappan, S., & Bacotang, J. (2023). Revolutionizing STEM Education: Unleashing the Potential of STEM Interest Careers in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *13*(7), Pages 1741-1752. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v13-i7/17608

Jackson, C., Tank, K. M., Appelgate, M. H., Jurgenson, K., Delaney, A., & Erden, C. (2020). History of Integrated STEM Curriculum. In C. C. Johnson, M. J. Mohr-Schroeder, T. J.

Moore, & L. D. English (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on STEM Education* (1st ed., pp. 169–183). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429021381-17

Julio, M. B. (2022). STEM education for sustainability: An integrated and place- based pedagogical approach. *TUM School of Social Sciences and Technology*.

Karimi, H., & Pina, A. (2021). Strategically Addressing the Soft Skills Gap Among STEM Undergraduates. *Journal of Research in STEM Education*, *7*(1), 21–46. https://doi.org/10.51355/jstem.2021.99

Khadri, H. O. (2022). Becoming future-proof STEM teachers for enhancing sustainable development: A proposed general framework for capacity-building programs in future studies. *PROSPECTS*, *52*(3–4), 421–435. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-021-09588-0

Klimaitis, C. C., & Mullen, C. A. (2021). Including K–12 Students With Disabilities In STEM Education And Planning For Inclusion. *Educational Planning Winter*, *28*(2).

Koh, D., & Tan, A. L. (2021). Singaporean Pre-service Teachers' Perceptions Of STEM Epistemic Practices And Education. *Journal of STEM Teacher Education*. https://doi.org/10.30707/JSTE56.2.1649165366.257139

Kricorian, K., Seu, M., Lopez, D., Ureta, E., & Equils, O. (2020). Factors influencing participation of underrepresented students in STEM fields: Matched mentors and mindsets. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *7*(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00219-2

Lavi, R., Tal, M., & Dori, Y. J. (2021). Perceptions of STEM alumni and students on developing 21st century skills through methods of teaching and learning. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *70*, 101002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101002

Le, H. C., Nguyen, V. H., & Nguyen, T. L. (2023). Integrated STEM Approaches and Associated Outcomes of K-12 Student Learning: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, *13*(3), 297. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13030297

Li, Y., Schoenfeld, A. H., diSessa, A. A., Graesser, A. C., Benson, L. C., English, L. D., & Duschl, R. A. (2020). On Computational Thinking and STEM Education. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, *3*(2), 147–166. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-020-00044-w

Li, Y., Wang, K., Xiao, Y., & Froyd, J. E. (2020). Research and trends in STEM education: A systematic review of journal publications. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *7*(1), 11, s40594-020-00207–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00207-6

Liu, F. (2023). STEM Education in Japan, the United States, and China. *Journal of Education*, *13*.

López-Belmonte, J., Segura-Robles, A., Moreno-Guerrero, A.-J., & Parra-González, M. E. (2022). Comparative analysis between a STEM-based learning process and traditional teaching. *South African Journal of Education*, *42*(Supplement 1), S1–S10. https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v42ns1a2057

Mäkelä, T., Tuhkala, A., Mäki-Kuutti, M., & Rautopuro, J. (2023). Enablers and Constraints of STEM Programme Implementation: An External Change Agent Perspective from a National STEM Programme in Finland. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *21*(3), 969–991. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-022-10271-9

Marín-Marín, J.-A., Moreno-Guerrero, A.-J., Dúo-Terrón, P., & López-Belmonte, J. (2021). STEAM in education: A bibliometric analysis of performance and co-words in Web of Science. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *8*(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-021-00296-x

Markula, A., & Aksela, M. (2022). The key characteristics of project-based learning: How teachers implement projects in K-12 science education. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, *4*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-021-00042-x

Martens, K., & Windzio, M. (Eds.). (2022). *Global Pathways to Education: Cultural Spheres, Networks, and International Organizations*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78885-8

Maspul, K. A. (2024). Exploring STEM Education for Real-World Climate Change Concerns to Empower Students as Change Agents. *Journal of Physics Education and Science*, *1*.

Matete, R. E. (2022). Why are Women Under-represented in STEM in Higher Education in Tanzania? *FIRE: Forum for International Research in Education*, *7*(2), 48–63. https://doi.org/10.32865/fire202172261

Mayes, R., & Rittschof, K. (2021). Development of Interdisciplinary STEM Impact Measures of Student Attitudes and Reasoning. *Frontiers in Education*, *6*, 631684. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.631684

McNeill, F., & Wei, L. (2023). Encouraging Young Women into STEM Careers: A Study Comparing Career Intention of Female STEM Students in China and Scotland. *Journal for STEM Education Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-023-00114-9

Means, B., Wang, H., Wei, X., Young, V., & Iwatani, E. (2021). Impacts of attending an inclusive STEM high school: Meta-analytic estimates from five studies. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *8*(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00260-1

Morris, J., Slater, E., Fitzgerald, M. T., Lummis, G. W., & Van Etten, E. (2021). Using Local Rural Knowledge to Enhance STEM Learning for Gifted and Talented Students in Australia. *Research in Science Education*, *51*(S1), 61–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-019-9823-2

Nağaç, M., & Kalayci, S. (2021). The effect of STEM activities on students' academic achievement and problem solving skills: Matter and heat unit. *E-Kafkas Journal of Education Research*, *8*(3), 480–498. https://doi.org/10.30900/kafkasegt.964063

Nariman, N. (2021). How does an Industry-aligned Technology-rich Problem-based Learning (PBL) Model Influence Low-income and Native Hawaiian Student's STEM Career Interest? *Journal of Problem Based Learning in Higher Education*, Vol. 9 No. 1 (2021): Special Issue: Exploring the Nearfuture or Next Practice of PBL. https://doi.org/10.5278/OJS.JPBLHE.V9I1.6367

Oprea, M. (2021). Integration Of Artificial Intelligence In STEM Education Through Iot Projects Based On Machine Learning. *The 17th International Scientific Conference eLearning and Software for Education*, 211–221. https://doi.org/10.12753/2066-026X-21-096

Owston, R., York, D. N., Malhotra, T., & Sitthiworachart, J. (2020). Blended Learning in STEM and Non-STEM Courses: How do Student Performance and Perceptions Compare? *Online Learning*, *24*(3). https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v24i3.2151

Ow-Yeong, Y. K., Yeter, I. H., & Ali, F. (2023). Learning data science in elementary school mathematics: A comparative curriculum analysis. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *10*(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00397-9

Palid, O., Cashdollar, S., Deangelo, S., Chu, C., & Bates, M. (2023). Inclusion in practice: A systematic review of diversity-focused STEM programming in the United States. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *10*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00387-3

Pasani, C. F., Amelia, R., Pasani, C. F., & Amelia, R. (2023). *Developing Collaborative Skills through STEM Approach*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.113880

Perales, F. J., & Aróstegui, J. L. (2021). The STEAM approach: Implementation and educational, social and economic consequences. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/10632913.2021.1974997

Podobnik, B., Christopher Crawford, G., Lichtenstein, B., Lipic, T., Wild, D., Zhang, X., & Stanley, H. E. (2020). The new wealth of nations: How STEM fields generate the prosperity and inequality of individuals, companies, and countries. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *141*, 110323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110323

Ramli, S. S., Maaruf, S. Z., Mohamad, S. N. A., Abdullah, N., Md Shamsudin, N., & Syed Aris, S. R. (2022). STEAM-ing: Preliminary Insights in Consolidating Arts with STEM. *Asian Journal of University Education*, *18*(1), 152. https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v18i1.17182

Rezaei, M., Emamjomeh, S. M. R., Ahmadi, G. A., Assareh, A., & Niknam, Z. (2022). A Comparative Study of Integrated STEM Curriculum in Finland Singapore and the United States to Provide Recommendations for Iranian Curriculum Planners. *Iranian Journal of Comparative Education*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.22034/ijce.2022.251947.1227

Rosenzweig, E. Q., & Chen, X.-Y. (2023). Which STEM careers are most appealing? Examining high school students' preferences and motivational beliefs for different STEM career choices. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *10*(1), 40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00427-6

Sanz-Camarero, R., Ortiz-Revilla, J., & Greca, I. M. (2023). The Impact of Integrated STEAM Education on Arts Education: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, *13*(11), 1139. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13111139

Saw, G. K., & Agger, C. A. (2021). STEM Pathways of Rural and Small-Town Students: Opportunities to Learn, Aspirations, Preparation, and College Enrollment. *Educational Researcher*, *50*(9), 595–606. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X211027528

Seetoo, K., & Foen, N. S. (2022). Arts Element in STEAM Education: A Systematic Review of Journal Publications. *International Online Journal of Language, Communication, and Humanities*, *5*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.47254/insaniah.v5i2.204

Sharma, A. (2016). STEM-ification of Education. *Journal for Activist Science & Technology Educatio*, *7*(1).

Shulga, T. I., Zaripova, Z. F., Sakhieva, R. G., Devyatkin, G. S., Chauzova, V. A., & Zhdanov, S. P. (2023). Learners' career choices in STEM education: A review of empirical studies. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *19*(5), em2261. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13154

Sims, S., & Jerrim, J. (2022). Traditional and progressive orientations to teaching: New empirical evidence on an old debate. *Centre for Education Policy and Equalising Opportunities*.

Smith, K., Maynard, N., Berry, A., Stephenson, T., Spiteri, T., Corrigan, D., Mansfield, J., Ellerton, P., & Smith, T. (2022). Principles of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in STEM Education: Using Expert Wisdom and Research to Frame Educational Practice. *Education Sciences*, *12*(10), 728. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12100728

Song, T. (2019). Putting Educational Reform Into Practice: The Impact of the No Child Left Behind Act On Students, Teachers, and Schools. *CMC Senior Theses*.

Sonthong, W., Intanin, A., & Sanpundorn, S. (2023). The Development of Science Learning Activities by Applying the STEM Education Model to Promote Student Creativity.

International Journal of Research in STEM Education, *5*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.33830/ijrse.v5i1.1345

Taşdemir, F. (2022). Examination of the Effect of Stem Education on Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis Study. *Education Quarterly Reviews*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1993.05.02.489

Taylor, D. L., Yeung, M., & Bashet, A. Z. (2021). Personalized and Adaptive Learning. In J. Ryoo & K. Winkelmann (Eds.), *Innovative Learning Environments in STEM Higher Education* (pp. 17–34). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58948-6_2

Teo, T. W., & Choy, B. H. (2021). STEM Education in Singapore. In O. S. Tan, E. L. Low, E. G. Tay, & Y. K. Yan (Eds.), *Singapore Math and Science Education Innovation* (Vol. 1, pp. 43–59). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1357-9_3

Topsakal, İ., Yalçın, S. A., & Çakır, Z. (2022). The Effect of Problem-based STEM Education on the Students' Critical Thinking Tendencies and Their Perceptions for Problem Solving Skills. *Science Education International*, *33*(2), 136–145. https://doi.org/10.33828/sei.v33.i2.1

Vadivel, B., Alam, S., Nikpoo, I., & Ajanil, B. (2023). The Impact of Low Socioeconomic Background on a Child's Educational Achievements. *Education Research International*, *2023*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6565088

Villán-Vallejo, A., Zitouni, A., García-Llamas, P., Fernández-Raga, M., Suárez-Corona, A., & Baelo, R. (2022). Soft Skills and STEM Education: Vision of the European University EURECA-PRO. *BHM Berg- Und Hüttenmännische Monatshefte*, *167*(10), 485–488. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00501-022-01275-7

Wan, Z. H., English, L., So, W. W. M., & Skilling, K. (2023). STEM Integration in Primary Schools: Theory, Implementation and Impact. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *21*(S1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-023-10401-x

Wang, C., & Shen, J. (2023). Technology-enhanced collaborative learning in STEM. In *International Encyclopedia of Education(Fourth Edition)* (pp. 207–214). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.13005-2

Waters, C. C., & Orange, A. (2022). STEM-driven school culture: Pillars of a transformative STEM approach. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 2. https://doi.org/10.33902/JPR.202213550

Wong, B., Chiu, Y.-L. T., Murray, Ó. M., & Horsburgh, J. (2022). End of the road? The career intentions of under-represented STEM students in higher education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *9*(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00366-8

Wu, T.-T., Lee, H.-Y., Wang, W.-S., Lin, C.-J., & Huang, Y.-M. (2023). Leveraging computer vision for adaptive learning in STEM education: Effect of engagement and self-efficacy. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *20*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00422-5

Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). The application of AI technologies in STEM education: A systematic review from 2011 to 2021. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *9*(1), 59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00377-5

Yamada, A. (2021). Japanese Higher Education: The Need for STEAM in Society 5.0, an Era of Societal and Technological Fusion. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*, *13*(1), 44–65. https://doi.org/10.32674/jcihe.v13i1.1980

Yang, D., & Baldwin, S. J. (2020). Using Technology to Support Student Learning in an Integrated STEM Learning Environment. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science*, *4*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijtes.v4i1.22

Yean, A. S., & Abdul Rahim, S. S. (2021). Greening STEM: A Theoretical Exploration for the Malaysian Context. *Journal of International and Comparative Education*, *10*(1), 19–32. https://doi.org/10.14425/jice.2021.10.1.1205

Zhan, Z., Hu, Q., Liu, X., & Wang, S. (2023). STEAM Education and the Innovative Pedagogies in the Intelligence Era. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(9), 5381. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13095381

Zhan, Z., & Niu, S. (2023). Subject integration and theme evolution of STEM education in K-12 and higher education research. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*(1), 781. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02303-8

Zhan, Z., Shen, W., Xu, Z., Niu, S., & You, G. (2022). A bibliometric analysis of the global landscape on STEM education (2004-2021): Towards global distribution, subject integration, and research trends. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, *16*(2), 171–203. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJIE-08-2022-0090